MEDWIN PUBLISHERS
Committed to Create Value for Researchers

International Journal of Forensic Sciences
ISSN: 2573-1734

A Review of Crime Hot Spot Analysis

Karmakar S*

Department of Geography, Assistant Professor, Adamas University, India

***Corresponding author:** Supratim Karmakar, Department of Geography, Assistant Professor, Adamas University, Kolkata, India, Tel: 9831756760; Email: supratim1.karmakar@adamasuniversity.ac.in

Mini Review

Volume 9 Issue 4

Received Date: September 11, 2024

Published Date: October 04, 2024

DOI: 10.23880/ijfsc-16000414

Abstract

Crime analysis is an important issue for maintaining law and order situation under control. This particular social issue of crime needs to be handled with utmost efficiency. Use of GIS techniques made the process of detection of crime prone areas much more technical and precise. Hot spot analysis is a highly appreciated and applied technique of crime prone area detection. The current paper tries to give a very brief review of this particular technique. Various methods have been discussed which are included in hot spot analysis like Karnel density, cluster analysis and few more.

Keywords: Hot Spot; GIS; Karnel Density; Cluster Analysis

Abbreviations

GIS: Geographic Information Systems; KDE: Kernel Density Estimation; OHA: Optimized Hot Spot Analysis;

Introduction

Crime hot spot analysis is a crucial tool in the field of crime prevention and law enforcement. It involves the identification and analysis of high-crime areas or hot spots within a given geographical area. By understanding the patterns and dynamics of crime hot spots, law enforcement agencies can deploy resources strategically, target interventions effectively, and ultimately reduce crime rates [1].

Additionally, crime hot spot analysis facilitates a better understanding of the underlying factors contributing to criminal activities, helping to inform policymaking and improve community safety [2].

The concept of crime hot spots is rooted in the understanding that crime is not randomly distributed but tends to concentrate on specific areas. This concentration can be attributed to various factors, such as environmental features, social disorganization, economic conditions, and the presence of certain target assets. By mapping and analyzing crime hot spots, law enforcement agencies gain valuable insights into the spatial and temporal patterns of criminal activities, enabling them to devise targeted crime prevention strategies [3].

One commonly used approach in crime hot spot analysis is the application of Geographic Information Systems (GIS) and spatial analysis techniques. GIS allows for the visualization and integration of crime data with other relevant spatial information, such as demographics, land use, transportation networks, and environmental factors [4]. Analytical techniques, such as kernel density estimation, hotspot analysis, and cluster analysis, further assist in identifying and characterizing crime hot spots.

Several studies have demonstrated the effectiveness of crime hot spot analysis in reducing crime rates. Empirical evidence from different jurisdictions has shown that a significant proportion of crimes are concentrated within a

small percentage of street segments or blocks. By focusing law enforcement efforts on these high-crime areas, agencies can allocate resources more efficiently, increased police presence, and implement targeted interventions, including community policing initiatives, problem-oriented policing, and situational crime prevention strategies [5].

Furthermore, crime hot spot analysis extends beyond immediate law enforcement interventions. The identification of crime hot spots provides valuable insights into the underlying drivers of criminal activities. It helps identify social, economic, and environmental factors associated with high-crime locations, which can inform more comprehensive crime prevention strategies. For example, addressing underlying social disorganization, improving urban design, enhancing community cohesion, and providing economic opportunities can have long-term effects on reducing crime hot spots and fostering safe neighborhoods [6,7].

However, it is important to acknowledge potential limitations and challenges in crime hot spot analysis. Data quality and availability can significantly impact the accuracy and reliability of hot spot identification. Issues such as underreporting, data aggregation, and the use of different data sources can introduce biases or distort crime patterns. Additionally, the dynamic nature of crime requires continuous monitoring and analysis of hot spots to adapt strategies as crime patterns evolve [8].

In conclusion, crime hot spot analysis is a powerful tool in crime prevention and law enforcement. By identifying and analyzing high-crime areas, law enforcement agencies can strategically allocate resources, implement targeted interventions, and reduce crime rates. Crime hot spot analysis also sheds light on the underlying factors contributing to criminal activities, enabling effective policymaking and promoting community safety. Continued research, technological advancements, and collaboration between law enforcement agencies, researchers, and communities are essential to enhance the effectiveness of crime hot spot analysis and create safer environments for all [9].

Algorithms For Hotspot Analysis

Crime hot spot analysis in ArcGIS can be performed using various algorithms and techniques to identify and analyze spatial patterns of crime. Here are some commonly used algorithms and methods within ArcGIS for crime hot spot analysis

Kernel Density Estimation (KDE): KDE is a popular algorithm used to estimate the density of crime incidents and identify high-density areas or hot spots. It creates a smooth density surface from point data, highlighting areas with concentrated crime incidents. ArcGIS provides the Kernel Density tool that allows users to specify a search radius and bandwidth to generate a density surface [10].

Hot Spot Analysis (Getis-Ord Gi*): The Hot Spot Analysis tool in ArcGIS, based on the Getis-Ord Gi* statistic, helps identify statistically significant hot spots and cold spots of crime incidents within a study area. It considers the spatial relationships between adjacent areas to determine areas of high or low clustering. The output is a z-score and p-value indicating the significance of clustering [11].

Cluster and Outlier Analysis (Anselin Local Moran's I): This analysis method helps detect local spatial clusters and outliers using the Local Moran's I statistic. It examines spatial autocorrelation by comparing the crime rate of a location with its neighboring locations. ArcGIS includes the Cluster and Outlier Analysis tool, which calculates the local Moran's I index and generates a significance map indicating statistically significant crime clusters [12].

Optimized Hot Spot Analysis (OHA): OHA is a newer algorithm in ArcGIS that takes advantage of the Getis-Ord Gi* statistic while considering scale, distance, and population densities to identify crime hot spots. This method optimizes the search radius to adapt to varying cluster sizes and densities across the study area [13].

Emerging Hot Spot Analysis: This tool in ArcGIS helps analyze areas where new hot spots are emerging. It identifies significant increases in the intensity of crime incidents by comparing current patterns with historical data. It can be useful for detecting emerging crime trends and guiding proactive law enforcement strategies [14-17].

Conclusion

These are just a few examples of the algorithms and tools available in ArcGIS for crime hot spot analysis. The specific choice of algorithm depends on the nature of the data, research objectives, and available resources. It is important to consider data quality, scale of analysis, temporal factors, and domain-specific knowledge when applying these algorithms. Additionally, combining spatial analysis with other data sources and contextual information is recommended to gain a comprehensive understanding of crime patterns and develop effective crime prevention strategies.

References

1. Anselin L, Griffiths E, Tita G (2013) Crime mapping and hot spot analysis, In: 1st (Edn.), Environmental criminology and crime analysis. Willan, pp: 119-138.

2. Hart TC (2021) Investigating crime pattern stability at micro-temporal intervals: Implications for crime analysis and hotspot policing strategies. Criminal justice review 46(2): 173-189.

3. Kalinic M, Krisp JM (2018) Kernel density estimation (KDE) vs. hot-spot analysis–detecting criminal hot spots in the City of San Francisco. AGILE, Lund, Sweden, pp: 1-5.

4. Kim K, Shin DB (2014) The strategies on safe city making through hotspot analyses on crime. KSCE Journal of Civil Engineering 18: 1511-1517.

5. Chainey S, Tompson L, Uhlig S (2008) The utility of hotspot mapping for predicting spatial patterns of crime. Security journal 21(1-2): 4-28.

6. Braga AA, Turchan B, Papachristos AV, Hureau DM (2019) Hot spots policing of small geographic areas effects on crime. Campbell systematic reviews 15(3): e1046.

7. Grubesic TH (2006) On the application of fuzzy clustering for crime hot spot detection. Journal of Quantitative Criminology 22(1): 77-105.

8. Weisburd D, Maher L, Sherman L, Buerger M, Cohn E, et al. (2023) Contrasting crime general and crime specific theory: The case of hot spots of crime, In: 1st (Edn.), New directions in criminological theory. Routledge, UK, pp: 45-70.

9. Wolff M, Asche H (2009) Geospatial crime scene investigation–from hotspot analysis to interactive 3d visualization. In: Computational Science and Its Applications–ICCSA 2009: International Conference, Seoul, Korea, Proceedings, Part I 9, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, pp: 285-299.

10. Appiah SK, Wirekoh K, Aidoo EN, Oduro SD, Arthur YD (2022) A model-based clustering of expectation–maximization and K-means algorithms in crime hotspot analysis. Research in Mathematics 9(1): 2073662.

11. Cardone B, Martino FD (2022) Fuzzy-Based Spatiotemporal Hot Spot Intensity and Propagation—An Application in Crime Analysis. Electronics 11(3): 370.

12. Levine N (2013) CrimeStat: Spatial Statistics Program for the Analysis of Crime Incident Locations, Version 4.0. The National Institute of Justice, USA, pp: 1-1798.

13. Ratcliffe JH (2004) The hotspot matrix: A framework for the spatio-temporal targeting of crime reduction. Police practice and research 5(1): 5-23.

14. Leong K, Sung A (2015) A review of spatio-temporal pattern analysis approaches on crime analysis. International E-Journal of Criminal Sciences 1(9): 1-33.

15. Deryol R, Wilcox P, Logan M, Wooldredge J (2016) Crime places in context: An illustration of the multilevel nature of hot spot development. Journal of quantitative criminology 32(2): 305-325.

16. Kedia P (2016) Crime mapping and analysis using GIS. International Institute of Information Technology 1(1): 1-15.

17. Townsley M (2008) Visualising space time patterns in crime: the hotspot plot. Crime patterns and analysis 1(1): 61-74.