



Sensory Evaluation of Extruded Snacks Prepared from Triticale and Wheat Composite Flour

Biadge Kefale*

Food Science and Nutrition Research, Holeta research center, Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research, Holeta, Ethiopia

*Corresponding author: Biadge Kefale, Food Science and Nutrition Research, Holeta research center, Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research, Holeta, Ethiopia, Email: biadgekefale@yahoo.com

Research Article

Volume 6 Issue 1

Received Date: November 12, 2020

Published Date: January 04, 2021

DOI: 10.23880/fsnt-16000247

Abstract

Sensory evaluation of five treatments for snack production conducted. Five treatments were used to produce snack as composite flour of wheat and triticale in different ratio Treatment one (100:0), Treatment two (85:15), treatment three (70:30), Treatment four (55:45), Treatment five (40:60) respectively. The wheat and triticale were obtained from Holeta agricultural research center wheat breeding program. The samples were presented to 15 panelists to rate them on a five point hedonic scale. Analysis of variances (ANOVA) was performed on the data gathered. The result showed that highest acceptance were for the treatments T1 (100:0), T2(85:15), T4(55:45), T5(40:60) respectively. Results revealed that there are a significant difference at $p < 0.05$ between the treatments for aroma and over all acceptability. It was concluded that snack samples produced from treatment two (85:15) and treatment three (70:30) were more acceptance in their taste and over all acceptance. up to 30 % of triticale can be substituted on wheat flour to the production of snack by agro industry.

Keywords: Triticale; Snack; Acceptance

Introduction

Triticale is a first artificially developed grain crop that can predominate wheat and rye in certain Characteristics. This crop can take a worthy place among cultivated crops, taking into account the fact that it has a high productive potential and stability of yields on an annual basis. The tendency of agricultural enterprises to obtain a high yield led to the fact that agricultural producers began to use actively chemicals in the manufacture, including plant growth regulators [1]. Growth regulators can stimulate the behavior of such processes as seed germination, photosynthesis, and protection from pathogenic micro flora, the formation of vegetative and generative organs of plants [2].

Healthy snacks need to be tasty, flavorful, visually appealing and packed for easy to carry. Nutritious snacks

have the potential to prevent obesity related diseases such as: hypertension, heart disease, cancer, diabetes and bone diseases. Bran fraction of whole grains contains fiber and many essential minerals and nutrients. Many of the processed grain products do not contain the bran fraction. Whole grain products are recommended as they contain healthy bran fraction. It is recommended by the Nutrition Policy and Promotion [3]. Since most individual do not consume one-half the cereals containing whole grains. Consumers need to be educated to buy or prepare in their house kitchens healthy whole grain snacks. The development whole grain snacks would meet such a health promoting need. Such snacks should be simple to make containing only a few ingredients including spices that contain disease preventing nutrients.

Dietary Guidelines for Americans [4] that more than half of all cereal products consumed should contain whole

grains. At all age groups males and females, consumption of refined grains is higher than that of whole grain products. It has been documented that consumption of whole grains products would lower the risk of many preventable lifestyle diseases [5]. It has been reported that heart disease risk was lowered with the consumption of whole grain rye and oats but not that by wheat [6]. However, many of the snacks on the market contain wheat. There is increasing hypersensitivity to wheat gluten in celiac patients. Healthy nutrient absorbing surface of the gut is needed. This surface is damaged and the needed nutrients cannot be absorbed in celiac patients resulting in many deficiency diseases. Hypersensitivity to foods containing gluten has been on the rise recently. Some of the possible reasons could be use of bacterial transaminase enzyme to glue meat scraps to make meat patties. The transamination of gluten during the digestion process in the gut could make it hypersensitive. Another reason may be that increased pollution and toxins in the environment has resulted in change in the intestinal microbes that have allergic reaction to gluten. In 2014 US Food and Drug Administration [7].

The implementation of triticale into the manufacture is complicated by the inherent negative traits of rye triticale

varieties and the lack of processing technologies. The baking advantage of triticale grain is worse than that of wheat, as the bread has a smaller volume, jamming and cheesy crumb, sometimes the crust is covered with cracks. Critically, the baking quality of triticale flour is much worse. The structure and properties of the dough made from triticale flour are similar to the wheat dough of poor quality. This is due to the lack of quality and quantity of gluten, the high activity of amylolytic and proteolytic enzymes.

Materials and Methods

Wheat variety (Limu variety) and Triticale were obtained from Holeta wheat breeding program. The products (snacks) were prepared at Guts Agro Industry Hawasa (Tables 1 & 2).

Ingredients	Total quantity
Wheat flour (Limu variety)	33.50 kg
Triticale	10.50 kg
Water	3.06 L

Table 1: Ingredients used for extruded snack formulation.

Treatments (T)	Wheat: Triticale flour ratio	Quantity of wheat: triticale (kg)
T1	100:00:00	10kg: 0kg
T2	85:15:00	8.5kg: 1.5kg
T3	70:30:00	7kg: 3kg
T4	55:45:00	5.5kg: 4.5kg
T5	40: 60	4kg: 6kg

Table 2: Treatments with the corresponding ratio.

Below the pictures were obtained from Hawasa Guts Agro Industry (Figures 1-6).



Figure 1: Dough mixer machine in Guts agro industry of Hawassa branch.



Figure 2: Extruding process.



Figure 3: Heating the extruded product in Oven.



Figure 4: Final process and cooling.



Figure 5: Packing and storing snack.



Figure 6: The production process at Guts agro industry in Hawassa.

Nutrient Analysis

The Association of Official Analytical Chemist [8] procedure was used to determine the nutrient Compositions (crude protein, moisture content, crude fat, total ash, water absorption capacity, oil absorption capacity) of the wheat, Triticale flour and Bread samples made from the blends of the above flours.

Sensory Evaluation

The treatments were coded and randomly presented to panelists in random order. In sensory evaluation five point hedonic scale (1= dislike very much, 2= dislike, 3=neither like nor dislike, 4= like, 5= like very much) were used.

Experimental Design and Data Analysis

The experiments were designed in completely randomized design (CRD). The analyses of variance (ANOVA) were performed to examine the significance level of all parameters measured. Least Significant Difference (LSD) test was used for means comparison by SPSS Version 23.

Result and Discussion

The water absorption of wheat was 1.62% while for Triticale was 1.25% which indicates the triticale flour absorbs less water than wheat flour. Regarding to the oil absorption the oil absorption of triticale (1.25%) was higher than wheat (1.12%) (Table 3). The ash content of triticale (1%) was higher than the wheat indicates the mineral content was higher compared to wheat. Generally the water absorption moisture content and protein content of wheat flours were higher than triticale while oil absorption, ash and fat content of triticale flour were higher than wheat (Table 3). The absorption of more water during mixing is a typical characteristic of composite starches [9]. Several studies also reported that the dough made from composite flour absorbed more water than that made from wheat flour alone [10,11].

Flour	Water absorption	Oil Absorption	MC	PC	Ash	Fat
Wheat	1.62±0.88	1.12±0.17	12.25±1.17	8.88±0.29 ^a	0.5±0.00	1.25±0.35
Triticale	1.25±0.35	1.25±0.00	10.25±0.35	7.24±0.09 ^b	1±0.7	1.6±0.00

Table 3: Functional Property and Nutrient content of Flour.
MC=moisture content, PC=protein content.

Tables below shows individual treatments with their water-to-flour ratio (not to exceed moisture content of 18%) (Tables 4-8).

Treatment 1) 100% wheat flour (Control)				
S.No	Ingredient	Weight (kg)	Moisture (%)	Moisture (kg)
1	Wheat flour	10	13.5	1.35
2	Triticale flour	0	11.25	0
3	Water	0.55	100	0.55
		10.55		1.9
Extrudate Moisture				18.00%

Table 4: Treatment one with water to flour ratio.

Treatment 2) 85% wheat: 15% triticale flour				
S.No	Ingredient	Weight (kg)	Moisture (%)	Moisture (kg)
1	Wheat flour	8.5	13.50%	1.15
2	Triticale flour	1.5	11.25%	0.17
3	Water	0.59	100.00%	0.59
		10.59		1.9
Extrudate Moisture (%)				18.00%

Table 5: Treatment two with water to flour ratio.

Treatment 3) 70%wheat : 30% triticale flour				
S.No	Ingredient	Weight (kg)	Moisture (%)	Moisture (kg)
1	Wheat flour	7	13.50%	0.95
2	Triticale flour	3	11.25%	0.34
3	Water	0.63	100.00%	0.63
		10.63		1.9
Extrudate Moisture (%)				18.00%

Table 6: Treatment three with water to flour ratio.

Treatment 4) 55% wheat : 45% triticale flour				
S.No	Ingredient	Weight (kg)	Moisture (%)	Moisture (kg)
1	Wheat flour	5.5	13.50%	0.74
2	Triticale flour	4.5	11.25%	0.51
3	Water	0.67	100.00%	0.67
		10.67		1.9
Extrudate Moisture (%)				18.00%

Table 7: Treatment four with water to flour ratio.

Treatment 5) 40% wheat: 60% triticale flour				
S.No	Ingredient	Weight (kg)	Moisture (%)	Moisture (kg)
1	Wheat flour	3.5	13.50%	0.47
2	Triticale	5.25	11.25%	0.59
3	Water	0.62	100.00%	0.62
				9.37
Extrudate Moisture (%)				18.00%

Table 8: Treatment five with water to flour ratio.

The above table (4-8) showed that the water to wheat and triticale ratio. To decrease the bulk density of snack the moisture content should not more than 18%. Because in

snack manufacturing low bulk density products is needed by the consumers which is preferable when the product is low bulk density (Table 9).

Treatment	Taste	Color	Texture	Aroma	Overall acceptability
T1	3.62±0.12	3.75±0.00	3.87±0.12	3.25±0.00 ^{ab}	3.62±0.12 ^a
T2	3.62±0.12	3.00±0.25	3.12±0.12	3.37±0.12 ^b	3.37±0.12 ^{ab}
T3	3.25±0.00	3.00±0.25	3.00±0.25	2.87±0.12 ^c	2.75±0.00 ^c
T4	3.37±0.12	3.00±0.00	3.00±0.25	3.37±0.12 ^a	3.25±0.25 ^{ab}
T5	3.50±0.25	3.00±0.37	3.00±0.50	3.00±0.00 ^{bc}	3.25±0.25 ^{ab}

Table 9: Sensorial data using five point hedonic scales.

Compared to the control (T1) treatment 2 (3.62) and treatment 5(3.5) were preferable in their taste. While the color of each treatments were not significantly different at $p < 0.05$. The texture of treatment one (3.25) and treatment two (3.37) were preferred compared to the other treatments. The aroma between the treatments there were significant difference at $p < 0.05$. The overall acceptability were preferred by the panelists when the triticale flour increase but the bulk density of the snack high when the triticale flour increase. Acceptability. It was concluded that snack samples produced from treatment two (85:15) and treatment three (70:30) were more acceptance in their taste and over all acceptance up to 30 % of triticale can be substituted on wheat flour to the production of snack by agro industry. Lorenz and Coulter (1991) reported that good quality breads were baked using wheat flour with 5 and 10% quinoa blend.

Conclusion

Five treatments were used for product formulation. Before formulation of the two composite flours the water absorption and oil absorption were evaluated. The water absorption of wheat was lower compared to the triticale flour and the mixing ratio of composite flour and water were not more than 18 % moisture content because of the product is needed by the consumers when the snack bulk density low as well as the shelf life of the snack long. Among the five treatments acceptance of (2.75-3.62) were obtained. It was

concluded that snack samples produced from treatment two (85:15) and treatment three (70:30) were more acceptance in their taste and over all acceptance up to 30% of triticale can be substituted on wheat flour to the production of snack by agro industry.

References

1. Trofimova TA, Korzhov SI, Gulevsky VA, Obraztsov VN (2018) Assessing the Degree of Physical Degradation and Suitability of Chernozems for the Minimization of Basic Tillage. *Eurasian Soil Science* 51(9): 1080-1085.
2. Yakushev VP, Mikhailenko IM, Dragavtsev VA (2015) Reserves of agro-technologies and breeding for cereal yield increase in the Russian federation *Agricultural biology* 50(5): 550-560.
3. USDA (2015) Center for Nutrition Policy and Promotion.
4. USDA (2015) Nutrition Policy and Promotion. Dietary Guidelines for Americans.
5. Whole Grain Council (2009) Health Studies & Health Benefits.
6. Halnaes A, Kyro C, Anderson I, Lacoppidan S, Overvad K, et al. (2016) Intake of whole grains is associated with lower risk of myocardial infarction: the

- DanishDiet,Cancer and Health Cohort. Am J Clin Nutr 103: 999-1007.
7. FDA (2014) Food and Drug Administration defines gluten-free.
 8. AOAC (2000) Official Methods of Analysis of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists, 17th (Edn.), The Association, Arlington, VA Chapt 45: 78E-80.
 9. Doxastakis G, Zafiriadis I, Irakil M, Marlani H, Tananaki C (2002) Lupin, soya and triticale addition to wheat flour dough and their effect on rheological properties. Food Chem 77(2): 219-227.
 10. Morita N, Maeda T, Miyazaki M, Yamamori M, Miura H, et al. (2002) Dough and baking properties of high amylase and waxy wheat flours. Cereal Chem 79: 491-495.
 11. Lorenz K, Coulter L (1991) Quinoa flour in baked products. Plant Foods Hum Nutr 41(3): 213-223.

