

Plastics in Marine Environment

Korkmaz C^{1*}, Ay O¹ and Erdem C²

¹Mersin University, Faculty of Fisheries, 33169, Yenişehir, Mersin, Turkey

²Çukurova University, Faculty of Science and Letters, 01330, Sarıçam, Adana, Turkey

***Corresponding author:** Cengiz Korkmaz, Mersin University, Faculty of Fisheries, 33169, Yenişehir, Mersin, Turkey, Tel: 0 (546) 401 87 05; Email: cengizkorkmaz@mersin.edu.tr

Review Article

Volume 2 Issue 2

Received Date: January 19, 2018

Published Date: February 14, 2018

Abstract

Plastic debris are widespread and a growing problem in the marine environment. Due to resistance to degradation, plastic wastes are highly problematic for ecosystems. Today over 700 marine species from fish to birds and mammals etc., are estimated to be affected by plastic pollution. This review focuses on a short history of plastic usage in world and primer effects of plastic debris to marine invertebrates and vertebrates.

Keywords: Plastics; Marine; Pollution; Fish; Seabird

Abbreviations: PE: Polyethylene; PP: Polypropylene; PVC: Polyvinyl Chloride; PS: Polystyrene; PET: Polyethylene Terephthalate.

Introduction

Plastics are synthetic organic materials, which are obtained from polymerization of monomers extracted from oil or gas [1]. Modern plastic technology which started with the production of first plastic material; “Bakelite” in 1907, showed a rapid growth in the last century [2]. Due to low production costs, plastics are used in sectors such as automotive, construction, packaging, agriculture, clothing, electronics etc., and took place in almost all aspects of our lives. It was estimated that 275 million tons of plastic materials were produced in 192 coastal countries in the year 2010 and that 8 million tons of these manufactured plastics entered the world oceans. A tenfold increase in the amount of plastic litter reaching to oceans was predicted by the year 2020 [3].

Plastics are produced from organic and inorganic raw materials such as silicon, hydrogen; oxygen and chloride

derived from gasoline and natural gases [4]. They are used in various areas of industry because of their low cost, light weight and durability [5]. Plastics have already replaced with conventional materials such as paper, glass and metal in packaging industry [6]. Although the mass production of plastics was began in 1940's, a rapid increase was observed in its global production which reached 322 million tons in 2015 [7]. Today, most widely used forms of plastics are: high and low-density polyethylene (PE), polypropylene (PP), polyvinyl chloride (PVC), polystyrene (PS) and polyethylene terephthalate (PET). These forms of plastics consist nearly 90% of global plastic manufacturing [8].

Although, high durability of plastics makes them such a convenient material for industry, these materials are highly resistant to degradation, therefore discarding the plastic litter is highly problematic from the environmental point of view [9]. The existence of plastic debris when first reported by Carpetner et al. [10], the scientific community, however, showed little attention to those wastes. Dramatic increase in the presence of plastic debris in North Pacific gyre, “plastic pollution” became a high-priority field of study in “Marine Biology” [6].

Plastic wastes are divided into two groups; macroplastics and microplastics. Macroplastics are visible wastes with naked-eye and their negative effects on environment have long been known by scientific community. Macroplastics show their negative impacts on aesthetic concerns and consequently economic losses in tourism sector [2]. Moreover, macroplastics cause some injuries and disabilities to fish, sea turtles, sea birds and mammals by tangling to their extremities or mistakenly taken as food [11]. Whereas microplastics, although defined differently in literature, generally described as particles smaller than 5 mm in size [12]. Microplastics can be found in beaches, sediments, surface waters and all along the water column and can be dispersed into any wetland [13]. They can be found anywhere in food chain from primary producers to the upper levels of the chain [14]. The number of sea animals effected from plastic pollution rise from 250 in 1997 to 693 in 2015 [15].

Influences of Plastic Wastes to Aquatic Organisms

Plastic wastes show their basic effects physically by blocking circulation and digestive channels [13]. They effect the distribution and dispersion of mosquitoes by providing them suitable media for laying eggs [16]. Additionally, due to their monomer constituents, they can cause liver damages [17], behavioral changes [18], carcinogenic [13] and endocrine disrupting effects [19]. It was suggested that plastic materials also play a role in diffusion of phthalates, bisphenol A, formaldehyde, acetaldehyde and 4-noniphenol into tissues of aquatic organisms [20].

Invertebrates

Thompson, et al. [21] had stated that *Orchestia gammarellus*, *Arenicola marina* and *Semibalanus balanoides* fed with microplastic materials. Watts, et al. [22] reported that *Carcinus meanas* swallow microplastics as feeding materials and accumulate them in their gill spaces. *Mytilus edulis*, accepted as model organism in microplastic pollution, is shown to accumulate high levels of microplastics in laboratory studies [23-25]. Monofilament plastic fibers were found in intestine tissue of *Nephrops norvegicus* of which digestive tissue could not eliminate these fibres [26]. Various studies have shown that planktonic organisms such as *Scenedesmus spp.*, *Tigriopus japonicus* and *Dosidicus gigas* can take plastic materials to their digestive tracks [27-29].

Laboratory studies on some tunicates, cnidarians and mollusk species have shown that they can accumulate

micro plastics sized 1.7-30.6 nm in size [30]. Graham and Thompson [31] have suggested that some species of sea cucumbers (Echinodermata, Holothuroidea) can take nylon and PVC pieces into their digestive track and since plastic materials can absorb polychlorinated biphenyl's (PCB) they can be an agent to transport PCB's to upper trophic levels.

Vertebrates

Boerger, et al. [32] reported that 35 % of planktivory fish species from North Pacific gyre had microplastic materials in their stomach constituents. Lusher, et al. [33] pointed out that 36% of fish species from English Channel included synthetic or semi-synthetic plastic materials in their stomach content. In a similar study, stomach content of 9% of the fish species belonging to Myctophidae collected from North Pacific contained plastic materials [34]. Ramos, et al. [35] reported microplastic residues from 13.4% of Gerreidae caught from North East Brazil. Plastic materials in stomach contents of fish species were also expressed by other researchers [36,37].

Sea birds are known to be the most effected organisms from plastic pollution and are used as biomonitoring organisms for a long while [14]. Provencher, et al. [38] indicated plastic contamination was determined in more than 80% of *Fulmarus glacialis* colonies from North Canada. Same findings were verified for *F. glacialis* by studies in North Iceland [39]. 83% of *Calonectris diomedea* obtained from North Atlantic Ocean were reported to have plastics in their guts [40]. Blight and Burger [41] recorded plastics in 75% of *Puffinus griseus* from eastern North Pacific. However, studies carried out in recent years reported that plastic pellets swallowed by sea birds showed a relative decrease [42-44].

Other studies carried on sea turtles [45-47] and on sea mammals [48,49] showed presence of plastic residues in stomach contents which affected them significantly.

Conclusion

The use of plastic materials increasing rapidly in areas such as garment, cosmetics and construction and as a consequence plastic wastes enter seas and oceans in excessive amounts at consequent years. Plastic wastes are estimated to effect around 700 organisms from planktonic organisms to fish and to sea mammals. Plastic materials can be present at all levels of organization and can be transferred to humans through food chain. Hence, it is necessary to follow up the plastic pollution routinely and to follow its impacts on ecosystems.

References

1. Rios LM, Moore C, Jones PR (2007) Persistent organic pollutants carried by synthetic polymers in the ocean environment. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 54(8): 1230-1237.
2. Cole M, Lindeque P, Halsband C, Galloway TS (2011) Microplastics as contaminants in the marine environment: a review. *Marine pollution bulletin* 62(12): 2588-2597.
3. Jambeck JR, Geyer R, Wilcox C, Siegler TR, Perryman M, et al. (2015) Plastic waste inputs from land into the ocean. *Science* 347(6223): 768-771.
4. Shah AA, Hasan F, Hameed A, Ahmed S (2008) Biological degradation of plastics: a comprehensive review. *Biotechnology advances* 26(3): 246-265.
5. Andrady AL (Ed.) (2003) *Plastics and the Environment*. John Wiley & Sons, pp: 747.
6. Andrady AL (2011) Microplastics in the marine environment. *Marine pollution bulletin* 62(8): 1596-1605.
7. Plastics Europe (2016) *Plastics – The Facts 2016. An Analysis of European Plastics Production, Demand and Waste Data for 2016 (20/10/2016)*.
8. Andrady AL, Neal MA (2009) Applications and societal benefits of plastics. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 364(1526): 1977-1984.
9. Barnes DK, Galgani F, Thompson RC, Barlaz M (2009) Accumulation and fragmentation of plastic debris in global environments. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 364(1526): 1985-1998.
10. Carpenter EJ, Anderson SJ, Harvey GR, Miklas HP, Peck BB (1972) Polystyrene spherules in coastal waters. *Science* 178(4062): 749-750.
11. Lusher AL, Hernandez-Milian G, O'Brien J, Berrow S, O'Connor I, et al. (2015) Microplastic and macroplastic ingestion by a deep diving, oceanic cetacean: The True's beaked whale *Mesoplodon mirus*. *Environmental Pollution* 199: 185-191.
12. Barboza LGA, Gimenez BCG (2015) Microplastics in the marine environment: current trends and future perspectives. *Marine pollution bulletin* 97(1): 5-12.
13. Wright SL, Thompson RC, Galloway TS (2013) The physical impacts of microplastics on marine organisms: a review. *Environ Pollut* 178: 483-492.
14. Do Sul JAI, Costa MF (2014) The present and future of microplastic pollution in the marine environment. *Environ Pollut* 185: 352-364.
15. Gall SC, Thompson RC (2015) The impact of debris on marine life. *Mar Pollut Bull* 92(1): 170-179.
16. Majer AP, Vedolin MC, Turra A (2012) Plastic pellets as ovipositor site and means of dispersal for the ocean-skater insect *Halobates*. *Mar Pollut Bull* 64(6): 1143-1147.
17. Rochman CM, Hoh E, Kurobe T, Teh SJ (2013) Ingested plastic transfers hazardous chemicals to fish and induces hepatic stress. *Scientific Reports* 3: 3263.
18. Browne MA, Niven SJ, Galloway TS, Rowland SJ, Thompson RC (2013) Microplastic moves pollutants and additives to worms, reducing functions linked to health and biodiversity. *Current Biology* 23(23): 2388-2392.
19. Rochman CM, Kurobe T, Flores I, Teh SJ (2014) Early warning signs of endocrine disruption in adult fish from the ingestion of polyethylene with and without sorbed chemical pollutants from the marine environment. *Sci Total Environ* 493: 656-661.
20. Rochman CM (2015) The complex mixture, fate and toxicity of chemicals associated with plastic debris in the marine environment. *Marine anthropogenic litter* 117-140.
21. Thompson RC, Olsen Y, Mitchell RP, Davis A, Rowland SJ, et al. (2004) Lost at sea: where is all the plastic? *Science* 304(5672): 838-838.
22. Watts A, Lewis C, Goodhead RM, Beckett DJ, Moger J, et al. (2014) Uptake and retention of microplastics by the shore crab *Carcinus maenas*. *Environmental Science & Technology* 48(15): 8823-8830.
23. Browne MA, Dissanayake A, Galloway TS, Lowe DM, Thompson RC (2008) Ingested microscopic plastic translocates to the circulatory system of the mussel, *Mytilus edulis*(L.). *Environ Sci Technol* 42(13): 5026-5031.
24. Von Moos N , Burkhardt-Holm P , Köhler A (2012) Uptake and effects of microplastics on cells and tissue of the blue mussel *Mytilus edulis* L. after an

- experimental exposure. *Environ Sci Technol* 46(20): 11327-11335.
25. Wegner A, Besseling E, Foekema EM, Kamermans P, Koelmans AA (2012) Effects of nanopolystyrene on the feeding behaviour of the blue mussel (*Mytilus edulis* L.). *Environ Toxicol Chem* 31: 2490-2497.
 26. Murray F, Cowie PR (2011) Plastic contamination in the decapod crustacean *Nephrops norvegicus* (Linnaeus, 1758). *Mar Pollut Bull* 62(6): 1207-1217.
 27. Bhattacharya P, Turner JP, Ke PC (2010) Physical adsorption of charged plastic nanoparticles affects algal photosynthesis. *J Phys Chem C* 114(39): 16556-16561.
 28. Braid HE, Deeds J, De Grasse SL, Wilson JJ, Osborne J, et al. (2012) Preying on commercial fisheries and accumulating paralytic shellfish toxins: a dietary analysis of invasive *Dosidicus gigas* (Cephalopoda Ommastrephidae) stranded in Pacific Canada. *Marine Biology* 159(1): 25-31.
 29. Lee KW, Shim WJ, Kwon OY, Kang JH (2013) Size-dependent effects of micro polystyrene particles in the marine copepod *Tigriopus japonicus*. *Environ Sci Technol* 47(19): 11278-11283.
 30. Cole M, Lindeque P, Fileman E, Halsband C, Goodhead R, et al. (2013) Microplastic ingestion by zooplankton. *Environ Sci Technol* 47(12): 6646-6655.
 31. Graham ER, Thompson JT (2009) Deposit-and suspension-feeding sea cucumbers (Echinodermata) ingest plastic fragments. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 368(1): 22-29.
 32. Boerger CM, Lattin GL, Moore SL, Moore CJ (2010) Plastic ingestion by planktivorous fishes in the North Pacific Central Gyre. *Marine pollution bulletin* 60(12): 2275-2278.
 33. Lusher AL, McHugh M, Thompson RC (2013) Occurrence of microplastics in the gastrointestinal tract of pelagic and demersal fish from the English Channel. *Marine pollution bulletin* 67(1): 94-99.
 34. Davison P, Asch RG (2011) Plastic ingestion by mesopelagic fishes in the North Pacific Subtropical Gyre. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 432: 173-180.
 35. Ramos JAA, Barletta M, Costa Monica F (2012) Ingestion of nylon threads by Gerreidae while using a tropical estuary as foraging grounds. *Aquat Biol* 17: 29-34.
 36. Dantas DV, Barletta M, Da Costa MF (2012) The seasonal and spatial patterns of ingestion of polyfilament nylon fragments by estuarine drums (*Sciaenidae*). *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int* 19(2): 600-606.
 37. Foekema EM, De Gruijter C, Mergia MT, Van Franeker JA, Murk TJ, et al. (2013) Plastic in north sea fish. *Environ Sci Technol* 47(15): 8818-8824.
 38. Provencher JF, Gaston AJ, Mallory ML (2009) Evidence for increased ingestion of plastics by northern fulmars (*Fulmarus glacialis*) in the Canadian Arctic. *Mar Pollut Bull* 58(7): 1092-1095.
 39. Kühn S, Van Franeker JA (2012) Plastic ingestion by the northern fulmar (*Fulmarus glacialis*) in Iceland. *Marine pollution bulletin* 64(6): 1252-1254.
 40. Rodríguez A, Rodríguez B, Carrasco MN (2012) High prevalence of parental delivery of plastic debris in Cory's shearwaters (*Calonectris diomedea*). *Mar Pollut Bull* 64(10): 2219-2223.
 41. Blight LK, Burger AE (1997) Occurrence of plastic particles in seabirds from the eastern North Pacific. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 34(5): 323-325.
 42. Vlietstra LS, Parga JA (2002) Long-term changes in the type, but not amount, of ingested plastic particles in short-tailed shearwaters in the Southeastern Bering Sea. *Mar Pollut Bull* 44(9): 945-955.
 43. Ryan PG (2008) Seabirds indicate changes in the composition of plastic litter in the Atlantic and southwestern Indian Oceans. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 56(8): 1406-1409.
 44. Van Franeker JA, Blaize C, Danielsen J, Fairclough K, Gollan J, et al. (2011) Monitoring plastic ingestion by the northern fulmar *Fulmarus glacialis* in the North Sea. *Environmental Pollution* 159(10): 2609-2615.
 45. Tourinho PS, Ivar do Sul JA, Fillmann G (2010) Is marine debris ingestion still a problem for the coastal marine biota of southern Brazil. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 60(3): 396-401.

46. Lazar B, Gračan R (2011) Ingestion of marine debris by loggerhead sea turtles, *Caretta caretta*, in the Adriatic Sea. *Marine pollution bulletin* 62(1): 43-47.
47. Schuyler Q, Hardesty BD, Wilcox C, Townsend K (2014) Global analysis of anthropogenic debris ingestion by sea turtles. *Conservation biology* 28(1): 129-139.
48. Eriksson C, Burton H (2003) Origins and biological accumulation of small plastic particles in fur seals from Macquarie Island. *Ambio* 32(6): 380-384.
49. Rebolledo ELB, Van Franeker JA, Jansen OE, Brasseur SM (2013) Plastic ingestion by harbour seals (*Phocavitulina*) in The Netherlands. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 67(1): 200-202.