The Role of Forensic Anthropology in Mass Disasters

Garg V[1], Mishra R[1] and Rohatgi S[2]*

[1]Student, Amity Institute of Forensic Sciences, India

[2]Assistant professor, Amity Institute of Forensic Sciences, India

*Corresponding author: Shipra Rohatgi, Assistant Professor, Amity Institute of Forensic Sciences, AUUP, NOIDA-201313, Tel: 8586878289; Email: srohatgi@amity.edu

Abstract

The Function of Criminal Justice in situations involving widespread disasters, forensic anthropology is essential to the identification and handling of human remains. Forensic anthropologists play a crucial role in victim identification procedures following natural disasters, terrorist attacks, and major accidents. Their expertise guarantees precise and considerate treatment of the corpses. Their proficiency encompasses not only the retrieval and scrutiny of skeletal remains but also the amalgamation of anthropological information with further forensic proof, such DNA analysis and dental records. This review looks at the various ways that forensic anthropology contributes to mass catastrophe response. The use of skeletal analysis techniques to ascertain the age, sex, ancestry, and stature of unidentified remains is one of the key areas of study. Trauma analysis is a tool used by forensic anthropologists to distinguish between pre-, peri-, and postmortem injuries. This helps them piece together the events leading up to the death. The field of forensic anthropology plays a vital role in mass disaster response by offering essential knowledge that facilitates precise identification and enhances the overall effectiveness of disaster management initiatives. As methods and procedures are developed further, forensic anthropologists will be better equipped to handle the difficulties of victim identification in such difficult situations. The assessment also looks at the logistical difficulties that arise in cases of major disasters, such as the requirement for quick and well-planned recovery efforts, collaboration with other forensic specialties, and handling substantial amounts of remains.

Keywords: Mass Disaster Response; Victim Identification; Skeletal Analysis; Trauma Analysis; Disaster Victim Identification; Forensic Recovery Operations; High-Throughput Sequencing

Abbreviations

DVI: Disaster Victim Identification; ABFA: American Board of Forensic Anthropology; NGS: Next-Generation Sequencing; GIS: Geospatial Information Structures.

Introduction

In situations involving widespread disasters, the specialized area of forensic anthropology is essential for organizing and identifying human remains. Forensic experts face difficulties when dealing with mass disasters, which can include large-scale accidents, man-made events like terrorist attacks, and natural disasters like earthquakes and tsunamis [1]. Maintaining the integrity of the forensic process, ensuring correct victim identification, and giving families closure are the main goals in these circumstances. [Skeletal remains must be recovered and examined by forensic anthropologists, frequently in unsettling and chaotic environments. Their knowledge is essential for identifying

fragmentary or broken remains into profiles, which entails figuring out important demographic details like age, sex, ancestry, and stature [2]. This procedure aids in both person identification and comprehension of the disaster's larger context. Large-scale recovery operations frequently necessitate careful planning and coordination. Forensic anthropologists collaborate with many specialists, such as pathologists, odontologists, and DNA analysers, to guarantee a thorough approach to identification [3]. Accurate results and the preservation of the chain of custody-which is necessary for the proper and moral handling of the remains-depend on the integration of anthropological data with other forensic evidence. In crisis situations, trauma analysis is yet another essential component of forensic anthropology. Forensic anthropologists can distinguish between trauma sustained prior to, during, and following death by looking at bone injuries. In addition to aiding in the reconstruction of the pre-disaster events, this knowledge can shed light on the reasons and methods of death [4]. Comprehending the type of trauma also facilitates identifying specific instances and evaluating the disaster's overall effects.

Historical Background

The field of forensic anthropology has evolved significantly, particularly in its application to mass disasters. The development of forensic anthropology as a distinct scientific discipline has been shaped by historical events that highlighted the need for systematic and scientific approaches to identifying human remains. Because of formal training programs and technological breakthroughs, forensic anthropology had tremendous expansion during the 1970s and 1980s [5]. A significant turning point was the establishment of the American Board of Forensic Anthropology (ABFA) in 1977, which established guidelines for professional practice and certification. The first forensic anthropology programs at universities were established at this time, including those at the University of Tennessee and the University of Arizona. In the latter half of the 20th century, forensic anthropology's contribution to large-scale disasters gained more attention, especially in the wake of prominent incidents. One of the first significant incidents illustrating the vital role forensic anthropology plays in mass disaster victim identification was the retrieval and identification of victims from this crash. Leading forensic anthropologist Dr. Clyde Snow played a key role in creating guidelines for managing human remains in catastrophe situations and in the development of methods for skeletal examination. This catastrophe made it clear that coordinated disaster response measures were required. The identification of the remains was greatly aided by forensic anthropologists, demonstrating the value of combining forensic science with emergency response tactics.

Disaster Victim Identification Process

Debacle casualty ID (DVI) in human studies is a significant cycle in mass catastrophes, where the objective is to distinguish human remaining parts when other ID techniques, like visual acknowledgment, are not practical. The interaction includes a few key stages:

Recuperation of Remains: Anthropologists partake in the deliberate recuperation of human remaining parts from the catastrophe site. This stage guarantees that all remains are painstakingly reported, planned, and safeguarded for additional examination [6].

Introductory Assessment: When the remaining parts are recuperated, criminological anthropologists lead an underlying assessment to decide essential organic profiles, including age, sex, parentage, and height. This data is basic for reducing potential coordinates with missing people.

Definite Investigation: The remaining parts are additionally broke down for remarkable identifiers. This can incorporate inspecting dental records, bone breaks, careful inserts, or other skeletal markers that can be coordinated with clinical or dental records. High level techniques like DNA investigation may likewise be utilized to affirm personalities.

Cross-Referring to Information: Anthropologists work intimately with other measurable specialists, like odontologists, pathologists, and DNA subject matter experts, to cross-reference information from the remaining parts with reports for someone who has gone missing, clinical records, and other significant data sets.

Context oriented Proof: Belongings, clothing, or other logical proof found with the remaining parts are additionally considered to help the ID interaction.

Detailing and Documentation: When a positive recognizable proof is made, the discoveries are carefully recorded and revealed. The data is then used to illuminate specialists and, at last, to give conclusion to the groups of the people in question [7].

The Role of Forensic Anthropologists in Disaster Victim Identification

In disaster victim identification (DVI), forensic anthropologists are essential because of their specific knowledge of human skeletal remains, which they use to help identify victims of tragic occurrences. This function is essential to guaranteeing the proper and dignified identification of victims, which can provide comfort to bereaved families and aid in the overall response to disasters. Forensic anthropologists' first responsibility is to carefully remove and analyse human remains from disaster sites. They inspect the area to make sure that the remains are collected as preserved and minimally contaminated as possible [8]. Documentation done correctly is crucial since

Rohatgi S, et al. The Role of Forensic Anthropology in Mass Disasters. J Criminol Investigation Justice 2024, 2(1): 000121.

Copyright© Rohatgi S, et al.

it documents the skeletal remains' context and condition, which can be vital information for the identification procedure later. Employing specialized recovery techniques, forensic anthropologists ensure that skeletal fragments are retrieved methodically, preserving as much of the original context as possible. Upon recovering the remains, forensic anthropologists carry out comprehensive examinations to create a deceased person's biological profile. This entails using skeletal features to infer attributes including age, sex, ancestry, and stature [9]. By using this biological profile, the pool of possible victims can be greatly reduced, and it can help identify missing people and their remains. To help with identification and to gain further insight into the cause of death, forensic anthropologists also look for indications of trauma or pathology in bones. This inspection aids in distinguishing between remains and occasionally provides important hints regarding the circumstances surrounding death. Working with other forensic professionals is frequently necessary during the identification procedure. Forensic pathologists and forensic odontologists, who study dental records and soft tissue and general health issues, collaborate with forensic anthropologists. Experts in DNA]is also essential since they can verify IDs via genetic matching. The identification procedure is more accurate and reliable because to the interdisciplinary approach, which guarantees a thorough assessment of the available data [10]. Using information from multiple sources, forensic anthropologists can ensure a more complete and precise victim identification process. Forensic anthropologists also handle the delicate task of communicating findings to the public and to the families of victims. They prepare detailed reports that outline their analyses and conclusions, which can be used in legal proceedings if necessary. Additionally, they may assist in public communication efforts to provide information and updates about the identification process. Effective communication is crucial for maintaining public trust and providing clarity during the often-chaotic aftermath of a disaster.

Technological Advancements in Forensic Anthropology

Technological advancements have significantly enhanced the field of forensic anthropology, particularly in mass disaster scenarios. Key innovations include 3D imaging, virtual autopsies, advanced DNA analysis, and the development of portable forensic equipment [11]. Skeletal remains can be examined and reconstructed non-invasively thanks to 3D imaging and virtual autopsies. The integrity of the evidence is preserved because 3D imaging produces precise models of bones that may be used for comparison and analysis without the need for physical alteration. Utilizing MRI and CT scans, virtual autopsies offer thorough interior views of the remains to help identify the cause and manner of death.

Advanced Analysis of DNA: Identification procedures have been completely transformed by advancements in DNA technology, including next-generation sequencing (NGS) and enhanced techniques for removing DNA from deteriorated materials. Thanks to these developments, forensic anthropologists can now get DNA profiles from severely degraded remains, which allows for more accurate identifications even under difficult circumstances [12].

Portable Forensic Equipment: The effectiveness of forensic operations in situations involving large-scale disasters has increased with the advent of portable gear for on-site analysis. Forensic anthropologists can do initial inspections and analysis at the catastrophe site using portable X-ray machines, DNA sequencers, and 3D scanners, which expedites the identification procedure and guarantees prompt results.

Digital Databases and Software: The identification process has been expedited by the development of digital databases and advanced software for organizing and evaluating forensic evidence. More precise and effective comparisons are made possible by tools like osteometric databases and facial reconstruction software, which help identify unidentified fatalities [13].

Development of databases and software that aid anthropologists during disasters.

The advancement of data sets and programming has essentially improved the capacity of anthropologists to distinguish casualties during debacles, particularly in enormous scope occasions where customary techniques are lacking. These instruments smooth out information assortment, investigation, and correlation processes, making ID more proficient and exact.

Databases for Bet Mortem and after Death Information: Data sets for Bet Mortem and After death Data: Explicit informational collections store point by point risk mortem (before death) and postmortem (after death) information. Wager mortem data consolidates dental records, clinical stories, photographs, and individual identifiers. After death data incorporates disclosures from anthropological assessments, for instance, skeletal characteristics and DNA profiles [14]. These data bases think about fast connection between missing individuals and recovered remains. Data sets for bet mortem and after death data are basic devices in a fiasco casualty ID (DVI), working with the productive and exact matching of human remaining parts to missing people.

• **Ante-Mortem Databases** store detailed personal data collected before death, including dental records, medical histories, photographs, and personal identifiers such as tattoos or scars. This information is typically gathered from family members, medical facilities, and other relevant sources. In a disaster scenario, these records provide a baseline for comparison with unidentified

remains.

- **Post-Mortem Databases** contain information gathered from the deceased after recovery, including skeletal characteristics, dental features, DNA profiles, and any unique identifiers like surgical implants. Forensic experts input this data into the database to create a comprehensive profile of the remains [15].

3D Imaging and Remaking Apparatuses: Programming fit for making 3D models of skeletal remaining parts or reproducing facial highlights from skulls has become priceless. These models can measure up against existing information or displayed to families for conceivable recognizable proof.

- 3D Scanners use laser, organized light, or photogrammetry to catch exact computerized models of bones and skulls. These models safeguard subtleties and setting, taking into consideration exhaustive investigation and correlation with risk mortem records.
- Processed Tomography (CT) Sweeps produce cross-sectional pictures of remains, which can be incorporated into 3D portrayals. This harmless technique uncovers interior designs, like bone circumstances or dental subtleties, critical for distinguishing proof [16].
- 3D Printing changes computerized models into actual imitations of skeletal remaining parts. These copies permit anthropologists to direct examinations or facial recreations without gambling with harm to the first remaining parts.
- Facial Remaking Programming utilizes 3D skull models to gauge the departed's facial appearance. This device is imperative when customary distinguishing proof strategies are unrealistic, offering obvious signals that might prompt acknowledgment [17].

Geospatial Data Frameworks (GIS): Geospatial Information Structures (GIS): GIS advancement helps map disaster objections and the spots where remains are found. This spatial data can be related with known information about the disaster, giving pieces of information into the likely characters of setbacks considering where they were recovered. Geospatial Data Frameworks (GIS) are important in a debacle casualty distinguishing proof (DVI), offering a strong structure for making do, dissecting, and imagining spatial information connected with calamity occasions [18]. GIS incorporates different information sources, including satellite symbolism, geographical guides, and GPS arranges, to make definite, intelligent guides of calamity locales. With regards to DVI, GIS helps map the specific places where human remaining parts and belongings are found. This spatial data is vital for understanding the dispersion and development of remains, particularly in complex situations like plane accidents, quakes, or floods [19]. By investigating these examples, criminological groups can recognize likely regions for additional pursuits or pinpoint where casualties could have been at the hour of the fiasco. GIS additionally supports the calculated preparation of recuperation tasks, upgrading courses for search groups and it are entirely covered to guarantee that all regions. Furthermore, GIS can connect spatial information with other legal data sets, considering the reconciliation of bet mortem and posthumous information in a geological setting.

Recent Technological Advancements in Disaster Victim Identification (DVI) in Forensic Anthropology

Mechanical progressions in calamity casualty recognizable proof (DVI) have altogether upgraded measurable humanities. These developments work on the precision and proficiency of distinguishing human remaining parts, especially in perplexing and enormous scope episodes. One of the most remarkable progressions is the reconciliation of DNA profiling advancements. High-throughput sequencing (HTS) and cutting-edge sequencing (NGS) have upset the field by considering more point by point and far-reaching hereditary examination. These advances can handle corrupted and divided DNA tests more successfully than customary techniques [20]. Late advancements in DNA phenotyping, which predicts actual attributes from DNA, likewise help in making precise profiles of obscure people, upgrading the matching system. Advanced imaging strategies have likewise taken significant steps. Progresses in 3D imaging and checking, like Registered Tomography (CT) sweeps and 3D surface filtering, consider point by point representation of stays without actual control. This painless methodology helps safeguard the trustworthiness of proof while giving exact reproductions of skeletal designs. For instance, the utilization of multispectral imaging can uncover unobtrusive subtleties on bones that are not apparent to the unaided eye, helping with recognizing injury or neurotic circumstances [21]. Man-made brainpower (computer-based intelligence) and AI are changing the way that scientific information is examined. Computer based intelligence calculations can filter through huge datasets, look at skeletal elements, and distinguish designs that may be missed by human examiners. AI models are being created to coordinate facial reproductions with missing people data sets, improving the probability of positive IDs.

Geological Data Frameworks (GIS) and remote detecting innovations have improved the recuperation period of DVI. GIS helps in planning and examining the dispersion of stays, while remote detecting devices like robots give ethereal perspectives for enormous scope catastrophe destinations. These innovations work with more effective inquiry and recuperation activities, it is found and analysed to guarantee that all likely proof [22].

Challenges and Limitations in Forensic Anthropology for Mass Disasters

In situations involving mass disasters, forensic anthropology is essential because it helps identify human remains and determine the cause of death. Nevertheless, several difficulties and restrictions affect how effective it is. Numerous fatalities from mass disasters can leave forensic anthropologists with an enormous amount of remains to examine. Moreover, identification efforts may be complicated by the remains' fragmentation, commingling, or poor condition because of the disaster's nature (such as fires, explosions, or extended exposure to the elements). A considerable number of resources, such as skilled workers, tools, and facilities, are needed for effective forensic analysis [23]. These resources may be scarce or non-existent in many mass disaster scenarios, particularly in developing nations, which makes it more difficult to identify victims promptly and accurately. For legal, investigative, and humanitarian reasons, prompt identification is essential. The thorough and exacting character of forensic anthropological work, however, frequently conflicts with the pressing need for prompt outcomes, which could cause delays and put pressure on practitioners. Working in situations involving mass disasters puts forensic anthropologists under a great deal of psychological stress. Burnout and a decline in the calibre of their work might result from the emotional toll of handling major human tragedy and the need to provide victims' families' closure. Coordination between forensic pathology, odontology, DNA analysis, and law enforcement is necessary for mass disaster responses [24]. Collaboration and effective communication are crucial yet difficult to achieve, especially in hectic and high-pressure settings. Maintaining dignity and cultural sensitivity when managing human remains is essential. Different cultural customs and the pressing nature of forensic work, however, can give rise to moral conundrums that must be carefully navigated to protect the rights of the surviving and the dignity of the dead [25].

Future Directions and Recommendations in Forensic Anthropology for Mass Disasters

The field of forensic anthropology is evolving to better address the challenges posed by mass disasters. Future directions and recommendations focus on improving methodologies, resource allocation, interdisciplinary collaboration, and ethical considerations.

Accepting new technology can improve the precision and speed of identifying human remains. Examples of these are 3D imaging, virtual autopsy, and sophisticated DNA analysis. It's also critical to develop lightweight, user-friendly equipment for usage in the field [26]. It is crucial to increase the number of forensic anthropologists who receive training, especially in mass catastrophe response. This comprises multidisciplinary training and simulation exercises to get practitioners ready for the intricacies of real-world situations [27]. It is imperative to increase funding for forensic facilities and resources, particularly in areas vulnerable to large-scale disasters [27]. Creating mobile forensic units can guarantee prompt deployment and effective on-site handling of remains. Enhancing cooperation between pathologists, odontologists, DNA analysts, and forensic anthropologists can lead to more efficient and successful mass disaster response strategies. Establishing established communication channels and standards is essential for smooth cooperation [28]. Forensic professionals working in mass disaster situations can benefit from mental health care to lessen the psychological toll and avoid burnout. It is advised to have access to therapy services and to regular debriefing sessions. It is essential to create thorough ethical standards that uphold victims' dignity and cultural sensitivities. This entails laying out precise guidelines for the respectful handling of the remains and correspondence with the relatives of the deceased [29]. Encouraging global collaboration and information exchange can improve the world's readiness for large-scale catastrophes. Facilitating international training programs and building networks of forensic professionals can aid in the dissemination of best practices and promote teamwork [30].

Conclusion

An essential part of disaster response and recovery operations is forensic anthropology, particularly in cases of mass disasters where the scope and complexity of the situation provide formidable obstacles. The importance of forensic anthropologists in victim identification remains analysis, and bringing closure to families impacted by tragic occurrences has been brought to light by this review. The techniques used, such as cutting-edge imaging methods, DNA profiling, and sophisticated skeleton analysis, are essential to the success of forensic investigations in these kinds of situations. In conclusion, forensic anthropology has come a long way in tackling the problems posed by large-scale disasters, but more innovation, teamwork, and moral behaviour are still required. Accepting recommendations and future directions would improve the field's capacity to respond to disasters in an efficient manner, ultimately assisting with identification and aiding in the recovery and reconciliation of affected communities.

References

1. Derrick S, Figura B (2016) The Role of the Anthropologist in Identification at Two Urban Medical Examiner Offices: OCME New York City and Harris County. Acad Forensic Pathol 6(3): 413-423.

2. Love JC, Sanchez LA (2009) Recognition of Skeletal Fractures in Infants: An Autopsy Technique. J Forensic Sci 54(6): 1443-1446.

3. Austin DE, Fulginiti LC (2008) The Forensic Anthropology Laboratory in a Medical Examiner Setting. The Forensic Anthropology Laboratory. CRC Press, pp: 23-46.

4. Dirkmaat DC, Cabo LL, Ousley SD, Symes SA (2008) New Perspectives in Forensic Anthropology. Am J Phys Anthropol 47: 33-52.

5. Stevens PJ (1973) Investigation of Mass Disaster. In: Mant AK (Ed.), Modern Trends in Forensic Medicine. London: Butterworths, pp: 170-192.

6. Bikker J (2014) Identification of Missing Persons and Unidentified Remains in Disaster Victim Identification Recommendations and Best Practice. In: Mallett X, Blythe T, Berry R (Eds.), Advances in Forensic Human Identification. Boca Raton (FL): Taylor and Francis, pp: 37-58.

7. Blau S, Hill A, Briggs CA, Cordner SM (2006) Missing Persons - Missing Data: Examining the Need for the Collection of Ante-Mortem Dental Records of Missing Persons. J Forensic Sci 51: 386-389.

8. Simmons T, Skinner M (2005) The Accuracy of Ante-Mortem Data and Presumptive Identification: Appropriate Procedures, Application and Ethics. Proceedings of the American Academy of Forensic Sciences. Seattle: AAFS.

9. Eitzen D, Zimmermann A (2011) Setting Up an Off-Site Emergency Mortuary Facility (EMF) to Deal with A DVI Incident: Disaster Victim Management (DVM). Forensic Sci Med Pathol 8(2): 189-193.

10. Byard RW, Cooke C, Leditsche J (2006) Practical Issues Involved in Setting up Temporary Mortuaries after Mass Disasters. Forensic Sci Med Pathol 2(1): 59-61.

11. Khoo LS, Lai PS, Saidin MH, Noo Z, Mahmood MS (2018) Cling Film Plastic Wrap: An Innovation for Dead Body Packaging, Preservation and Transportation by First Responders as a Replacement for Cadaver Body Bag in Large Scale Disasters. Forensic Sci Int 285: 50-57.

12. Cosmo S, Barbera JA (2012) Rapid Disaster Victim Identification in Mass Fatality Incidents: Decision Support Tool to Facilitate Human Remains Identification. Disaster Med Public Health Prep 6(3): 277-290.

13. Slooten K (2011) Validation of DNA-Based Identification Software by Computation of Pedigree Likelihood Ratios. Forensic Sci Int Genet 5(4): 308-315.

14. Levison J (2011) Israeli Response to Mass Death. Disaster Prev Manage 20(5): 485-498.

15. Boer HH, Maat GJR (2016) The Dutch Approach in Disaster Victim Identification. J Med Leg Droit Med 59(1): 85-91.

16. (2011) Disaster Victim Identification: Experience and Practice. In: Black S, Sunderland G, Hackman L, Mallett X (Eds.), Boca Raton (FL): CRC Press.

17. Merli C, Buck T (2015) Forensic Identification and Identity Politics in 2004 Post-Tsunami Thailand: Negotiating Dissolving Boundaries. Hum Remains Violence 1: 3-22.

18. Wilder A (2008) Locals within Locals: Cultural Sensitivity in Disaster Aid. Anthropol Today 24(3): 1-3.

19. Sahelangi P, Novita M (2012) Role of Dentists in Indonesian Disaster Victim Identification Operations: Religious & Cultural Aspects. J Forensic Odontostomatol 30(S1): 60-71.

20. Byard RW, Winskog C (2010) Potential Problems Arising During International Disaster Victim Identification (DVI) Exercises. Forensic Sci Med Pathol 6(1): 1-2.

21. Winskog C, Tonkin A, Byard RW (2012) The Educational Value of Disaster Victim Identification (DVI) Missions-Transfer of Knowledge. Forensic Sci Med Pathol 8(2): 84-87.

22. Schuliar Y, Knudsen PJT (2012) Role of Forensic Pathologists in Mass Disasters. Forensic Sci Med Pathol 8(2): 164-173.

23. Verma AK, Kumar S, Rathore S, Pandey A (2014) Role of Dental Expert in Forensic Odontology. Natl J Maxillofac Surg 5(1): 2-5.

24. Tengrove HG (2016) Forensic Odontology in Disaster Victim Identification. In: Taylor JA, Kieser JA (Eds.), Forensic Odontology: Principles and Practice. London: A Taylor, Jules A, Kieser, pp: 286-335.

25. Lino M, Aoki Y (2016) The Use of Radiology in the Japanese Tsunami DVI Process. Journal of Forensic Radiology and Imaging 4: 20-26.

26. EM-DAT: The International Disaster Database. Disaster Data: A Balanced Perspective 48.

27. Dutta SR, Singh P, Passi D, Varghese D, Sharma S (2016)

The Role of Dentistry in Disaster Management and Victim Identification: An Overview of Challenges in Indo-Nepal Scenario. J Maxillofac Oral Surg 15(4): 442-448.

28. Gambhir RS, Singh G, Talwar PS, Gambhir J, Munjal V (2016) Knowledge and Awareness of Forensic Odontology among Dentists in India: A Systematic Review. J Forensic Dent Sci 8(1): 2-6.

29. Lau G, Tan WF, Tan PH (2005) After the Indian Ocean Tsunami: Singapore's Contribution to the International Disaster Victim Identification Effort in Thailand. Ann Acad Med Singapore 34(5): 341-351.

30. James H (2005) Thai Tsunami Victim Identification Overview to Date. J Forensic Odontostomatol 23: 1-18.