Diagnosis and Prevalence of Sarcopenic Obesity Associated with Low Cardiorespiratory Fitness and Insulin Resistance in Adults Clinically Selected for Lifestyle Modification Program
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Abstract

Recently, obesity and sarcopenia have been showing high prevalence among population and when these conditions are combined may increase damage to the individual's health. This study aimed to diagnose, assess prevalence, muscle mass function and metabolic variables related to sarcopenic obesity in adults. Were assessed 523 individuals, of both sexes, with higher prevalence in females (72.7%) with a mean age of 54.74 ± 10.18 years old, selected for Lifestyle Modification Program (MEV). The individuals were assessed for medical anamnese, blood pressure, cardiorespiratory fitness, body composition and anthropometry, physical fitness and biochemical analyzes, including analysis of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR), oxidative stress (malondialdehyde - MDA) and inflammatory stress (C-Reactive Protein - PCR). Statistical analyzes were performed by SAS software 9.2 (p <0.05). Obesity was defined by higher measures of waist circumference. It was verified that individuals with abdominal obesity compared to non-obese, presented higher BMI,% BF, MMI, HOMA-IR, CRP, uric acid, triglycerides and blood glucose and reduced levels of HDL-c, flexibility and VO₂max. When assessed separately, high abdominal obesity was associated with low aerobic fitness, muscle strength, poor flexibility and insulin resistance, on the other hand, low handgrip strength, HDL-c and insulin resistance was associated with sarcopenia status. When combined, sarcopenic obesity differs mainly in physical fitness, with cardiorespiratory fitness as determinant of sarcopenic obesity discrimination. Thus, was verified that sarcopenic obesity, in this population, are related to changes on physical fitness and the cardiorrespiratory fitness is the major component associated with this pathology.
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Introduction

Nowadays, obesity and aging are verified as the majors populational epidemiological trends. Considered as a worldwide epidemic, obesity is evidenced by the imbalance triggered by high energy food intake (positive energy balance), in detriment of reduced energy expenditure in physical activities. The modern lifestyle characterized by unhealthy food habits and sedentary behavior, is directly associated with the elevation of risk factors for the development of hypertension, dyslipidemia, type 2 diabetes mellitus and all-cause mortality [1]. It has been verified that the prevalence of obesity are increasing in the last years, along with the metabolic syndrome. Currently, the prevalence of overweight in the Brazilian adult population is 46.6% (51.0% among men and 42.3% among women) [2], with a tendency to increase in elderly.

Along aging, motor limitations associated with muscle mass loss are an important predictor of adverse health events, such as hospitalization for accidents due to motor limitations, falls, fractures, morbidity and mortality [3]. This significant reduction in skeletal muscle tissue, with significant consequences and impairment in the individual's function and autonomy, is called sarcopenia (*sarx* (Meat) e *penia* (Loss)) [4]. According to economic surveys, the frailty and disabilities consequences related to sarcopenia can reach costs of US $ 18.5 billion or 15% of the total health costs in the United States [5,6]. Thus, sarcopenia represents an important clinical research field due to its health consequences.

The skeletal muscle mass morpho-functional integrity is directly related to quality of life, and the understanding of pathophysiological mechanisms is essential to homeostasis maintenance. Due to metabolic importance (source of amino acids to other tissues, glucose uptake insulin-dependent, fatty acids oxidation and major tissue responsible to voluntary individual energy expenditure), evidences supports the importance of skeletal muscle tissue to human health, as well as a clinical care to significant reduction from the 4th decade of life, with a linear decrease in about 50% until the 8th decade [7]. This decrease on muscle mass is higher in sedentary individuals, but it is also verified in physically active individuals, with significant losses of 1-2% of muscle mass and 1.5% of muscular force per year and gains in body fat 7.5% per decade, being accentuated from 50 years of age [8], 2 fold higher in men than women [9].

Obesity and sarcopenia can be verified mutually and promote functional and metabolic disabilities associated with the aging process, regardless of the initial stimulus, whether physiological, pathological or behavioral [10,11]. According with this situation, researchs are developed to explore the effects of the coexistence of these conditions on the risk of development of non-health outcomes [12]. The synergistic diagnostic of these two pathological processes is called sarcopenic obesity.

Despite its clinical and functional importance to the individual's health, there is no consensus about sarcopenic obesity diagnostic criteria and classification methods yet. The majority of results usually presents methodologies that are not easily accessible structurally and financially, making it hard to carry out large-scale studies and the possibility of comparing data.

Therefore, the present study aims to diagnose and characterize the prevalence of sarcopenic obesity, as well as to analyze the behavior of variables associated with cardiorespiratory fitness, physical fitness (handgrip strength and flexibility), insulin resistance, oxidative and inflammatory stress resulting from this condition.

Methods

Delineated as descriptive and analytical cross-sectional study, 523 individuals on spontaneous demand, were evaluated between 2004 and 2011, with a higher prevalence of females (72.7%), mean age of 55 years (54.74 ± 10.18), engaged on a Lifestyle modification program "Move For Health" conducted and executed by the Exercise and Nutrition Metabolism Centre (CeMENutri). All subjects signed a free and informed consent form and the study was approved by the Ethics Committee in Research with Human of the São Paulo State University (UNESP) - Botucatu Medical School (OF. 591/2012-CEP).

The sample selection was based on the following exclusion criteria: some clinical intercurrence reported such as cardiorespiratory disorders, heart disease, joint disease, liver disease, renal disease, infectious process,
alcoholic habits, osteoarticular and musculoskeletal limitations to physical exercises, which are using medication, anabolic steroids and nutritional supplements.

Multiprofessional care is focus on clinical, nutritional and physical fitness diagnoses, followed by the lifestyle change promotion. Initial screening is performed by medical staff diagnosed with disabling diseases of motor activity. Coming up, anthropometric, postural, dietary, blood biochemical, physical activity and fitness (flexibility, strength and aerobic endurance) assessments are performed.

Clinical Assessments

The participants were submitted to medical (clinical) assessment in order to detect possible pathological processes and conditions limiting the physical exercise performance. They were asked about personal and family history of chronic diseases (Diabetes, Dyslipidemias, Heart Diseases and Neoplasias) and adverse health outcome during physical exercise practice (fainting, chest pain, vertigo, nausea and vomiting). In addition, the evaluation of systolic (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) was performed using the auscultatory methods, according to the recommendations of the V Brazilian Guideline for Hypertension [13].

Cardiorespiratory Fitness Assessment

In order to evaluate the participants cardiorespiratory fitness, a treadmill test (Quinton, QMCTM90) was performed following procedures established by the Balke protocol [14], where light run intensity (velocity 2.2 km/h) was initially applied for warm up and adaptation to protocol; After that period the velocity was increased and remained constant (5.2 km/h) throughout the test, with increase on treadmill inclination (1% = 5 degrees) every minute until exhaustion. The total test time was used to determinate the maximum cardiorespiratory fitness (VO$_{2 \text{max}}$).

Anthropometric Assessments

Body Weight, Height and Waist Circumference: Body weight was measured on an anthropometric platform scale (Filizola®, Brazil), graded every 100 grams, 150 kg capacity and 0.1 kg precision. The height was determined in a portable stadiometer (SECA®) with an accuracy of 0.1 cm. The measurement was made at the midpoint between the last costal arch and the iliac crest [16]. Was adopted as altered abdominal circumference measures greater than 88 cm for women and 102 cm for men [17].

Differently from the traditional obesity diagnose based on BMI, in the present study we used elevated WC as a criteria for higher adiposity (abdominal obesity), due to the fact that BMI does not allow differentiation of adipose and muscle mass, interfering on study purpose.

Body Composition: The body composition was assessed by bioelectrical impedance (BIA) methodology (Biodinâmics®, model 450, USA). To perform this test, subjects were instructed to ingest 1.5 to 2 liters of water at previous day, abstain physical exercises, 24 hours before, caffeinated foods and alcoholic beverage, 12h before the test and to be fasting for at least 4 hours. After obtaining the resistance value (ohm) obtained by the BIA, muscle mass calculation was performed by the equation proposed by Jansen et al. [8].

After obtain muscle mass values (kg), the subjects were classified according to the sarcopenia degree by the muscle mass index (IMM (kg/m$^2$) = MM (kg)/height(m)$^2$), proposed by Baumgartner et al. [18]. For Sarcopenia diagnosis, based on percentilal distribution, was considered sarcopenic subjectes that presented IMM values below 25th percentile (p25), and the subjects with IMM values above the 75th percentile (p75) as optimal.

To evaluate the body fat (%) were adopted as normal reference the values between 15 to 25% for males and 20 to 35% for females [19].

Sarcopenic Obesity Diagnosis: For the sarcopenic obesity diagnosis was adopted the following criteria: abdominal obesity (elevated waist circumference (WC): higher than 88 cm for women and 102 cm for men); and sarcopenia (reduced muscle mass index (MMI), below the 25th percentile). This characterization takes into account the definition of four groups: Group 1: Individuals with normal WC and MMI; Group 2: Individuals with high WC and normal MMI; Group 3: Individuals with normal WC and reduced MMI and Group 4: Individuals with high WC and reduced MMI (sarcopenic obese).
Biochemistry Analysis

General Analysis: After overnight fasting, subjects were submitted to blood tests. Individuals were advised to abstain vigorous 24-hour physical exercises and/or to ingest alcohol 72 hours prior to collection. Blood samples was assessed for biochemical levels of glucose, uric acid, albumin, triglycerides, total cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol (by Dry chemistry Method - Vitros Chemistry 950 Xrl Johnson & Johnson) and LDL-cholesterol (calculated according to the Friedewald et al equation [20], adopting triglyceride levels below 400mg/dL.

Insulin Resistance Assessment: Serum insulin concentrations were analyzed by the Immunochemiluminescence method (Immulite 2000®, Siemens Health Care Diagnostics, Marburg, Germany). Subsequently, insulin and glucose concentrations were used to calculate the insulin resistance index: HOMA-IR (Homeostasis Model Assessment-Insulin Resistance) [21], being adopted as abnormal values above 3.5.

Inflammatory Stress: Levels high-sensitive C-reactive protein (CRP) were considered as a marker for inflammatory stress. Plasma concentrations of hs-CRP were quantified by immuno-nephelometric ultrasound assay (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics, Marburg, Germany), with a value above of 0.3 mg/dL as the reference value for inflammatory stress.

Oxidative Stress: To evaluate the oxidative stress of the individuals, plasma concentration of malonidialdehyde (MDA) was adopted as a maker and assessed by HPLC methodology (High Performance Liquid Chromatography-System LC10A®, Shimadzu, Japan). Based on the percentile distribution of the sample, normality values below 1.1 μmol / L was adopted.

Metabolic Syndrome Diagnosis: The Metabolic Syndrome diagnosis was according to the National Cholesterol Program’s Adult Treatment Panel III (NCEP-ATP III), where the subject should present at least 3 of the components changed to be considered [22,23].

Results

To verify the variables according to the presence or absence of abdominal obesity, individuals were divided into two groups and compared to each other. Obese individuals were differentiated from the eutrophic ones because they had higher values of BMI, %BF, WC, MMI, HOMA-IR hsCRP, Uric Acid, Triglycerides, Glicemia, SBP and DBP and lower values of HDL-c, flexibility and VO2max (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Eutrophy</th>
<th>Obesity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% BF (%)</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM (kg)</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEI (kcal/dia)</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexibility (cm)</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VO2max (mL/kg/min)</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handgrip (kgF)</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA-IR</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDA (μmol/L)</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uric Acid (mg/dL)</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin (g/dL)</td>
<td>189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC (cm)</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG (mg/dL)</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-c (mg/dL)</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glicemia (mg/dL)</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n= number of individuals; BMI: Body Mass Index; %BF: Percentual of Body Fat; MM: Muscle Mass; MMI: Muscle Mass Index. TEI: Total Energy Intake; VO2max: Maximal Aerobic Capacity; kgF: Kilogram Force; HOMA-IR: Homeostasis Index. TEI: Total Energy Intake; VO2max: Maximal Aerobic Capacity; kgF: Kilogram Force; HOMA-IR: Homeostasis Index.
The MMI percentilar distribution showed that men presented higher values in relation to women, in all percentiles values (Table 2). When analyzed the correlation between MMI and age, both genders presented an inverse correlation; \( r = -0.398 \) (\( p<0.0001 \)) for female and \( r = -0.269 \) (\( p<0.0084 \)) for female and male gender, respectively. Older individuals presented a higher prevalence of MMI under p25 when compared to younger (under 40 years) (Figure 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MMI (kg/m²)</th>
<th>p5</th>
<th>p10</th>
<th>p25</th>
<th>p50</th>
<th>p75</th>
<th>p90</th>
<th>p95</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>6.03</td>
<td>6.29</td>
<td>7.01</td>
<td>7.74</td>
<td>8.41</td>
<td>9.36</td>
<td>9.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>7.78</td>
<td>8.72</td>
<td>9.70</td>
<td>10.33</td>
<td>11.20</td>
<td>12.17</td>
<td>12.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MMI: Muscle Mass Index

Table 2: Muscle Mass Index percentilar distribution of the sample of individuals divided by gender.

Figure 1: Percentual distribution (%) according to Muscular Mass Index (MMI) percentil and age groups.
It was verified that individuals with high abdominal circumference are associated with lower cardiorespiratory fitness and hand grip strength (but this effect is neutralized after sex and age statistical adjustments). Even after all adjustments, poor flexibility and insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) also remained associated (Table 3).

The individuals with lower levels of muscle mass (lower MMI) are associated with low hand grip strength (association neutralized after gender and age adjustments). After all adjustments, lower MMI are associated with insulin resistance and lower levels of HDL-c (Table 4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VO2max</th>
<th>Handgrip</th>
<th>Flexibility</th>
<th>HOMA-IR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model 1</td>
<td>0.455 (0.209-0.988)</td>
<td>0.955 (0.909-1.003)</td>
<td>0.882 (0.819-0.951)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2</td>
<td>0.554 (0.249-1.231)</td>
<td><strong>0.935 (0.883-0.990)</strong></td>
<td>0.893 (0.827-0.965)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 3</td>
<td>0.592 (0.263-1.331)</td>
<td>0.981 (0.909-1.059)</td>
<td><strong>0.892 (0.819-0.972)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 4</td>
<td>2.075 (0.395-10.00)</td>
<td>0.991 (0.898-1.094)</td>
<td><strong>0.815 (0.694-0.958)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MDA</th>
<th>Uric Acid</th>
<th>CRP</th>
<th>HDL-c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model 1</td>
<td>0.578 (0.137-2.435)</td>
<td>1.027 (0.707-1.492)</td>
<td>1.959 (0.557-6.891)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2</td>
<td>0.547 (0.127-2.348)</td>
<td>1.106 (0.751-1.627)</td>
<td>1.711 (0.498-5.877)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 3</td>
<td>0.547 (0.127-2.363)</td>
<td>1.375 (0.880-2.149)</td>
<td>1.673 (0.509-5.494)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 4</td>
<td>0.686 (0.152-3.098)</td>
<td>1.131 (0.606-2.110)</td>
<td>1.791 (0.443-7.242)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Model 1: crude analysis; Model 2: adjusted for age; Model 3: adjusted for gender and age; Model 4: adjusted for gender, age and total energy intake.

Table 3: Logistic Regression Model adjusted for age, gender and total energy intake, to associate functional and metabolic outcomes according to abdominal obesity diagnosis evaluated by elevated waist circumference.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VO2max</th>
<th>Handgrip</th>
<th>Flexibility</th>
<th>HOMA-IR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model 1</td>
<td>0.672 (0.316-1.430)</td>
<td>1.046 (0.989-1.107)</td>
<td>1.005 (0.951-1.062)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2</td>
<td>0.847 (0.386-1.857)</td>
<td><strong>1.074 (1.009-1.143)</strong></td>
<td>0.995 (0.940-1.054)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 3</td>
<td>0.599 (0.237-1.516)</td>
<td>0.984 (0.896-1.080)</td>
<td>0.995 (0.938-1.056)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 4</td>
<td>0.976 (0.166-5.751)</td>
<td>0.933 (0.821-1.060)</td>
<td>1.009 (0.925-1.101)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MDA</th>
<th>Uric Acid</th>
<th>CRP</th>
<th>HDL-c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model 1</td>
<td>0.577 (0.182-1.825)</td>
<td>1.113 (0.727-1.702)</td>
<td>0.276 (0.067-1.141)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2</td>
<td>0.513 (0.1442-1.822)</td>
<td>1.132 (0.712-1.798)</td>
<td>0.275 (0.065-1.162)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 3</td>
<td>0.511 (0.132-1.983)</td>
<td>0.934 (0.551-1.584)</td>
<td>0.222 (0.047-1.048)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 4</td>
<td>0.476 (0.119-1.907)</td>
<td>1.253 (0.558-2.814)</td>
<td>0.115 (0.010-1.289)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Model 1: crude analysis; Model 2: adjusted for age; Model 3: adjusted for gender and age; Model 4: adjusted for gender, age and total energy intake.

Table 4: Logistic Regression Models, adjusted for age, gender and total energy intake, to associate functional and metabolic outcomes according to sarcopenia diagnosis evaluated by reduced Muscle Mass Index.

The metabolic syndrome (MS) prevalences in the present study was 38.59%, while the prevalence of sarcopenic obesity was 20.6%. Sarcopenic obesity did not differ between absence or presence of MS, presenting a prevalence of 23.3% and 21.1% respectively. The obese individuals, with normal MMI, presented higher HOMA-IR and us-CRP levels while the sarcopenic individuals are older. When diagnosed the sarcopenic obesity (group 4) are verified that those individuals are older (also verified in the group 3), presented lower strength, aerobic fitness and HDL-c levels when compared with control group (group 1 - Table 5).
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After the logistic regression model, it was verified that only cardiorespiratory fitness is determinant of sarcopenic obesity diagnosis. However, this effect disappears after adjusting for age (Table 6).

Model 1: crude analysis; Model 2: adjusted for gender; Model 3: adjusted for age; Model 4: adjusted for gender and age and total energy intake.

Table 6: Logistic regression model for sarcopenic obesity according flexibility and cardiorespiratory fitness in adults selected for lifestyle modification program.

Discussion

In the present study was verified that the presence of abdominal obesity are associated with clinical repercussions, not only anthropometric, but also biochemical-metabolic and/or functional fitness, when compared to those individuals with normal WC values.

It was adopted the waist circumference as a diagnostic criterion for obesity (abdominal), in contrast to the standard assessment of body mass index (BMI) in the characterization of the obesity phenotype. BMI is widely used in clinical and epidemiological practice, but this variable does not make inference to the distinction of corporal components distribution, considering the sum of adipose tissue, muscle and fat free mass [24,25]. In the present study, we aimed to evaluate the pathological outcomes associated to obesity, adopting the abdominal obesity (WC) instead to BMI. Due to the method to assess body composition (bioelectrical impedance), the percentage of body fat was not considered as an indicator of body fat because those values was complementary to the muscle mass in the evaluation.
The World Health Organization defines overweight and obesity as an abnormal or excessive accumulation of body fat that may negatively affect the health status [26,27]. The abnormal fat accumulation (excessive) is directly related to metabolic dysfunctions such as type 2 diabetes mellitus and cardiovascular diseases. Currently, the pattern of fat distribution (ectopic or non) has been associated with the pathogenesis of diseases, where the lipids accumulation in the abdominal region (central/visceral adiposity) has been associated with greater pathogenic activity [24,25].

The abdominal obesity (assessed by waist circumference) are directly associated with higher risk factors for cardiovascular disease [28], as well as metabolic disorders associated with pro-inflammatory activity of adipose tissue, as the insulin resistance [29]. One of the major abnormalities associated with metabolic syndrome, insulin resistance plays a central role in the pathophysiology of this pathological condition, usually accompanied by higher triglycerides and lower HDL-c levels [30]. In a meta-analysis study, was verified that the presence of metabolic syndrome increases 1.5 to 2 times the risk for cardiovascular diseases and 3 to 5 times the risk for developing type 2 diabetes mellitus [31-33].

In the present study was verified that individuals with abdominal obesity presented an higher body fat (% BF) and muscle mass (MMI). For the physical fitness, they presented impaired flexibility and cardiorespiratory fitness (VO_{2max}) when compared to individuals with normal WC values. Central adiposity (higher WC) reflects on increased inflammatory stress (high CRP) and insulin resistance (HOMA-IR), along with alteration of all of the metabolic syndrome components: higher levels of triglyceride, glycemia, blood pressure measurements and lower levels of HDL-c.

Nowadays the evidences are increasing to support that lower levels of physical activity presents an independent risk factor for all-cause mortality [34-35]. Physical inactivity and food inadequacy has been considered as the major causes of overweight and obesity status in the worldwide population. The adipose tissue has been considered an endocrine organ (engaged on synthesis of cytokines and acute phase proteins), with pro-inflammatory activity considered as a trigger for pathological pathways, favoring both the pathophysiology of insulin resistance and the degradation of skeletal muscle mass and muscle function [36,37]. The presented study verified that the lower aerobic fitness (VO_{2max}) and muscle quality (handgrip strength) as well as the higher levels of insulin resistance, were the major factors to determine the abdominal obesity accumulation.

The muscle mass quantity are distinct between genders and the diagnostic criteria of sarcopenia (MMI) should to take this into account, where male individuals presents presented higher values, than female. In the present study, when considered the reference values for sarcopenia proposed by Janssen [5]: MMI< 6.75 kg/m² towomen and MMI< 10.75 kg/m² to men, only 15.25% of women were diagnosed with sarcopenia whereas in the men group the prevalence was 65.26%. Due to this discrepancy in the sarcopenia prevalence between genders, we utilized the percentile distribution of the IMM as a diagnostic criterion, considering values lower than p25 as the status of sarcopenia. When compared to the reference values proposed by Janssen, the women present the proposed reference value between p10-p25 and already for males this value is between p50-p75 of our sample (Table 2).

Strategies to reduce body weight are usually focused on reduce energy intake and/or increase the physical activity levels. The energy imbalance can be effective to lose weight, but also can affect another tissues and sistems, as the muscle mass. This condition may affect negatively the muscle mass, and in the obesity treatment, the low muscle mass status is not desirable. Skeletal muscle mass plays a central role in the body homeostasis, responsible for a lot of metabolic pathways. Among these activities, the regulation of glycemic homeostasis is highlighted, due to an important function of glucose uptake, insulin dependent [4].

The physiological loss of muscle mass and function with ageing (sarcopenia) is a conditioning factor for fragility and physical disability in the elderly, impairing their motor capacity, their independence for daily living activities and becoming more susceptible to falls and fractures [38,39].

As highlighted, the glucose homeostasis are regulated by muscle mass, then the sarcopenia status may affects negatively the insulin activity and glucose metabolism, leading to insulin resistance. The low muscle mass may also be related to increased cardiovascular risk, illustrated by some findings in the literature verifying the prevalence of low levels of HDL-c in sarcopenic individuals. The possible explanation for the relationship between HDL-c and sarcopenia is the interaction with inflammatory mediators, whith evidences suggesting that the activity of HDL-c as well as its apoproteins (ApoA-1 and ApoE) have anti-inflammatory properties, being protective to skeletal...
respiratory fitness represents the common concerns, and the occurrence of these two pathological conditions, when analyzed isolately, are well known. The sarcopenicobesity diagnosis, that consists in the concomitant presence of these two pathological conditions, is still little studied and evidenced in the literature. The coexistence of these two clinical conditions incitates a peculiar care in order to evaluate the possibility of presenting a potential risk for individual's health [10,42]. One of the major limitation in the study of sarcopenic obesity is the fact that there is no diagnostic consensus, as well as the appropriate methodology for their assessment [43].

The methods to assess body fat and skeletal muscle mass are usually adopted according to structure available (DXA, bioelectrical impedance, skinfolds) and the reference values are specific to population and methodology utilized. This condition implies in a difficulty in comparing data, as well as in the replication to general population. So it is imply in a effort to imply methodologies that present a favorable cost-benefit ratio, and also could be applicable and reliable to body composition parameters.

In the present study we adopted waist circumference as a criteria to assess obesity, particularly the central adiposity, due to its important pathogenic activity [28] and by the assessment facility. To diagnose sarcopenia, muscle mass was assessed by bioelectrical impedance instead of skinfolds because this methodology is most feasible to quantifying muscle mass in obese individuals.

The presence of obesity and simultaneous sarcopenia may be a feedback system for these two pathological conditions. Since in the picture of obesity a pro-inflammatory picture is observed. Detected by cytokines (TNF-α) that directly interfere with muscle integrity. As well as the adipose excess and the infiltration of fat in skeletal muscle mass interfere in muscular function and power generation. The sarcopenia. With functional consequences may limit the practice of physical exercises as well as act directly in reducing the voluntary energy expenditure of the individual. Thus constituting a factor that may favor adipose accumulation if there is no balance in the individual’s energy balance [44].

Obesity and sarcopenia presents in common the inactive lifestyle (lower levels of physical activity and food inadequacy) as a triggering factor for clinical and pathological outcomes verified in both conditions [45]. In the present study we verified that insulin resistance and cardiorespiratory fitness represents the common points to discriminate the sarcopenic obese individuals.

Insulin resistance and cardiorespiratory fitness are associated with metabolic syndrome. Both obesity than sarcopenia are also associated with this condition, illustrating a possible association that both diagnoses, sarcopenic obesity, could present a risk factor for metabolic syndrome development [46]. We verified a prevalence of 38.6% of metabolic syndrome in our sample, at first this prevalence was considered high, but expected to our population. These individuals were clinically selected for a lifestyle modification program, in spontaneous demand, and usually they look for the program because they already have some health parameter altered or some metabolic syndrome component.

Some data illustrates sarcopenic obesity as a risk factor for metabolic syndrome, mainly associated with cardiovascular risk [47,48], however, the mechanisms to explain this association are little explored. The most accepted explanation is the inflammatory stress (particularly high C reactive protein levels) related to both obesity (related to increase the inflammatory stress) and sarcopenia (affected negatively by the inflammation) increasing the risk for metabolic syndrome [49,50]. We not verified differences between presence and absence of metabolic syndrome and the sarcopenic obesity diagnosis, showing that in this population, sarcopenic obesity may not be influenced by metabolic syndrome or that metabolic syndrome cannot discriminate the sarcopenic obesity status.

In order to analyze the factors that discriminate the sarcopenic obesity individual, was verified significance only with flexibility and cardiorespiratory fitness (VO2max). After logistic regression model, only the good cardiorespiratory fitness differentiates the diagnosis and is related to a lower chance of the individuals presents sarcopenic obesity.

**Conclusion**

Hyperadiposity (abdominal) and muscular hypotrophy lead to reduced physical fitness and promoted blood alterations in different ways, as well
when the sarcopenic obesity diagnosis was assessed. In the present population, the diagnostic criteria used were able to illustrate a prevalence consistent with the scientific literature, valuing this criterion adopted. As a determining variable of the sarcopenic obesity individuals, only cardiorespiratory fitness was discriminant.
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