MEDWIN PUBLISHERS
Committed to Create Value for Researchers

Journal of Medical Case Studies
ISSN: 3064-7932

Challenges in Diagnosing Child Language Disorders

Abhishek BP*[1] and Jahnavi A[2]

[1]Centre of Speech Language Sciences, Assistant Professor in Language Pathology, Centre of Speech Language Sciences, AIISH, India

[2]Research Officer, Centre of Speech-language Sciences, All India Institute of Speech and Hearing, India

Mini Review

Volume 3 Issue 1

Received Date: January 02, 2025

Published Date: February 28, 2025

DOI: 10.23880/jmcs-16000137

***Corresponding author:** Abhishek BP, Centre of Speech Language Sciences, Assistant Professor in Language Pathology, Centre of Speech Language Sciences, AIISH, Mysore, India, Email: abhishekbp@aiishmysore.in

Abstract

Diagnosing language disorders in children imposes constraints and a significant challenge. This challenge is imposed due to the intricate interplay of numerous factors or variables. These factors or variables include age, gender, cultural diversity, symptom variability, and trait variation. The aetiology causing the development disorder determines the trait manifestation. For instance, a developmental language disorder may be caused due to neurological factors and/ or environmental factors such as socio-economic factors, or stimulation-related factors. The disease manifestation can vary based on the cause. Demographic factors such as age also can influence the disease traits. The critical age is one of such factors which influence disease traits. The age of intervention sought is regarded as yet another factor. Additionally, the stigma surrounding language disorders may impede or stop parents from seeking intervention pertaining to communication disorders, leading to further delays in diagnosis and treatment. However, it is noteworthy that a significant impact of technology and advances in public education can neutralize the factors based on the age of intervention and its influence on disease manifestation. Bilingualism is yet another factor that can exert a potential influence on the disease trait. This review aims to collate challenges in diagnosing child language disorders and discusses the role of such factors in diagnosis. Understanding these factors can enable a better understanding of such factors and counteract their influences.

Keywords: Language Disorders; Diagnostic Constraints; Children; Cultural Diversity; Technology

Abbreviations

SLPs: Speech-Language Pathologists; AI: Artificial Intelligence.

Introduction

Language development is an essential milestone manifested in early childhood, that is responsible in addressing the child's social, cognitive, and academic growth. When the child does not acquaint or develop language within the stipulated time, it is termed as a language disorder. Language disorders can affect the aforementioned domains hence it calls for a warranting careful and timely diagnosis. However, diagnosing these disorders is challenging due to a solitary or combined effect of certain factors that can influence the assessment and identification of language impairments. The current review discusses the primary challenges that can be encountered while diagnosing a child with language disorders and the probable strategies to be incorporated for ameliorating these issues.

As mentioned above language processing is considered as a complex procedure that involves multifaceted processes such as cognitive skills, motor abilities, and social interactions. The acquisition of language follows different paces and what

appears to be a disorder at the outset may just be a variation of normal development at times. According to the American Speech-Language-Hearing Association [1] differentiation of typical versus atypical language development requires comprehensive information about the developmental milestones of the child and the time frame taken for the development. Additionally, language skills may take place at a rapid pace in early childhood this further can diagnostically landscape the skills. For instance, a child might demonstrate delays in one of the areas of language at a certain point in time and later this domain may converge the normal period of language development after a lapse of time [2].

Cultural and Linguistic Diversity

The increasing cultural and linguistic diversity of populations adds to a significant challenge in diagnosing language disorders. Children from bilingual or multilingual environments may flaunt language patterns that can be confusing to clinicians who find it difficult to understand cultural competence. Research indicates that the prevalence of language disorders is often undermined in bilingual populations as there could be differences in language exposure and use [3]. Clinicians must be fluent in understanding the language acquisition processes of bilingual children, as misdiagnosis can further complicate and deviate the process.

Standardized Assessment Tools

The dependency on standardized assessment tools can add to variability in diagnosing language disorders. The traditional assessment tools might have been developed considering monolinguals or dominant bilinguals, especially in English-speaking populations. This can potentially overshadow the realities faced by children from varying linguistic and cultural backgrounds which may further lead to misdiagnosis of the child's language ability, inaccurate representations of linguistic diversity also reduce the reliability and validity of the tools across language communities. Kam CM, et al. [4] opined that standard assessments that lack cultural relevance are vulnerable to producing skewed results when administered to multilingual children [4]. Consequently, it becomes essential for speech-language pathologists (SLPs) to employ tools that are culturally sensitive and also employ non-standardized assessment procedures such as dynamic assessment or criterion-referenced measures in adjunct to formal tests as it may yield relatively holistic insights into a child's language abilities [5].

Environmental Influences

The quality and nature of a child's environment are considered to play a fundamental role in language development, and also addressing socio-economic factors is pivotal, as they significantly impact on child's language exposure and acquisition. Children from lower socio-economic backgrounds typically encounter fewer opportunities for rich linguistic experience and have limited access to educational resources which can further lead to developmental delays.

Research in this direction indicates that children who hail from such backgrounds may enter school with linguistic abilities that are significantly less compared to their peers. When children display poor linguistic characteristics such as limited vocabulary, and difficulty in forming syntactically complex sentences and comprehending abstract language concepts, it leads to a significant risk of misinterpreting these environmental influences as language disorders [6]. This creates a complex situation for clinicians as they must carefully differentiate between developmental language disorders and language differences that stem from environmental factors; therefore, they are required to broaden their frame when it comes to diagnosing language disorders to avoid misinterpretations that could lead to unnecessary interventions

Interdisciplinary Collaboration

Effective diagnosis of language disorders in children also requires interdisciplinary collaboration as a prerequisite. Speech-language pathologists are required to team up with fellow professionals such as psychologists, special educators, teachers, and physicians to develop a comprehensive understanding of each child's communicative and developmental profile. However, the solitary nature of these professions can challenge timely diagnoses and interventions [7]. This can be counteracted by fostering interdisciplinary collaboration in which the integrated frameworks can be established by combining assessment and treatment approaches. This creates a holistic understanding of the child's ability and aids the clinicians in providing accurate diagnosis and individualized intervention to the child, which leads to enhanced patient outcomes.

Use of Technology in Assessment

Technological advancements are considered a means of creating new opportunities for improving the diagnosis of language disorders. Tools developed based on artificial intelligence can be cited as a by-product of such assessments, particularly in underserved areas [8]. Digital assessments are found to add to valuable real-time data and analysis and are found to evoke responsive and personalized diagnostic processes. However, it is crucial to ensure that these technologies are validated and cater to diverse populations for effectiveness in various contexts.

Challenges Faced by SLP's when Implementing Technology in Diagnosing Child Language

Integration with Traditional Therapies - Speech therapists have expressed their concern regarding the AI's ability to deliver detailed feedback tailored to specific therapeutic goals. Also, AI can enhance speech therapy by providing real-time feedback and individualized learning experiences, but it should be used along with conventional techniques rather than replacing them.

Ethical and Regulatory Considerations Ensuring that AI applications adhere to ethical standards is crucial, especially when dealing with younger groups. Ethical issues like maintaining privacy concerns providing consent regarding the transparency in decision-making procedures, and reducing other potential biases in machine learning algorithms must be addressed before implementing AI in the assessment.

Accessibility and Equity AI's potential benefits in diagnoses are promising, but access to these technologies remains uneven, regardless of socioeconomic status it is crucial ensure AI tools are widely available and accessible to all to maximize its benefits.

Addressing these issues is essential to ensuring AI's successful incorporation into clinical practice. Overcoming these obstacles will require ongoing cooperation between researchers, healthcare providers, and technology developers]

Stigma and lack of Awareness can impose challenges to diagnostic efforts. Parents exhibit a delay in consulting professionals as they lack awareness and some might even hesitate as they are afraid of the label/tag associated with the diagnosis.

Increased awareness and sensitization about language disorders are essential to counteract these misconceptions and encourage parents to seek timely intervention disorders [9,10].

Technologies have demonstrated promising results in diagnosing children's speech and language impairments, the concerns around their capacity to comprehend unique speech patterns and the variety of children's speech, suggest that they might not be able to fully replace human guidance.

Despite its potential advantages, using modern technologies like artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning poses several challenges in diagnosing speech and language impairments in children with communication disorders. According to current research, persist inability to accurately interpret diverse and unique speech patterns suggest that they might not be fully replaced by human guidance. SLP's have expressed their concern regarding the AI's ability to deliver detailed feedback tailored to specific therapeutic goals. Also, AI can enhance speech therapy by providing real-time feedback and individualized learning experiences, but it should be used along with conventional techniques rather than replacing them. Ensuring that AI applications adhere to ethical standards, especially when dealing with younger groups. Ethical issues like maintaining privacy concerns providing consent regarding the transparency in decision-making procedures, and reducing other potential biases in machine learning algorithms must be addressed before implementing AI in the assessment. Access to these technologies remains uneven, regardless of socioeconomic status it is crucial ensure AI tools are widely available and accessible to all to maximize its benefits. Addressing these issues is essential to ensuring AI's successful incorporation into clinical practice. Overcoming these obstacles will require ongoing cooperation between researchers, healthcare providers, and technology developers [11,12]. In addition to the neuro imaging technology is to be used in cases with epilepsy and meningitis. Hence Speech language Pathologists should augment technology where ever needed but should understand that the technology is warranted to be used with caution.

Conclusion

The complexities of diagnosing child language disorders are influenced by a cluster of developmental, cultural, and contextual factors as discussed above. To accurately identify these disorders, there is a thorough need for clinicians to include and adopt culturally competent practices when needed, there is also a need to employ vivid assessment methods, and also to collaborate with fellow professionals. Additionally, embracing technological advances can also facilitate diagnostic processes and outcomes when used vigilantly. Ultimately, imparting awareness about the nature of language disorders is considered to be important for encouraging timely intervention and support for children in need.

References

1. American Speech-Language-Hearing Association (ASHA) (2020) Language development.

2. Ellis AW (2021) Assessing language development in children: Understanding growth and variability. Journal of Communication Disorders 85: 105942.

3. Paradis J (2011) Language development in bilingual children: Considerations for assessment and treatment. Canadian Journal of Speech-Language Pathology and

Audiology 35(4): 294-301.

4. Kam CM (2009) Diagnostic consistency of language impairment in bilingual children: An overview. Language, Speech, and Hearing Services in Schools 40(4): 474-485.

5. Gollner M, Sanders P (2019) The importance of dynamic assessment methods in identifying language disorders in preschool children: A commentary. *International Journal of Language & Communication Disorders 54(6): 971-973.

6. Hart B, Risley TR (1995) Meaningful differences in the everyday experience of young American children. Brookes Publishing.

7. Hewitt L, Lawrence D (2020) Leveraging technology for effective literacy intervention among preschoolers with language disorders. Journal of Education and Practice 11(11): 37-45.

8. McLeod S (2018) Collaboration for effective communication interventions: An interdisciplinary approach. Australian Journal of Education 62(2): 162-178.

9. Selin C, Rice ML, Jackson Y (2022) Adversity Exposure, Syntax, and Specific Language Impairment: An Exploratory Study. Journal of speech, language, and hearing research JSLHR 65(9): 3471-3490.

10. Ongoing Collaboration between Language Development and Language Assessment (OCLDA) (2021) Cultural considerations in assessment practices for bilingual children. Bilingualism: Language and Cognition 24(2): 200-215.

11. Reddy G (2019) Social stigma and attitudes toward children with speech and language disorders. Communication Disorders Quarterly 40(3): 169-176.

12. Kerth JL, Hagemeister M, Bischops AC, Reinhart L, Dukart J, et al. (2024) Artificial intelligence in the care of children and adolescents with chronic diseases: a systematic review. European journal of paediatrics 184(1): 83.