



Role of chatGPT in Law: According to chatGPT

Biswas S*

Department of Radiology, The University of Tennessee Health Science Center, USA

***Corresponding author:** Dr. Som Biswas, Department of Radiology, Le Bonheur Hospital, The University of Tennessee Health Science Center, Tennessee, USA, Tel: 8668705570; Email: ssbinmemphis@gmail.com

Commentary

Volume 1 Issue 1

Received Date: October 09, 2023

Published Date: October 25, 2023

DOI: 10.23880/oajda-16000103

Abstract

ChatGPT is a language model developed by Open AI that can provide support to paralegals and legal assistants in various tasks. Some of the uses of ChatGPT in the legal field include legal research, document generation, case management, document review, and client communication. However, ChatGPT also has limitations that must be taken into consideration, such as limited expertise, a lack of understanding context, the risk of bias in its responses, the potential for errors, and the fact that it cannot provide legal advice. While ChatGPT can be a valuable tool for paralegals and legal assistants, it is important to understand its limitations and use it in conjunction with the expertise and judgment of licensed legal professionals. The author acknowledges asking chatGPT questions regarding its uses for law. Some of the uses that it states are possible now and some are potentials for the future. The author has analyzed and edited the replies of chat GPT.

Keywords: ChatGPT; Law; AI; Artificial Intelligence

Introduction

ChatGPT is a large language model developed by Open AI that can assist in various tasks, including those performed by paralegals and legal assistants. While ChatGPT can provide valuable support, it also has limitations and disadvantages that should be taken into consideration. This includes its limited expertise and understanding of context, the risk of bias in its responses, the potential for errors, and the fact that it is not a licensed legal professional and cannot provide legal advice. In this context, it is important to understand that ChatGPT should be used as a supplement to, rather than a replacement for, the expertise and judgment of licensed legal professionals [1,2].

The author acknowledges asking chatGPT questions regarding its uses for law. Some of the uses that it states are possible now and some are potentials for the future. The author has analysed and edited the replies of chat GPT.

Role of chat GPT as paralegals and legal assistants

ChatGPT can be used as an aid for paralegals and legal assistants in a variety of tasks, including the following examples:

- Legal research: ChatGPT can be used to quickly search and retrieve relevant information from legal databases, case law, and statutes, saving time and improving efficiency.
- Document generation: ChatGPT can assist in the generation of legal documents, such as contracts, motions, and pleadings, by providing templates, language, and relevant information.
- Case management: ChatGPT can provide information about legal procedures, deadlines, and requirements, and assist in case tracking and docket management.

- Document review: ChatGPT can assist in the review of large volumes of documents, such as discovery materials, by categorizing and summarizing information to identify relevant content.
- Client communication: ChatGPT can provide responses to frequently asked questions from clients, freeing up time for paralegals and legal assistants to focus on other tasks.

Disadvantages of using Chat GPT as Paralegal and Legal Assistance

While ChatGPT can provide valuable support as an aid to paralegals and legal assistants, it also has certain limitations and disadvantages, including:

- Limited expertise: ChatGPT is a language model trained on a large corpus of text, but it does not have the same level of legal expertise and experience as a human paralegal or legal assistant.
- Lack of understanding context: ChatGPT may have difficulty understanding the nuances and complexities of a legal matter, leading to incorrect or incomplete responses.
- Risk of bias: ChatGPT has been trained on text from the internet, which can contain biases and inaccuracies. This can lead to incorrect or misleading information being provided.
- Potential for errors: As with any technology, ChatGPT is not immune to errors, and mistakes can occur in its responses.
- Legal advice limitations: It is important to note that ChatGPT is not a licensed legal professional and its responses should not be taken as legal advice. Only a licensed attorney can provide legal advice.

In conclusion, while ChatGPT can provide valuable support to paralegals and legal assistants, it is important to understand its limitations and use it in conjunction with the

expertise and judgment of licensed legal professionals.

Conclusion

In conclusion, ChatGPT is a powerful tool that can assist paralegals and legal assistants in a variety of tasks, but it is important to understand its limitations and limitations. While it can provide valuable support and save time, it is not a substitute for the expertise and judgment of licensed legal professionals. In order to ensure the accuracy and reliability of its responses, ChatGPT should be used in conjunction with the guidance of licensed attorneys [3]. Ultimately, the use of ChatGPT as a support tool in the legal field can be a valuable addition to the workflow of paralegals and legal assistants, but it should not be relied upon as the sole source of information or decision-making in any legal matter. However, these are roles stated by chat GPT and although some of them are possible now, some others are potential uses for the future as its database and analytical skills become better.

Acknowledgment

The author acknowledges that this article was partially generated by ChatGPT (powered by Open AI's language model, GPT-3; <http://openai.com>). The editing was performed by the author.

References

1. Harry S (2019) Artificial intelligence and law: An overview. Georgia State University Law Review 35: 19-22.
2. Harry S (2018) Artificial intelligence and law: An overview. Georgia State University Law Review 35: 1305.
3. Stephan R (2019) Artificial intelligence for law enforcement: challenges and opportunities. IEEE security & privacy 17(5): 74-77.

