Glycemic Variability in Diabetic Patients Adding Canagliflozin 300Mg to their Underlying Treatment
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Abstract

Background: The kidney is vital for the regulation of glucose. Sodium-glucose co-transporter-2 (SGLT2) receptors are located in the initial portion of the proximal tubule and are responsible for 80–90% of total glucose reabsorption. SGLT2 inhibitors are a new class of drugs tested to treat type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM) patients; their objective is to control glucose in an insulin-independent manner, via glycosuria.

Objective: This study aimed to determine the effects of oral canagliflozin on plasma glucose levels, in patients with type 2 DM that is inadequately controlled by standard therapy. The drug was administered at a dose of 300 mg every 24 hours, and plasma glucose levels were being measured using the iPRO2 system.

Materials and methods: This is a pilot, prospective, and longitudinal study. Patients with uncontrolled diabetes were recruited, determined by blood glucose levels and glycosylated hemoglobin levels > 7%, with no modification of treatment dosage (oral hypoglycemic agents or insulin treatment) in the previous 3 months. Blood pressure and somatometric measurements were obtained and recorded: 300mg canagliflozin was then administered daily. Patients were monitored using the iPRO2 system, and metabolic control was determined 3 months after initiation of treatment.

A total of 45 patients were included in the study. Results followed normal distribution, and were analyzed by ANOVA, presenting statistical significance for changes in HbA1c (p < 0.001), BMI (p < 0.001), and blood pressure (p < 0.05).

Conclusion: Glycemic control is improved in diabetic patients when treated with 300mg Canagliflozin this was associated with the optimization of renal function and insulin-independent correction of glycemic levels, demonstrated by iPRO2 monitoring.
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Introduction

In the early 1970s, Vick, Diedrich, and Bawman demonstrated that the reabsorption of blood glucose occurs in the proximal tubule through the activity of sodium and glucose co-transporters (SGLT) 1 and 2 [1,2]. Florizin was the first SGLT inhibitor identified; it is obtained from the bark of apple trees and induces glucosuria, and therefore helps to normalize blood glucose levels. However, it does not distinguish between SGLT 1 and 2, and also affects the gastrointestinal tract. Therefore, the synthesis of an SGLT2-specific inhibitory molecule is required, with an improved absorption rate and associated increased bioavailability, and fewer adverse effects [2,3].

SGLT 1 and 2 are responsible for glucose reabsorption through the kidney, therefore inhibition of these co-transporters should prevent glucose excretion in the urine [4,5]. Both co-transporters are located in the proximal tubule: SGLT2 is located specifically in the first portion of the proximal tubule and is responsible for the reabsorption of 80-90% of glucose filtered in the kidney. SGLT1 is located in the distal portion of the proximal tubule and is responsible for the reabsorption of the remaining 10-20% of the filtered glucose.1,4,24 SGLT1 is also expressed in the bowel, heart, and lung, while SGLT2 is expressed almost exclusively in the kidney [3].

SGLT2 inhibitors are a new class of drugs tested for the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM), which aim to control glucose in an insulin-independent manner. This is done by inducing glucosuria, which is caused by the decrease in the transport maximum (Tm) and the reduction of the blood glucose threshold concentration [3-5]. The rate of glucose excreted by the urine increases in a linear fashion when it surpasses the Tm or renal elimination threshold (180mg/dl) [3,4].

The therapeutic effects of SGLT2 inhibitors include the inhibition of glucose reabsorption, thus reducing glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c) levels; weight loss, as 80-120 g glucose is excreted daily in urine, corresponding to a caloric loss of 320-480 kcal/day; and a decrease in systolic blood pressure, as urinary glucose excretion leads to osmotic diuresis [1,3-5]. Other indirect effects of SGLT2 inhibition are the reduction of glucotoxicity, increased pancreatic beta cell function, and a tendency towards hepatic glucose sensitivity, as well as peripheral sensitivity to insulin [6,7], probably as an indirect effect of glycemic control and reduction in glucotoxicity.

The efficacy of SGLT2 inhibitors has been demonstrated by the consistent reduction in HbA1c levels, both when used as monotherapy and in combination with other antidiabetic agents [1]. Approved SGLT2 inhibitors have been shown to reduce both fasting and postprandial glucose levels, blood pressure (particularly systolic pressure), and body mass index by inducing weight loss [8]. They therefore provide beneficial effects in populations with a high obesity index and high rates of morbidity and mortality, that are often at risk of conditions such as cardiovascular disease [3,5,2,9].

In addition, it is noteworthy that the tendency of other Antidiabetic agents (such as insulin, sulfonylurea’s, and thiazolidinediones) to promote weight gain can be mitigated, by adding SGLT2 inhibitors to the treatment regime of patients that require additional therapy to achieve their therapeutic goals [1,10].

Objectives

This study aims to assess the effects of once-daily canagliflozin 300 mg therapy on plasma mean amplitude of glycemic excursions (MAGE), in patients with inadequately controlled type2 DM in a real clinical setting. Data will be measured using the capillary glucose continuous ambulatory monitoring system iPRO2, and changes in HbA1c, body weight and blood pressure will be assessed over 3 months.

Materials and Methods

This is a pilot, longitudinal, prospective clinical study of real-world clinical evidence in an outpatient medical center. The protocol was applied to those patients who regularly attend clinical consultation and who require an adjustment to their treatment due to inadequate glycemic control. That is, those with HbA1c levels higher than the control goals of 7%, despite receiving treatment for the previous 3 months without dosage or therapy changes, with metformin monotherapy, a dipeptidyl peptidase IV (DPP-IV) inhibitor, or a combination of both, or basal or multi-dose insulin or sulfonylurea.

It is justifiable to modify therapy for these patients, and those who can receive 300 mg canagliflozin according to approved indicators, will be candidates for the placement of the iPRO2 system. This system will continuously monitor capillary glucose levels in ambulatory patients over a period of 5 days.

The iPRO2 Medtronic is a continuous glucose monitoring system that improves the glycemic control of patients with diabetes. This system allows continuous and accurate measurement of fluctuating...
glucose levels, which normally could not be detected with the HbA1c test. This system also detects hypo- and hyperglycemia and therefore can prevent complications of poor glycemic control [11].

The iPRO2 contains a glucose sensor that automatically performs serum glucose measurements and records them continuously in a database. It is easy to use by the patient and allows regular daily activities since the device is placed in the abdomen; it is small, lightweight, and waterproof, and the patient does not interact directly with it or with the information obtained.

After 5 days of using the iPRO2, patients attended a medical consultation to analyze the glycemic control data: the information was obtained using iPRO Care Link™ software downloaded from the manufacturer's website, and showed the summary of blood glucose levels during the period of use [11]. The database was compared to the patients’ data online, and was reported using follow-up charts and continuous evaluation, as well as reports of hypo- and hyperglycemic events, and substantial changes caused by physical activity, stress, and nourishment. The report given by the software was also useful for patient education and motivation, as it allowed patient review and comprehension of integrated blood glucose levels and fluctuations in different situations.

Patients who participated in the study voluntarily accepted the placement of the iPRO2 device and were asked to maintain a continuous review of capillary glucose levels on four occasions per day: stating whether this was three meals per day, with a fast in the morning. They were also asked to write down a list of the daily food they consumed, who was to be notifying by telephone in the case of hypoglycemia or any other complication. On the third day of iPRO2 placement, patients were instructed to take one canagliflozin 300 mg tablet, 20 min before the first meal of the day, and to continue with this treatment unless instructed to discontinue by the attending physician.

**Selection Criteria**

**Inclusion criteria:** Men and women with type 2 DM and prior unmodified treatment in the previous 3 months; whose previous treatment is one of metformin, DPP-IV inhibitor, or both in combination; insulin; sulfonylurea; diet; or glucagon-like peptide type 1 (GLP-1); with HbA1c levels > 7% who regularly attend a physician; who show an adequate attachment to their previous pharmacological treatment; and who are aged between 18 and 75 years.

**Exclusion criteria:** Patients who do not accept the indicated treatment; pregnant or lactating women; those with a glomerular filtration rate <45ml/min calculated by MDRD; those with a medical history of Child-Pugh C hepatic disease, intestinal malabsorption syndromes, or inflammatory bowel disease; those who have suffered a cardiovascular event in the last 3 months (acute myocardial infarction, acute coronary syndrome, unstable angina, angioplasty or bypass); those who previously suffered a cerebrovascular event, transient ischemic attack, or pulmonary thromboembolism; or those who are participating in another clinical trial, or have participated in the last 30 days before attending the doctor's office.

The iPRO2 system was withdrawn on the fifth day and patients continued with the indicated treatment, attending clinical visits according to their personal care standards. This study evaluated HbA1c levels, body weight, and blood pressure parameters, which were measured 3 months after the initiation of canagliflozin treatment.

**Statistical Analysis**

SPSS software version 20.0 (SPSS, Inc., Armonk, NY) was used for statistical analysis. A descriptive statistical analysis was performed for quantitative variables, reporting measures of central and dispersion tendency. Frequencies and percentages were obtained for qualitative variables. Quantitative comparative variables were reviewed for distribution, using the Shapiro-Wilk test to determine normality, ANOVA for repeated samples in the case of measured variables with the iPRO2, or the Friedman’s test in order to compare baseline values with endpoint values after 3 months of canagliflozin treatment. We used Student’s t-test for related samples or the Wilcoxon signed-rank test. A p value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

A total of 26 patients participated (14 women and 12 men), aged between 18 and 78 years (average 54.2 years); with diabetes durations from 4 months to 36 years (average 11.43 years). Previous treatments were as follows: 13 patients treated with insulin, two with metformin, one with GLP-1, one with DPP-IV, four with metformin and DPP-IV in combination, two with sulfonylurea, and one with diet. HbA1c levels ranged between 7.4-14% (average 9.26%); BMI from 21.15-57.04 kg/m² (average 30.36 kg/m²); serum creatinine levels from 0.4-0.6 mg/dl (average 0.9mg/dl);
glomerular filtration index (GFI) rate between 50-297 ml/min (average 110.9 ml/min); systolic blood pressure between 110-160 mmHg (average 135 mmHg), and diastolic blood pressure between 70-95 mmHg (average 84.68 mmHg).

The iPRO2 system was placed for 6 days; during the last three days, 300 mg of canagliflozin was added to patients’ usual treatment before the first meal of the day, and daily charts were registered for 5 days through iPRO2 software. Patients attended the doctor's office three months later. Two patients did not complete the study: one had a urinary tract infection and discontinued treatment, and one used the treatment within 3 days of the protocol, voluntarily discontinued treatment, and died of myocardial infarction due to arrhythmia diagnosed 2 months after protocol initiation.

HbA1c levels after treatment with canagliflozin ranged from 5.2 to 9.5% (average 7.2%); BMI between 19.81-53.5 kg/m² (29.31 kg/m² average); serum creatinine between 0.4-1.3 mg/dl (0.83 mg/dl average); GFI between 42-318 ml/min (117.6 ml/min average); systolic blood pressure between 100-160 mmHg (118 mmHg average), and diastolic blood pressure between 70-90 mmHg (79.2 mmHg average).

In assessing the effects of once-daily canagliflozin on MAGE in patients with inadequately controlled type 2 DM, no statistically significant difference was observed (p=0.08) between Day 0 and subsequent days. This was also observed for mean glucose values (Figure 1), and hypoglycemia (p=0.11 and p=0.45, respectively, Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Dia 1(n=22) Mean(ED)</th>
<th>Dia 0(n=22) Mean(ED)</th>
<th>Dia 1(n=22) Mean(ED)</th>
<th>Dia 2(n=21) Mean(ED)</th>
<th>Dia 3(n=22) Mean(ED)</th>
<th>Dia 4(n=21) Mean(ED)</th>
<th>Dia 5(n=18) Mean(ED)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Standard Deviation (SD)</td>
<td>25,11(15.02)</td>
<td>32,11(22.39)</td>
<td>30,11(20.82)</td>
<td>24,72(12.67)</td>
<td>25,33(14.35)</td>
<td>27,44(20.25)</td>
<td>24,56(22.6)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>153,17(52.9)</td>
<td>133,94(34.6)</td>
<td>138(36.8)</td>
<td>127,5(24.4)</td>
<td>122,1(24)</td>
<td>124,67(31.2)</td>
<td>125,61(32.2)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excursions</td>
<td>1,22(1.1)</td>
<td>2,56(1.6)</td>
<td>1,94(1)</td>
<td>2,50(1.3)</td>
<td>2,00(1.3)</td>
<td>1,67(1.3)</td>
<td>1,33(1)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypoglycemia</td>
<td>0.06(.23)</td>
<td>.56(.70)</td>
<td>.11(.32)</td>
<td>.33(.84)</td>
<td>.33(.59)</td>
<td>.22(.42)</td>
<td>.17(.38)</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T range</td>
<td>52.29(41.17)</td>
<td>63.24(31.11)</td>
<td>65.18(32.83)</td>
<td>69(26.52)</td>
<td>73.35(24.89)</td>
<td>72.88(28.76)</td>
<td>70.82(29.13)</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Daily glucose measurements with iPRO2.

Changes in HbA1c levels after 3 months of canagliflozin treatment were studied (Figure 2) and a statistically significant difference (p <0.001) was observed, with a median decrease of 8.3% (range 8.1-10.3%) at baseline, and a final value of 7.1% (range 6.6-7.5%, Table 2). Decreased BMI and blood pressure were also observed: these decreases were statistically significant (p <0.05).

Figure 1: Mean glucose changes (mg/dl) during patient’s daily life, measured through iPRO2.

Figure 2: Box plot diagram that shows: HbA1c changes after 3 months of treatment with canagliflozin.
Discussion

In our study, the results for body weight changes and serum glucose levels are promising and consistent with the worldwide literature [12]. The addition of a 300mg daily dose of SGLT2 inhibitor (canagliflozin), either in dual oral therapy or added as dual therapy to insulin [7], affects multiple systems in patients with uncontrolled diabetes. This includes direct action on the renal system in the proximal tubule [3], as well as effects on indirect receptors of the glucose transporter in other organs such as the bowel, heart, and lung [2,6,13].

In the presence of SGLT2 inhibitors, the renal homeostatic mechanism allows a reduction in HbA1c, as well as an absence of sporadic glycemic peaks during the treatment. This latter effect decreases glycated formations and systemic cellular deposits in the long term, with a subsequent reduction in blood glucose and HbA1c levels [14,15]. In our study, serum glucose levels tended to decrease the figures obtained for blood glucose would have an impact, as reported globally in clinical trials. The model for adjust HbA1c can be applied, because it is significance glucose after allayment [16]. This would therefore be beneficial to patients with diabetes with poor therapeutic control, by decreasing their risk of cardiovascular disease, which is the main cause of morbidity and mortality in these patients [10].

Hayward et al. showed that strict control of serum glucose levels, for 12 years in patients with type 2 DM, led to a decrease in HbA1c values of up to 1.5% in the first 5 years of the intervention (mean figures of 6.9% versus 8.4%). As above, the reduction of HbA1c values led to a 17% decrease in the risk of presenting a cardiovascular event, compared to patients with standard glycemic control. These factors were especially beneficial in patients over 55 years of age [17].

With the addition of 300mg canagliflozin, additional benefits were reported, such as weight loss [8] and decreased blood pressure: this was secondary to the insulin-independent mechanism [18]. When inducing glycosuria, if glucose exceeds the threshold of 180mg/dl, urinary glucose concentration increases linearly, achieving a diuretic effect which also contributes to lowering blood pressure as a compensatory mechanism.

We also observed body weight changes in our population, as reported in the literature [8]. There is an association between diabetes and being overweight: once patients with diabetes lose weight, it is easier to control their blood glucose levels [19]. In fact, diabetes education and medication are suggested as part of diabetes management to achieve therapeutic goals. Lowering BMI improves metabolic prognosis and required drug dosage [20]. Patients receiving canagliflozin therapy tend to lose up to 4.2% of their initial weight, which corresponds to the findings of our study [10]. This has the additional benefit of decreasing the glucose/insulin ratio, via the bowel mechanism [2,6].

Research surrounding the intestinal mechanism continues, since body weight loss is not only due to the dosage of canagliflozin, as it affects not only SGLT2 receptors but also the SGLT1 in intestine. Studies such as that by Polidori show a reduction in renal postprandial glucose in healthy patients, regulated by renal SGLT2 and intestinal SGLT1 [6]. Despite the current lack of therapeutic indication, ongoing clinical trials such as CANVAS (Canagliflozin Cardiovascular

---

**Table 2: Comparative evaluation after 3 months of treatment with canagliflozin.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Population</th>
<th>Basal</th>
<th>Final</th>
<th>*p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c</td>
<td>n= 25, median (q1-q3)</td>
<td>n= 25, median (q1-q3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.3 (8.1 - 10.3)</td>
<td>7.1 (6.6 - 7.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>28.2 (25.9 -33.3)</td>
<td>27.7 (24.8 - 31.7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure</td>
<td>140 (120 - 147.5)</td>
<td>130 (120 - 140)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure</td>
<td>85 (80 - 90)</td>
<td>80 (70 -85)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal creatinine</td>
<td>0.9 (0.8 - 1)</td>
<td>0.8 (0.7 - 1)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFR</td>
<td>100 (69.5 - 117)</td>
<td>102 (74 - 147)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HbA1c: Glycosylated hemoglobin, BMI: Body Mass Index, GFR: Glomerular filtration rate obtained by MDRD, n: Number of patients, Q1 - Q3: Quartile 1 and quartile 3, * Wilcoxon rank test.
Assessment Study), whose results will be reported in the next few years, will provide scientific evidence for this therapeutics.

The UKPDS and the latest guidelines of the American Diabetes Association (ADA) [21], report that SGLT2 inhibitors have shown vital importance in decreasing cardiovascular risk in patients with diabetes, by controlling glucose peaks as demonstrated by iPRO2 monitoring. This impact, along with the reduction of HbA1c, causes patients with diabetes to moderate their own plasma glucose levels, thus avoiding hyperglycemia and secondly chronic cell damage.

Conclusions

Currently, there is a continuous increase in patients diagnosed with diabetes worldwide. A large proportion of patients with diabetes do not achieve control goals with lifestyle modifications and pharmacotherapies. Current treatment guidelines for patients with type 2 DM recommend the use of SGLT2 inhibitors as an alternative when metformin is not tolerated or appropriate, or as an adjunct when metformin fails to confer adequate glycemic control. SGLT2 inhibitors hold additional promise as antidiabetic agents, since they have the advantage of acting as part of a component of the pathophysiology of type 2 DM which leads to increased renal glucose reabsorption.

SGLT2 inhibitors provide increased insulin-independent glycemic control, as monotherapy or in dual therapy with other antidiabetic agents. Hyperglycemia is implicit in type 2 DM and is the main risk factor for microvascular and macrovascular complications. Other abnormalities that manifest in type 2 DM include obesity, hypertension, and dyslipidemia; these factors complicate the management of patients with diabetes and cause predisposition to the development of further complications.

Prospective UK studies (UKPDS) have shown that for every 1% decrease in HbA1c, there is a 37% reduction in the risk of microvascular complications. However, half of the patients with diabetes worldwide fail to achieve therapeutic goals. Glucotoxicity has been associated with important cardiovascular complications, inflammatory effects, and renal damage. Therefore, it is important to mention that blood glucose control involves factors beyond maintaining normal fasting glycemic figures, which unfortunately remains as the clinical criterion of control even today, since many hospitals do not have access to Hb1Ac reagents.

The iPRO2 system detects changes in blood glucose 288 times a day, and an average of 1,600 glucose measurements is obtained within a period of 6 days. This system allows the objective study of glucose excursions, including its behavior over a period of 6 days and at different times, postprandial changes, and the effects of standard meals. It also allows the identification of hypoglycemic episodes, and identifies whether adding canagliflozin to normoglycemic treatment improves glycemic behavior.

We can conclude that, despite not obtaining statistically significant change in glucose excursions in the studied patients, canagliflozin induced statistically significant reductions in HbA1c and body weight. This drug may therefore be a useful tool for patients with uncontrolled diabetes, and may reduce the potential for micro- and macrovascular complications.
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