



Effect of Storage Method on the Nutritional Composition and Sensory Acceptability of Potato in Holeta, Ethiopia

Biadge Kefale*

Food Science and Nutrition Research Holeta research center, Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research Holeta, Ethiopia

***Corresponding author:** Biadge Kefale, Food Science and Nutrition Research Holeta research center, Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research Holeta, Ethiopia, Email: biadgekefale@yahoo.com

Research Article

Volume 5 Issue 5

Received Date: September 30, 2019

Published Date: October 29, 2020

DOI: 10.23880/fsnt-16000234

Abstract

One of the constraints to the potential of potato is its perishability after harvest. This problem is due to lack of suitable storage methods. This study intended to evaluate storability of potato variety (Gudena) and to assess the effectiveness of selected storage methods under Ethiopian condition. Three storage methods were investigated with 100kg of fresh potato. The storage methods were ground pit, Dark house and field storage. Results obtained from this study showed that ground pit were more preferable whereas in field and in dark house storage were less preferable due to physiological change in five month storage time. Ash content were significantly ($p < 0.05$) different among storage methods from 5.2% to 6.02%. Texture and overall acceptability in fried and boiled form of potato significantly affect acceptability of potato in all storage methods. Taste and color in fried form of potato not significantly ($p < 0.05$) affect acceptability of potato in storage methods and storage time. Dark house storage were preferable for the two potato products boiled and fried form (crisp) forms while in terms of physiological and nutrient preservation of potato ground pit were preferable up to five month storage

Keywords: Storage; Nutrient and Sensory

Introduction

Potato is a versatile, carbohydrate rich food highly popular worldwide and prepared and served in a variety of ways. Freshly harvested, it contains about 80 percent water and 20 percent dry matter. About 60 to 80 percent of the dry matter is starch. On a dry weight basis, the protein content of potato is similar to that of cereals and is very high in comparison with other roots and tubers. In addition, the potato is low in fat. Potatoes are rich in several micronutrients, especially vitamin C eaten with its skin; a single medium sized potato of 150 g provides nearly half the daily adult requirement (100 mg). Potato is a moderate source of iron, and its high vitamin C content promotes iron absorption. It is a good source of vitamins B1, B3 and B6 and

minerals such as potassium, phosphorus and magnesium, and contains folate, pantothenic acid and riboflavin. Potatoes also contain dietary antioxidants, which may play a part in preventing diseases related to ageing, and dietary fiber, which benefits health.

The potato has a wide consumption and use field is required to be short or long term stored within the periods when there is no potato production. The potato tubers are living creatures that make respiratory even after the harvest. Therefore they may substantially lose their weights and qualities by being subjected to matter loss during the respiratory. It leads to weight and nutritional losses along the storage period that the potato tubers have high moisture content and metabolic activity [1]. These losses are

mostly resulted from respiratory, transpiration and sprout development. The sprout development increases weight loss, shrinkage and the toxic alkaloid accumulation and tuber texture, hardness and nutritive value had been decreasing [2].

In case the dehydration exceeds 5% during long storage of potatoes, significant changes occur at their qualities due to excessive withering and mellowing [3-5]. Burton stated that a weight loss at 0.15% occurs within the timeframe of last a few months of long storage period. Schippers [5] stated that the losses of tuber weights showed a linear increase as the storage period increases. The storage of potato tubers at low temperatures (2-4°C) indicates that offshoot development has been prevented for a long period and the sugar accumulation adversely affecting the chips quality has increased [6]. Therefore the study aimed at investigating the possible changes in nutritional composition of potatoes during storage from different storage methods.

Materials and Methods

Farmer storage methods in potato production areas in west and north Shewa districts (Welmera, Jeldu and Degem districts) were assessed and three storage methods practiced by farmers but the popular storage method was field storage according to the farmer's response. Gudena variety was used for this experiment in the Mian season of 2011/2013 E.C. Sample was collected after maturity and used for Quality and nutritional analysis. The result of fresh harvest sample was used as reference for Quality and nutritional content comparison for the different storage method. Three storage methods were used for the experimentation.

The treatments were set up as follow

Treatment 1: storage in ground pit- with alternate layers of grass and finally covered with soil.

Treatment 2: In field storage -stored in the farm until the rainy season.

Treatment 3: In dark house stored in closed room with only one door

After setting up the potato in the various storage methods and data was collected every month

Nutrient Analysis

Moisture Content

Five gram of ground sample in a clean dry moisture crucible were placed in oven at 105oc for three hour and the sample were allowed to cool in a desiccators to maintain the sample temperature to room temperature for 30 minute.

$$MC = \text{Weight before} - \text{Weight after} * 100 / \text{Total weight}$$

Total Protein-Kjeldhal Method

One gram ground sample measured and transferred into completely dry kjeldhal flask. Ten gram of kjeldhal Tablet was added to the sample inside the flask. Twenty milliliter of 98% concentrated sulphuric acid was mixed with the sample. The sample digestion was started by connecting the kjeldhal flasks with the digestion rock (2000 Food ALYT SBS). And the digestion was completed when the brown color of the sample was completely disappeared. After the digested sample was cooled, 250 ml of distilled water and 70 ml of sodium hydroxide (32%) were added and distilled into 25ml of excess boric acid containing 0.5ml of screened indicator. The distillate was titrated with 0.1N hydrochloric acid to the red end point.

$$\text{Total (\%)} = T - B * 0.1401 / W,$$

W is weight of the sample taken for analysis

T is volume of HCl used for titration

B is blank used as control

$$\text{Crude protein (CP\%)} = N * 6.25$$

Fat Content

Three gram of dry sample was weighed to in an extraction thimble; it was placed in the extraction unit. The flask was connected to hexane containing at 2/3 of total volume to the extractor until 6 hours. When finished, the hexane was evaporated by distillation or in a Rota evaporator. The flasks were cooled in a dryer and weighed.

$$\% \text{ oil} = \frac{\text{weight of sample} - \text{weight of residue after extraction}}{\text{Weight of sample}} \times 100$$

Ash Content

Three gram of dry sample was weighed out into the crucible, after the crucible has been heated and weighed and was placed in a temperature controlled furnace at 550oC for about 3hours for proper ashing. The crucible was then cooled in desiccators and immediately weighed.

$$\% \text{ Ash} = \frac{\text{weight of ash remaining}}{\text{Weight of original sample}} \times 100$$

Result and Discussion

Physiological changes

The physiological change observed for five month storage period was presented in Table 1. Physiological changes in the stored potato were very low in the first month and in ground pit storage were very low. The physiological change such as shape, weight, color and germination were

normal up to fourth month storage. For ground pit storage up to five month storage there were no physiological changes. But for the other storage methods there were physiological change. Dark house storage and infield storage were more physiological change was observed especially in weight

loss and sprout. Ground pit storage method was superior in preserving the physiological change which keeps from sprouting and fresh more than in field and dark house storage methods. These findings were in agreement with reports by Sandifolo, et al. [7].

Storage type	Month	October	November	December	January	February	March
Ground pit	Shape	N	N	N	N	N	Changed
	weight	N	N	N	N	N	lose
	Color	N	N	N	N	N	N
	germination	N	N	N	N	N	sprout
Infield	Shape	N	N	N	N	change	change
	weight	N	N	N	N	lose	lose
	Color	N	N	N	N	N	N
	germination	N	N	N	N	sprout	sprout
Dark house	Shape	N	N	N	N	change	change
	weight	N	N	N	N	lose	lose
	Color	N	N	N	N	N	N
	germination	N	N	N	N	sprout	sprout

Table 1: Physical change of stored potato at different time interval and different storage type. N =stands for Normal.

Nutrient Content Analysis Of Potato

The means of percent change in the various nutrients before and after the five month storage were observed. The ash content of potato among the five storage months there were significant different at $p < 0.05$ (Table 2). While protein, Fat and Moisture content of potato for the five month storage there were not significant different at $p < 0.05$ (Table 2). The ash content of protein increase from first month (5.2) up to the five month storage (6.2). among the storage methods the ash content of potato there were significant different at

$p < 0.05$. while protein, fat and moisture content there were not significant different at $p < 0.05$. after five month the ash content were high value in ground pit storage (5.9%) and infield storage (6.02%) respectively. Generally results of chemical analysis of crude protein, fat, ash and moisture content shown in Tables 2 & 3 the storage methods differed significantly ($p < 0.05$) in preserving the ash content. Protein, fat and moisture content were not differed significantly both on effect of storage methods and storage time (Tables 2 & 3). While the ash content was significantly ($p < 0.05$) higher in all storage methods and storage time (Tables 2 & 3).

Month	Nutrient			
	Protein	Fat	ash	MC
1.October (harvest)	6.83±0.02	0.65±0.07	5.20±0.28b	9.0±1.4
2.November	6.54±0.11	0.56±0.30	5.80±0.30ab	9.38±0.91
3.December	7.11±1.0	0.71±.23	5.86±0.30a	8.01±0.95
4.January	6.5±1.0	2.63±0.07	5.60±0.17a	8.66±.48
5.February	6.53±0.69	0.70±.29	6.03±0.32a	8.5±.40

Table 2: Nutrient content result in different storage month.

Storage type	Nutrient			
	Protein	Fat	ash	MC
1. data during Harvesting	6.83±0.02	.65±.02	5.2±0.28a	9±1.4
2.infield	6.4±0.63	.67±.31	6.02±0.2ab	8.7±1.2
3.groundpit	7.5±0.86	.71±.2	5.9±0.26bc	8.7±.86
4. darkhouse	6.27±0.92	.58±.26	5.5±0.14c	8.5±.23

Table 3: Nutrient content of potato result in different storage methods.

Acceptability of Stored Potato

For five month storage sensory data for crisp and boiled potato food products were evaluated .taste and color of the crisp evaluated for five month storage there were no significant different at $p<0.05$ (Table 4). While texture and overall acceptability of the crisp have a significant different at $p<0.05$ (Table 4). the overall acceptability during the first month were (4.5%) and it decrease after five month (3.5) Table 4 indicates after five month storage the acceptability of potato crisp decrease. for boiled potato for five month storage sensory data for taste, color ,texture and overall acceptability for the crisp were significant different at $p<0.05$ (Table 4). Among the storage methods color, taste, texture and overall acceptability there were no significant different at $p<0.05$

(Table 5). While taste, color and texture of the boiled potato there were no significant different at $p<0.05$ (Table 5). Generally Results of sensory evaluation shown in Tables 4 & 5. Texture and over all acceptability in fried and boiled form of potato significantly affect acceptability of potato in all storage methods. Taste and color in fried form of potato not significantly ($p<0.05$) affect acceptability of potato in storage methods and storage time (Tables 4 & 5). This finding in agreement with Mbeza, et al. [8]. In ground pit and dark house storage were more acceptable in fried and boiled form of potato shown in Tables 4 & 5. Dark house storage was preferable for the two potato products boiled and fried form (crisp) forms Tables 4 & 5. In terms of physiological and nutrient preservation of potato ground pit were preferable up to five month storage (Tables 1-3) [9-11].

Month	Sensory data for crisp				Sensory data for boiled potato			
	taste	color	texture	Over all acceptability	taste	color	texture	Over all acceptability
1.October	4.5±0.7	4.5±0.70	4.00±0.0ab	4.5±0.7a	5±0.00a	4.5±0.7a	4.5±0.7a	5±0.00a
2.November	4.0±0.63	4.3±0.81	3.3±0.51bc	3.5±0.54b	3.0±0.63bc	3.5±0.5ab	3.5±0.5ab	3.33±0.51b
3.December	3.6±0.51	4.00±0.00	3.0±0.00c	4.0±0.0ab	3.83±0.98abc	3.5±0.83ab	3.16±0.75bc	3.5±0.54b
4.January	4.1±0.75	5.00±0.00	4.6±0.51a	4.8±0.4a	4.3±0.51ab	4.5±0.5a	4±0.00ab	4±0.00b
5.February	4.16±0.4	3.83±1.1	3.66±0.5bc	3.5±0.54b	2.5±0.83c	2.6±0.9b	2.1±0.4c	3.5±0.54b

Table 4: Sensory result of potato in different month.

Month	Sensory data for crisp				Sensory data for boiled potato			
	taste	color	texture	Over all acceptability	taste	color	texture	Over all acceptability
1. During Harvesting	4.12±0.35	4.25±0.7	3.7±0.88	3.8±0.64	3.5±0.92	3.75±1.0	3.25±0.88	3.5±0.53b
2.infield	4.12±0.64	4.4±0.7	3.62±0.72	4±0.75	3.37±1.3	3.5±0.92	3.25±0.8	3.87±0.35b
3.groundpit	3.75±0.70	4.2±1.0	3.62±0.74	4±0.75	3.37±0.91	3.37±0.9	3.12±0.8	3.37±0.5b
4. dark house	4.12±0.35	4.5±0.70	4±0.00	4.5±0.7	5±0.00	4.5±0.7	4.5±0.7	5±0.00a

Table 5: Sensory result of potato in different storage methods.

Conclusion

The physical change of stored potato at different time and different storage type the weight lose and color change were observed more on infield storage and in dark house storage. Ground pit storage was good storage method which was not more physical change was not observed. Regarding to the nutrient content the ash content were changed with the time interval and the moisture content were decrease when the time interval change. Regarding to the sensory data in dark house and ground pit stored methods was more acceptable and fresh. Dark house storage were preferable for the two potato products boiled and fried form (crisp) forms while In terms of physiological and nutrient preservation of potato ground pit were preferable up to five month storage

References

1. Gottschalk K, Ezhekiel R (2006) Storage. In: Handbook of Potato Production, Improvement, and Postharvest Management, Food Products Press, New York London, Oxford, pp: 489-522.
2. Sorce C, Lorenzi R, Parisi B, Ranalli P (2005) Physiological mechanisms involved in potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) tuber dormancy and the control of sprouting by chemical suppressants. *Acta Horticulturae*, pp: 177-185.
3. Smith WL (1952) Effect of Storage Temperature, Injury and Exposure on Weight Loss Surface Discoloration on New Potatoes. *American Potato Journal* 29: 55-61.
4. Sparks WC (1973) Influence of Ventilation and Humidity During Storage on Weight and Quality Changes of *Russet Burbank* Potatoes. *Potato Research* 16: 213.
5. Schippers PA (1971) The Relation between Storage Conditions and Changes in Weight and Specific Gravity of Potatoes. *American Potato Journal* 48: 313-319.
6. Daniels-Lake BJ, Prange RK (2007) The canon of potato science: 41. Sprouting. *Potato Research* 50: 379-382.
7. Sandifolo VS, Moyo CC, Benesi IRM (1999) Evaluation of the effectiveness of storage methods for roots of improved varieties. In: Akoroda MO, Teri JM (Eds.), Proceedings of the scientific workshop of the Southern African Root crops Research Network (SARRNET) held at Pamodzi Hotel, Lusaka Zambia.
8. Mbeza HF (1996) Sweetpotatoes storage in Malawi. 2nd year Progress Report. Bunda College of Agriculture, Malawi.
9. Augustin J (1975) Variations in the nutritional composition of fresh potatoes. *Journal of food Science* 40: 1295-1299.
10. Kacar B (1972) Chemical Analysis of Plant and Soil II. Plant Analysis. Ankara University, Faculty of Agriculture, Publications: 453, Ankara University, Faculty of Agriculture, Publications: 960. Ankara.
11. Kadaster E (1960) Agricultural Chemistry Exercise. First Book; Feed Analysis 2nd (Edn.), Ankara University, Faculty of Agriculture, Publication No: 113 Textbook No. 40 University of Ankara.

