MEDWIN PUBLISHERS
Committed to Create Value for Researchers

Open Access Journal of Gynecology
ISSN: 2474-9230

Role of Artificial Intelligence with Regards to Improving Success Rate in Human *In Vitro* Fertilization with Emphasis on the Blastocyst Characteristics with Respect to Improving Implantation, Avoiding Aneuploidy for Optimization of Live Birth Rates

Kochar Kaur K[1]*, Allahbadia G[2] and Singh M[3]

[1]Scientific Director, Centre for Human Reproduction, India
[2]Scientific Director, Ex-Rotunda-A Centre for Human Reproduction, India
[3]Consultant Neurologist, Swami Satyanand Hospital, India

***Corresponding author:** Kulvinder Kochar Kaur, Scientific Director, Centre for Human Reproduction, 721, G.T.B. Nagar Jalandar-144001, Punjab, India, Tel: 91-181-9501358180; Email: kulvinder.dr@gmail.com

Research Article
Volume 6 Issue 1
Received Date: February 27, 2021
Published Date: April 05, 2021
DOI: 10.23880/oajg-16000214

Abstract

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is an expanding field to optimize results in medicine with special emphasis in radiology with optimization of images. Similarly in reproductive medicine it has taken over in the last few years. Ideally embryo assessment as well as selection decides the ultimate fate of the in vitro fertilization (IVF) event. The objective is to pick the best out of the large cohort of the oocytes that managed to get fertilized. Maximum of which would work out to be nonviable secondary to aberrant generation or chromosomal aberrations. It has usually been recognized that despite embryo selection depending on the compounded results of morphology, morph kinetics characteristics, time lapse microscopic (TLM) photography, or embryo biopsy with Preimplantation genetic testing for aneuploidy (PGT-A), implantation rates in the human has not been easy to anticipate. Hence in our efforts to escalate embryo assessment as well as selection along with escalation of live birth rate (LBR) would need innovative methods. Currently AI-dependent techniques have come out having standardized, objective along with great effectiveness in embryo assessment. Thus a systematic review was carried out utilizing the search engine PubMed, Google scholar; web of science; embase; Cochrane review library from 2000 to 2020 till date. The MeSH terms utilized were AI; ART; IVF; ICSI; PGT; TLM; BL(blastocyst) morph kinetics; morphology; annotation studies; sperm parameters; ploidy evaluation by AI; utilization of images static vs video for generation of standards; embryo grading; infertility evaluation. We found a total of 316 articles out of which we selected 56 articles for this review. No meta-analysis was carried out. Further AI can be utilized for other aspects of IVF like gonadotropin stimulation individualization protocols as well as assessment of Reproductive potential. Moreover AI possesses capacity to evaluate Big Data. Moreover our main objective would be the application of AI tools for evaluation of embryological, genetic as well as clinical data to give patients specific treatment.

Keywords: AI; Embryo Assessment; Embryo Selection; Ploidy Evaluation; Machine Learning

Abbreviations: IVF: In Vitro Fertilization; TLM: Time Lapse Microscopic; PGT-A: Preimplantation Genetic Testing For Aneuploidy; AI: Artificial Intelligence; ART: Assisted Reproductive Technology; ICSI: Intra Cytoplasmic Injection; ML: Machine Learning.

Introduction

Analysis along with selection of the embryo signifies the complete outcome of the total in vitro fertilization (IVF) event. The objective is choice of the best embryos from the bigger cohort of the fertilized oocytes, maximum of which would be decided to be unviable secondary to the aberrant generation as well as /or chromosomal imbalances. Actually, it has been usually accepted that in spite of selection of the embryo depending on morphology, time lapse microscopic (TLM) photography, or embryo biopsy with Preimplantation genetic testing for aneuploidy(PGT-A), implantation rates in the human has not been easy to anticipate.

Whereas contemporary analysis techniques have generally become better, the event of in vitro fertilization has persisted to continue to be gamete inefficient along with wastage of embryos. In an ideal setting, techniques facilitating healthy sperm as well as oocytes selection would aid in maximize the IVF event, in addition to gametes being efficacious. Along with the requirement of fertilization of least oocytes, innovative noninvasive embryo evaluation methods would aid in escalation of IVF efficiency along with diminishing of embryos getting wasted. Thus we decided to conduct a systematic review on Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Assisted Reproductive Technology (ART) with emphasis on human IVF success rates for optimization of embryo, sperms parameters that would aid in getting rid of invasive techniques like PGT for ploidy studies

Methods

Thus a systematic review was carried out utilizing the search engine PubMed, Google scholar; Web Of Science; Embase; Cochrane Review Library from 2000 to 2020 till date. The MeSH terms utilized were AI; (ART; IVF; ICSI; PGT; TLM; BL morphokinetics; morphology; annotation studies; sperm parameters ;ploidy evaluation by AI; utilization of images static vs. video for generation of standards; embryo grading; infertility evaluation.

Results

We found a total of 316 articles out of which we selected 56 articles for this review. No meta-analysis was carried out.

Evaluation of Gametes

Usually evaluation of human oocytes in any clinical scenario is primarily carried out by examining the development logical looks of the oocytes cumulus complex [1]. Whereas the original assessment of the corona cells might point to oocytes maturity, only following cumulus separation as well as looking at the 1st polar body, one validates nuclear maturity. In the ideal circumstances noninvasive techniques of cytoplasmic maturation are required to be generated. Evaluation of machine learning (ML) to images of oocytes prior to ICSI along with Evaluation of how oocytes behave at the time of intra cytoplasmic injection (ICSI) might become key towards selection of competent oocytes. Like the competency of mature mouse oocytes in vitro have been assessed along with anticipated with great precision with great accuracy utilizing an artificial neuronal network on time lapse TLM images [2]. Finding noninvasive markers for evaluation of oocyte competency might further be utilized in research on other methods of oocyte generation, nuclear cloning and oocyte in vitro maturation (IVM), along with stem cells as well as direct somatic cell reprogramming. Certain early trials have been done utilizing Artificial Intelligence (AI) already techniques for evaluation of human oocytes along with anticipating fertilization, evaluate embryo generation to the blastocyst (BL) stage, in addition to evaluate implantation ability utilizing static images. These early outcomes are required to be validated with bigger studies (ESHRE 2020, 0-285, U.S. Patent no.2020/012623).

Akin to that AI has been utilized in semen Evaluation [3], for sperm morphology assessment [4], as well as DNA integrity [5] along with sperm selection. A common sperm evaluation technique known as a CASA integrated at low level AI ML system for automatic sperm assessment [6]. A main target for clinical AI use is the isolation of sperm cells in microsurgical testicular samples of patients with severe male factor infertility, since knowledge of these precious cells classically requires various hours by embryologists. Generation of this kind of system would need a huge amount of sperm images for MT for appropriately differentiation of sperm cells as well as that applies in real time .Trying to apply in AI urology depending on the clinical parameters have been illustrated earlier, indicating that AI techniques have got generated already to anticipate the probability of sperm extraction in case of azoospermic subjects [7], along with accurately anticipate male factor infertility [8]. The utilizing of data mining techniques for evaluation of lifestyle along with environmental factors on semen quality as well as fertility rates in men has been evaluated [9]. This AI techniques application has further extended with the generation of smartphone –dependent applications for semen evaluation along with sperm viability as well as DNA integrity [10].

Evaluation of the Embryo along with Selection

Imaging reflects one of the main areas of AI techniques application. AI techniques have got utilized with success for isolation of objects within an image as well as anticipate shapes along with their textures. In case of medicine, it has received widespread application for image getting recognized

along with anticipation of pathology, diagnostic radiology, as well as USG, of the few. At present the concentration of AI applications in embryology can get divided into these groups

- Automatic annotation of embryo generation(cell stages as well as cell cycles)
- Embryo grading(usually in the BL stage)
- Selection of embryo for implantation.

Automatic Notation

This finding of TLM in human embryology has aided in the correct assessment of the time of cellular divisions along with finding of normal as well as aberrant hallmarks regarding embryo generation. Despite only moderate acceptability in USA as well in view of markedly expensive equipment along with most clinics tending to utilize Preimplantation genetic testing (PGT) as the standard of care, this method can act in standardizing embryo culture systems along with optimization of embryo evaluation. Actually utilization of AI to TLM will contribute towards the resurfacing of this method. Commercially TLM systems–like Embryo scope (Vitrolife), Geert (Genea-Biomedix), as well as ESCO-believe that their assessment software has some amount of ML. These constructors have not revealed their platform or the precision of their systems. Moreover, maximum Automatic Notation selection of embryo programs is not existent in US, with no FDA approval.

At the time of TLM incubation, embryogenesis can give notation with regards to the exact time of every cleavage process. Nevertheless, this being a manual process which is based on every embryologists precision, experience along with capacity to differentiate among normal as well as aberrant cleavage processes as well as features (like fragments vs cells). Akin to many manual works, both intra as well as inter operator differences, specifically in, many laboratories, is large [11]. Due to this, besides standardizing as well as making the program automatic, AI-dependent Automatic Notation possessing great precision along with belief are necessary.

A lot of efforts for automatizing TLM annotation have been attempted. Besides the commercial manufacturers of these TLM instruments, recent literature has illustrated the promise of automatic, nonhuman -modulated embryo generational developmental systems [12-14].

Automatic Notation Ssystems are required to stick to these needs i)they need to be fast, ii)accurate iii)capacity to reproduce, iv) particular(having the capacity to differentiate a cell from a fragment) as well as they need to recall aberrant cellular generation(suggesting direct unequal cleavages) [15]. Moreover they need to incorporate the capacity to differentiate morphological qualities of the embryo (like uneven size-vacuoles, vacuoles, granularity etc., along with nuclear aberrations multinuclear blast meres). For an ideal method proper significance for every property needs to be allocated along with estimated. Separate computerized image systems are existent, like cell shape extractors, segmentation, tracking of cells along with extraction of characteristics, which can be added with the AI systems(mainly with convolutional neural network [CNN] techniques.

Utilization of Automatic finding methods for evaluation of cell stages along with division intervals that are consistent (t times) utilizing TLM systems is difficult. i) The systems have to recall as well as find the embryo in the culture well as well as generate an automatic area of interest. This is possible to achieve via cascade classier [13] or, segmentation [16]. Recent literature from the group of Zaninovic N, Rosenwaks, [17] points that on pre-processing the region that holds interest is not essential once the Inception V3 CNN model gets utilized for the automatic cell annotation. As per their belief segmentation of embryos can be achieved internally via the AI algorithm. By this they managed to procure 100% precision on mouse images among 2 frames as well as 93.9% precision on human TLM images among 5 frames, up till the8th cell stage. The good success rate of this algorithm can be secondary to utilization of up till five times frame for accurate cell anticipation as well as utilization of separate focal planes that exist among the TLM systems [12].

Recently Freyeux, et al. [14] could manage Automatic cell annotation until the BL stage by utilization of segmentation tool for quantification of zone pellucid thickness to pick up BL expansion. Moreover they utilized Standard Deviation of images gray levels for precise identification of embryos cell stages. The Automated tool was corroborated with the embryologist's annotation as well as illustrated variations based on cell stages evaluation [14].

Grading of Embryos

Maximum progress in AI embryology has been achieved in case of embryo grading, particularly on blastocysts. This blastocyst stage is specifically suitable for grading, in view of illustration that it possesses significant correlation with implantation. What is our misfortune is the existence of multiple grading systems. Lot of differences as well as deviations have been observed with the generally utilized grading system namely the Gardner grading systems as well frequently. Utilization of numerous numbers along with letters instead of the numerical values creates more hurdles. An effort was made by this group recently to get a solution for this hurdle by giving a simple numerical BL score in which all the three qualitative numerical values with regards to every BL constituent; i.e. expansion, ii)the inner cell mass(ICM) along with Trophectoderm(TE) [18].

Further greater hurdles correlated with BL analysis as well as well as selection, like big intra along with inter operative differences are existent [19] despite utilization of TLM [10]. A recent study that comprised of 10 embryologists displayed a marked variation in grading of human embryos meant for biopsy as well as freezing. As compared to this, trained AI-dependent algorithms demonstrated much more success over human analysis as well as selection [20]. One challenge of utmost significance represents the quality of the training results as well as how the machine learns from it. Like the learning of the machine comes via a set of embryo images (training set) which got analyses as well as graded by embryologists (human involvement). The quality of learning of the machine is based on the data quality. Although ideal is ML taking place where no human involvement is there.

In initial methods to solve this problem concentrated on trying to differentiate ICM from TE images utilizing imagesegmentation. This needed utilization of 2 separate focal images; i) one on the ICM as well as ii) other on the TE. The system utilized simple ML techniques (support vector machine) on 2D BL images. Despite these methods needing human involvement, they are the initial step in BL grade standardization along with automatization [21]. This automatic analysis of the in BL also is a luring model regards to animal research along with animal IVF. Application of Artificial neural networks utilizing particular measurements, textures along with other BL characteristics, Matos, et al. [22] illustrated how an automatic morphological classification of mouse blastocysts could be procured with 95% precision. They utilized this AI algorithm with success in bovine embryos also that was a prior need for application of AI techniques to human embryos images [23]. Various publications have detailed the isolation along with segmentation of the ICM from TE on 2D BL images utilizing AI tools [24]. Attempts were made for segmenting, analysing along with anticipating quality of TE for precise along with Automatic anticipation of quality of BL [25]. Further this might further be significant for evaluation of AI techniques needed for evaluation as well as selection of TE cells required for embryo biopsy as well as contrast them to the chromosomal outcomes.

Different efforts have been conducted in replication of BL morphological grading done by Automatic systems. Their objective was to anticipate the ICM as well as TE grade by utilization of static along with TLM images. Of these 1 of the strategies was training TLM BL results graded by embryologists on the ICM as well as TE. Prior processing of the images was conducted utilizing cropping In addition to CNN in combination with recurrent neural network for anticipating ICM as well as TE morphology from the same images in 3 focal planes. The total AUC varied among 0.63 to 0.65 for the ICM as well as TE with a great precision for differentiation of A(high) vis a vis C(low) grade ICM as well as TE (97.8% along with 98.1% respectively) [26]. Further Chen, et al. [27] detailed the capacity of CNN for anticipation of B/L expansion (96% correct), as well as ICM(91% correct) along with TE(84% correct) quality grade utilizing single static images obtained under inverted microscope though an AI system can get utilized for evaluation of static images. The biggest advantage would be its utilization with TLM videos (stacked images) of generating embryos. Some conflict exists with regards to utilization of static images vis a vis videos for evaluation of embryos. On one side it appears correct that videos would contribute greater knowledge regarding embryos generation hence resulting better selection. Conversely looking at single static images at key time points (like at 66 or 110 hrs.) seems to be enough for accurately evaluate its generational competence. The limiting factor for this system is that the single static images at can't be utilized for analysing the dynamic event of embryos generation or processes like blastocoels collapsing that can probably come in between evaluation. Thus these 2 models have to get assessed on common data sets for getting significant conclusions [28]. Additionally, is the quality of the image pixel resolution having an impact on precision of an AI system? Does the probability exist of training an AI system on high resolution images along with apply them to the ones that are low resolution images, or converse?

The recent study by Khosravi, et al. [19] unveiled the probability of application of AI methods clinically for the classification of embryos. Their evaluation was conducted on a big numbers of TLM images at the B/L stage, precisely at 110 hrs post ICSI. This particular time was calculated depending on their prior endeavour of analyzing B/L utilizing TLM. At that particular time (=morning time of day5) competent known implanted embryos demonstrated morphological properties correlated with a high B/L grade. Intriguingly, other researchers independently used an evaluation akin to this [29]. Usually what we have acquired from TLM, the pace along with timing of embryonic generational processes is robustly correlated with implantation. It has now been well proved that a BL generated by day 5 possesses a greater implantation potential in contrast to that generated by day 6, despite following normalization for B/L quality along with chromosomally normal as per PGT [30]. Utilizing images they generated an AI framework (known as STORK) for classification of human BLs possessing >98% precision when trying to differentiate high as well as low grade B/Ls. Utilizing class-activating mapping, a technique which produces heat maps of images, it looks that the STORK's capacity of making decisions depends on particular areas that have been examined thoroughly within the embryo, based on its stage of generation. Moreover they developed a decision tree in which incorporation of BL quality, as determined by STORK, maternal age, that produced an anticipating tool for finding out the chances of getting a pregnancy .An effort akin to this

was documented recently where CNN as well as Exception architecture got utilized for evaluation of TLM as well as static embryo images for anticipating BL vs. non BL embryos at 113 hrs with great precision [29] along with the probability of BL implantation [31]. In agreement, recently innovative embryo parameters got included in the architecture in an attempt to do better selection of BLs having the chances of implantation [32].

Like in semen examination, a smartphone-dependent system possessing portable optic devices for analysis of human embryos has got recently generated. Regarding this study, the training event utilized high quality embryo images as well as in the learning algorithm got applied on the low quality embryo images that had been captured by this smartphone. The total precision of differentiation BL vs non BL was about 90%, pointing to the adaptability along with its flexibility for utilization [33].

Various AI start-ups at present are in the process of generation of algorithms concentrated on different stages of embryonic generation. Certain of these are concentrating on early generation stages, with pronuclear formation along with behavior, whereas rest focus on following Day 3 along with blastocyst stage. The objective of all is to anticipate the embryos generation along with implantation. The query is why to concentrate on separate generational timings? Furthermore, lot have claimed great anticipation of precision by utilization of separate AI platforms, like CNN remains ideal regarding image evaluation. The separate strategies point that a methods for IVF has not got totally exploited. Furthermore, a lot of publications have been depend on relatively little input training data (<300) as well as had absence of enough data variations or were totally imbalanced. One of the biggest hurdles in ML is utilization of an imbalanced data set for training. Once the data are not balanced (i.e. about equal amount of positive as well as negative), the AI would have a chance of recalling the data which are maximum with greater probability.

Selection of Embryo with Objective of Enhancing Implantation

Selection of the embryo that is considered the best with the aim of a single embryo for transfer is the archetypal aim as far as all IVF embryologists are concerned. Classically contemporary embryo selections techniques depend on morphological evaluation, a technique that has got documented to be correlated with great inter observer variability along with inconsistent reports. AI appears to be very attractive objective tools for selection of the embryos along with anticipation of pregnancy. Actually certain groups In addition to budding AI companies have been trying to concentrate on application of embryos evaluation for anticipation of pregnancy along with live birth. One significant fact is that, this strategy does not take into account a lot of key factors, as it has been illustrated on prior occasions that embryonic might only aid up to 80% of the elements needed for pregnancy to be achieved [34]. It can be debated that embryo evaluation needs to be dependent on FH Implantation rates instead of LB where uterine as well as other factors might also participate.

Various statistical anticipation models have got formed depending on the morphokinetic parameters from the embryonic TLM results. These morphokinetic parameters of the embryo are associated with the Implantation potential along with can get utilized as anticipators of embryo quality. Thus utilizing TLM parameters, these anticipatory models, depending on combined statistical evaluation along with AI techniques can get utilized for the anticipation of embryo Implantation at cleavage along with the BL stages [35].

The other method of evaluation of Implantation potential is by analysis of embryo images from the videos. Currently little studies exist that have utilized AI-dependent models for anticipation of embryo Implantation [36]. Tran, et al. [37], conducted a study, where a Deep learning model wasgenerated for anticipation of fetal heart rate (FHR). As per their claim, they had generated a totally automated system which analysed raw TLM videos without any annotation or BL grading. Despite utilization of a big set of embryos (>10,000), the study evoked certain concerns. The data was utilized from all embryos that were available, that included those that were normally or abnormally fertilized along with those that had been discarded. Thus an imbalanced date gets generated where the negative group supersedes the positive ones. As per the authors their intention was to generate an automatic system which would isolate discarded embryos as well. If that was the idea, it might have been of use to look at the models working of only true positive as well as true negative results had been utilized (two producer), following exclusion of discards. Additionally, it would have been essential to utilize only the embryos that got transferred for analysis of the models actual Implantation anticipation depending on the maternal age. In view of the authors now belonging to commercial company, the precise details might never get recovered with regards to the AI-dependent model. Other authors have again brought these problems in limelight [38]. However, the objective of analysis of embryo seems very luring .It would be good to have knowledge regards to what parameters on TLM videos –are the characteristics within the images, kinetics, or both got utilized in the model for anticipation. In ideal circumstances, the combining of embryos image results as well as embryos morphphokinetics resulted would yield us a deep evaluation of embryos along with lead to maximum embryos anticipation. Values Currently the Tran, et al. [37] AI model documented is getting

evaluated in a randomized prospective trial.

In other study, where an apparently lower sample size (n=221BL got transferred from 2 clinics) utilized static BL images (although timing of BL images were not provided for anticipation of Implantation (positive β human chorionic gonadotropin [HCG]). In the AI event prior processing utilizing segmentation, extraction of properties along with principal component evaluation. Intriguingly, despite inclusion of maternal age within the model, Chavez-Badalona, et al. [39] did not reveal how it impacted its anticipation capacity. The total precision documented was equivalent to 70% with lot of false positive as well as false negative rates. It is not clear which parameters got utilized for anticipation by the AI model as well as if anticipation was correlated to the quality of the BL (grading). The query that carries greater weightage was, if AI gave a more advantageous anticipation model in contrast to the BL grading alone per se. It would be advantageous to evaluate if AI gave the anticipation model on the same common image results for AI vis a vis BL grading. As the total Implantation rate of high quality BLs is accepted to be about 70% in young women (<35yr old) the part of AI in the selection of morphologically very good embryos continues to be not clear.

A multicenter study that utilized a big retrospective data set of single BL images utilizing an AI platform for anticipation of FHR Implantation [40]. In this platform 6 step prior processing of images as well as subsequent enhancement, computer vision as well as ML techniques (too technical details in the paper explained). The total precision of this model was 67% with sensitivity (true positive) of 71.1% as well as specificity (true negative) of 65.3%. This models anticipation were contrasted to those that had been decided by embryologists across 11 separate IVF labs along with demonstrated a total enhancement of 30.8%.One needs to take these outcomes with a pinch of salt in view that the embryologists method of ranking (viable vs nonviable BL) was detailed in a poor manner. Further significant differences in the quality of grading among labs were observed. Alluring, universal cloud dependent technique of embryo quality anticipation dependent on simple 2D images offer a reason why this system got commercialized. A prospective study would be essential to corroborate how much utility of this system is in a clinical scenario.

The query on universal AI embryo assessment along with selection methods would be of utility across multiple labs remains unanswered. Like local lab situations like culture media, incubator kind's away ways of embryo evaluation have a marked influence on the data evaluation? The method by which universal AI models try to adjust for these variations for corroboration of these techniques, it would be significant to utilize markedly different data sets from a lot of labs for AI training. Furthermore it is not known what the reaction of AIs be in case of data in had never visualized earlier. An AI algorithm generated in 1 clinic might not essentially work with equal precision for the other clinics, as it got validated in various recently illustrated TLM statistical models [41]. Equally significant, the data evaluation needs to incorporate other clinical parameters like patients age, ovarian reserve, stimulation protocols utilized, among rest to include as many factors as get correlated with a successful IVF treatment. Actually Umar, et al. [42] documented recently one similar system that utilized ML dependent system that included lot of factors for anticipation of IVF results [42]. What is noticeable is that ML models might get utilized for anticipation of infertility by evaluation of personal profiles, medical history along with data on lifestyle [43]. Greater studies are needed that can inculcate the multiple factors required for the success of IVF.

Anticipation of Ploidy

It has been broadly agreed upon that Embryo biopsy methods represent invasive as well as can prevent the embryo generation along with integrity. Thus the main concentration of contemporary IVF has been the generation of methods which Anticipate ploidy noninvasively. One similar screening method tries to cell free DNA evaluation on used embryo culture media [44]. Other techniques like AI- dependent evaluation for Anticipation of embryo ploidy are getting evaluated. Like prior studies have illustrated a correlation of embryo morphology, grading of BL, BL scores with embryo ploidy, where high quality embryos possessed greater chances of being explode [18,30]. Similarly TLM studies have detailed a correlation among embryo morphokinetics along with embryo ploidy [45-48]. Nevertheless, it is significant to notice that embryo generation is possibly not influence by aneuploidies, thus making these abnormalities tough to anticipate by image evaluation. On the contrary AI seems to isolate embryos that possess complicated or chaotic aneuploidies with greater ease.

Till now, none of these non-invasive methods have been anticipating aneuploidies with cent per cent precision. What is is that the same is true for PGT-An as well. Nevertheless, it is significant to note that a lot of current studies have demonstrated favourable chances on anticipation of aneuploidies by AI along with image evaluation; like various abstracts in ESHRE 2020 recently documented on the utilization of these above methods with utilization of images varying from static BL images to TLM data, besides other variables, with 60-70% precision (0-113,P-219,P-263,P-509,P-537].

A study, recently documented on on anticipation of embryo ploidy by utilization of an AI computer vision

program to be able to carry out extraction of properties along with prior processing on a single BL image [49]. The AI system had the capacity of extraction of 94 properties utilizing a deep neuronal network for anticipation of euploid vis a vis aneuploid BLs. The total precision in anticipation of euploidy was 70%. Though a lot of limitations of the study was accepted by these authors the study needs appreciation for trying to anticipate ploidy by utilization of static images noninvasively. The authors acknowledged that a bigger data set is required for corroboration of their algorithm. Overall we can predict that in coming future, combining non-invasive methods that are AI would possibly decrease the requirement for invasive biopsies. Further this AI might further be utilized for betterment of the objective evaluation precision of molecular genetic methods when biopsies are essential.

Abortions

Application of Artificial Intelligence evaluation of embryos might become a tool having utility for anticipation of pregnancy losses. Akin to implantation potential evaluation, embryo images or TLM generational potential evaluation can be contrasted with early pregnancy US images, heart movement, as well as early fetal anatomic characteristics. Initial efforts to anticipate pregnancy losses by evaluation of a big set known implanted scored embryos by utilization of a CNN system were documented recently [50]. Hariharan, et al. [50], documented77% precision rates of pregnancy losses anticipation that escalated our expectations that data akin to this might get utilized for prospectively selecting euploid embryos for transfer. Contrasting post transfer US images along with fetal heart videos with early embryo images In addition to patient's demographic properties might escalate miscarriage anticipation [51].

Ovary along with Uterus

Earlier we discussed already that of the most successes in AI in medicine application wise has been in imaging that includes USG.A key step in evaluation of female factor infertility is the measuring of ovarian reserve via astral follicle count (AFC). This manual evaluation is believed to be correlated with great intra as well as and inter observer variability [52]. Ideally AI- dependent systems have high suitability for tackling this problem by utilization of 2D or 3D images; this system allows AI evaluation that is objective, consistent as well as fast. The generation of such a system would need big training datasets which needs to teach the computer how to distinguish among a follicle as well as a blood vessel. This system could have an application in AI-dependent AFC measurements which are essential for planning of individualized ovarian stimulation protocols with utilization of gonadotropins. Efforts are being done at application of ML on histology slides for finding as well as counting of mouse ovarian follicles have validated the possibility of this strategy [53].

Besides that AI might get utilized in case of infertility evaluation of the endometrium along with uterine contour. A AI-dependent systems for evaluation of the uterine USG images needs to possess the capacity of recalling the uterine wall along with differentiation of the same from the surrounding tissue. Uterus got isolated with 91.6% precision as per the study of Burial, et al. [54] by utilization of application of ML along with segmentation techniques on hysteroscopy images obtained on video. AI could further get utilized for visualization of uterine abnormalities along withevaluation of defects within endometrium. Moreover with AI evaluation of the endometrium can be combined with implantation results for automatization of endometrial receptivity evaluation (US 2020/0187896). Ultimately Artificial Intelligence could further be utilized in other areas of Assisted Reproductive Technology (ART) that includes screening of gestational career along with egg donation -donor recipient matching.

Conclusion

In the last 3-5yrs marked explosion of utilization of AI applications along with digital techniques in reproductive medicine has been visualized. What capabilities AI would bring in the new period of standardization, automation, as well as accuracy for IVF has gathered new interest, besides getting implicated in the commercial scenario as well. Although maximum attention has been collected by AI embryology displaying lot of success, it has chances that the utilization would broaden to other fields of reproductive medicine. AI-stimulated strategies have the ability to have greater objectivity, have greater pace, as well as most significantly have greater precision. Broader utilization of Artificial Intelligence in accurate evaluation of ovarian reserve, age, endocrine profile along with clinical diagnostic tests, would enhance the effectiveness of diagnosis as well as therapies of reproductive disorders without any doubt. Further Fernandez, et al. [55] and Curchoe, et al. [56] reviewed further details of role of AI in reproductive medicine.

References

1. Zaninovic N, Elemento O, Rosenwaks Z (2019) Artificial Intelligence: its applications in reproductive medicine and the assisted reproductive technologies. Fertil Steril 112(1): 28-30.

2. Cavalera F, Zanoni M, Merico V, Bui TTH, Fassina L, et al. (2018) A neuronal network–based identification of

developmentally competent or incompetent mouse fully grown oocytes. J Vis Exp 133: 566668.

3. Agarwal A, Henkel R, Huang CC, Lee MS (2019) Automation of human semen analysis using a novel Artificial Intelligence optical microscopic technology. Andrologia 51(11): e13440.

4. Javavi S, Mirroshandel SA (2019) A novel deep learning method for automatic assessment of human sperm images. Comput Biol Med 109: 182-194.

5. McCallum C, Riordon J, Wang YH, Kong T, You JB, et al. (2019) Deep learning-based selection of human sperm with DNA integrity. Commun Biol 2: 250.

6. Goodson SG, White S, Stevans AM, Bhat S, Kao CY, et al. (2017) CASA-nova: a multiclass support vector machine model for the classification of human sperm motility patterns. Biol Reprod 97(5): 698-708.

7. Zeadna A, Khateeb N, Rokach L, Lior Y, Har-Vardi I, et al. (2020) Prediction of sperm extraction in nonobstructive azoospermia patients: a machine learning perspective. Hum Reprod 35(7): 1505-15014.

8. Chu KY, Nassau DE, Arora H, Lokeshwar SD, Madhusudanan V, et al. (2019) Artificial Intelligence in Reproductive Urology. Curr Urol Rep 20(9): 52.

9. Sahoo AJ, Kumar Y (2014) Seminal quality Prediction using data mining methods. Technol Health Care 22(4): 531-545.

10. Dimitriadis I, Bormann CL, Kanakasabathy MK, Thirumalaraju M, Kandula H, et al. (2019) Automatic smartphone-based system for semen assessment for measuring sperm viability, DNA fragmentatiion, and hyualuron binding assay score. PLoS One 14(3): e0212562.

11. Sundvall L, Ingerslev HJ, Breth Knudsen U, Kirkegaard K (2013) Inter and intra observer variability of time lapse annotations. Hum Reprod 28(12): 3215-3221.

12. Malmsten J, Zaninovic N, Zhan Q, Rosenwaks Z, Shah J (2020) Automated cell division classification in early mouse and human embryos using convolutional neural network. Neural Comput Biol Med.

13. Raudonis V, Paulauskaite-Taraseviciene A, Sutiene K, Jonaitis S (2019) Towards the Automation of early stage human embryo Development detection. Biomed Eng Online 18(1): 120.

14. Freyeux M, Reignier A, Mocar M, Lammers J, Meistermann D, et al. (2020) Development of Automated annotation software for human embryos morphokinetics. Hum Reprod 35: 557-564.

15. Zhan Q, Ye Z, Clarke R, Zaninovic N, Rosenwaks Z (2016) Direct unequal cleavages : embryos developmental competence ,genetic constitution and clinical outcome. PLoS One 11(12): e0166398.

16. Giusti A, Coranil G, Gambardella L, Magli C, Gianaroli L (2010) 3D localization of pronuclei of human zygotes using textures from multiple focal planes. Med image Comput Comput 13(2): 488-495.

17. Zaninovic N, Rosenwaks Z (2020) Artificial Intelligence in human in vitro fertilization and embryology. Fertil Steril 114(5): 914-920.

18. Zhan Q, Sierra ET, Malmsten J, Ye Z, Rosenwaks Z, et al. (2020) The blastocyst score, blastocyst quality ranking tool is a Predictor of blastocyst ploidy and implantation potential. Fertil Steril Rep 1: 133-1341.

19. Khosravi P, Kazemi E, Zhan Q, Malmsten J, Toschi M, et al. (2019) Deep learning enables robust assessment and of human blastocysts selection after in vitro fertilization. NPJ Digit Med 2: 21.

20. Bormann CL, Thirumalaraju M, Kanakasabathy MK, Kandula H, Souter I, et al. (2020) Consistency and objectivity of Automated embryos assessment using Deep neural network. Fertil Steril 113: 781-787.

21. Santos-Filhos E, Noble A, Poli M, Griffiths T, Emerson G, et al. (2012) A method for semi- Automatic grading of human blastocyst microscopic images. Hum Reprod 27(9): 2641-2648.

22. Matos FD, Rocha JC, Noguiera MF (2014) A method using neural network to morphologically assess mouse blastocyst quality. J Anim Sci Technol 56: 15.

23. Rocha JC, Passalia FJ, Matos FD, Takahashi MB, Cincinato DS, et al. (2017) A method based on Artificial Intelligence to fully Automatize the Evaluation of bovine blastocyst images. Sci Rep 7: 7659.

24. Saeedi P, Yee D, Au J, HavelockJ (2017) Automatic identification of human blastocysts components via textures. IEEE Trans Biomed Eng 64(12): 2968-2978.

25. Rad RM, Saeedi P, Au J, Havelock J (2020) Trophectoderm segmentation in early human embryos images via inceptional U Net. Med image Anal 62: 101612.

26. Kragh MF, Rimestad J, Bernsten J, Karstoft H (2019) Automatic grading of human blastocyst from time lapse images. Comput Biol Med 115: 103494.

27. Chen TJ, Zheng WL, Liu CH, Huang I, Lai HH, et al. (2019) Using deep learning with large dataset of microscope images to develop an Automated embryo grading system. Fertil Reprod 1(1): 51-56.

28. Manna C, Nanni L, Lumini A, Pappalardo S (2013) Artificial Intelligence techniques for embryos and oocytes classification. Reprod Biomed Online 26(1): 42-49.

29. Thirumalaraju M, Kanakasabathy MK, Bormann CL, Gupta R, Pooniwala R, et al. (2020) Evaluation of deep convolutional neural network in classifying human embryo images based on their morphological quality.

30. Irani M, O'Neil C, Palermo GD, Xu K, Zhang C, et al. (2018) Blastocyst development rate influences implantation and live birth rates of similarly graded euploid blastocyst. Fertil Steril 110(1): 95-102.e1.

31. Bormann CL, Thirumalaraju M, Kanakasabathy MK, Gupta R, Pooniwala R, et al. (2020) Performance of deep learning based neural network ln the selection of human blastocysts for implantation. Elife 9: e55301.

32. Bori L, Paya E, Alegre L, Viloria TA, Remohi JA, et al. (2020) Novel and conventional embryo parameters as input for artificial neural networks: an Artificial Intelligence model applied for prediction of implantation potential. Fertil Steril 114(6): 1232-1241.

33. Kanakasabathy MK, Thirumalaraju M, Bormann CL, Kandula H, Dimitriadis I, et al. (2019) Development and Evaluation of inexpensive deep learning based imaging systems for embryology lab. Chip 19(24): 4139-4145.

34. Williams Z, Banks E, Bkassiny M, Jayaweera SK, Elias R, et al. (2012)Reducing multiples: a mathematical formula that accurately predicts rates of singletons twins and hgher –order multiples in women undergoing in vitro fertilization. Fertil Steril 98(6): 1474-1480.e2.

35. Bodri D, Milewski R, Yao Serna J, Sugimoto T, Kato R, et al. (2018) Predicting live birth by combining cleavage and blastocyst stage time lapse variables using a hierarchical and a data mining -based statistical model. Reprod Biol 18: 355-360.

36. Curchoe CL, Bormann CL (2019) Artificial Intelligence and machine learning for Human Reproduction and embryology presented at ASRM and ESHRE. J Assist Reprod Genet 36(4): 591-600.

37. Tran D, Cooke S, Illingworth PJ, Gardner DK (2019) Deep learning as a Predictive tool for fetal heart pregnancy following time lapse incubation and blastocyst transfer. Hum Reprod 34(6): 1011-1018.

38. Chavez-Badiola A, Mandizabal-Ruiz G, Flores-Saiffe Farias A, Garcia-Sanchez R, Drakeley AJ (2020) Deep learning as a Predictive tool for fetal heart pregnancy following time lapse incubation and blastocyst transfer. Hum Reprod 35(2): 482.

39. Chavez-Badiola A, Flores-Saiffe Farias A, Mandizabal-Ruiz G, Garcia-Sanchez R, Drakeley AJ, et al. (2020) Predicting pregnancy test results after embryo transfer by image feature extraction and analysis using machine learning. Sci Rep 10: 4394.

40. Ver Milyea M, Hall JMM, Dakiw SM, Johnston A, Nguyen T, et al. (2020) Development of an Artificial Intelligence-based assessment model for Prediction of embryo viability using static images captured by optical light microscopy during IVF. Hum Reprod 35(4): 770-784.

41. Zaninovic N, Nohales M, Zhan Q, De Los Santos ZMJ, Sierra J, et al. (2019) A comparison of morphokinetics markers Predicting blastocyst formation and implantation potential from two large clinical data sets . J Assist Reprod Genet 36(4): 637-646.

42. Uyar A, Bener A, Ciray HN (2015) Predictive modelling of implantation outcome in an in vitro fertilization setting: an application of machine learning methods. Med Decis Making 35(6): 714-725.

43. Balogun JA, Egejuru NC, Idowu PA (2018) Comparative analysis of Predictive models for the likelihood of infertilityin women using supervised machine learning techniques. Comp Rev J 2: 313-330.

44. Rubio C, Navarro-Sanchez L, Garcia-Pascual CM, Oscali O, Cimadomo D, et al. (2020) Multicenter prospective study of concordance between embryo cell free DNA and Trophectoderm biopsies from 1301 human blastocysts. Am J Obstet Gynaec 223(5): 751.e1-751.e13.

45. Huang TT, Huang DH, Ahn HJ, Arnett C, Huang CT (2019) Early blastocyst expansion in euploid and an euploid human embryos: evidence for a noninvasive and quantitative marker for embryo selection. Reprod Biomed Online 39(1): 27-39.

46. PennettaF, Lagalla C, Borini A (2018) Embryo morphokinetics characteristics and euploidy. Curr Opin Obstet Gynaec 30(3): 185-196.

47. Zaninovic N, Irani M, Meseguer M (2017) Assessment of embryo morphology and developmental dynamics by time lapse microscopy-is there a relation to implantation and ploidy? Fertil Steril 108(5): 722-729.

48. Reignier A, Lammers J, Barriere P, Freour T (2018) Can time lapse parameters Predict embryo ploidy? A Systematic review. Reprod Biomed Online 36(4): 380-387.

49. Chavez-Badiola A, Flores-Saiffe Farias A, Mandizabal-Ruiz G, Drakeley AJ, Cohen J (2020) Embryo ranking Intelligent Classification Algorithm(ERICA) artificial intelligence clinical assistant with embryo ploidy and implantation. Reprod Biomed Online 41(4): 585-593.

50. Hariharan R, He P, Meseguer M, Toschi M, Rocha JC, et al. (2019) Artificial Intelligence Assessment of time lapse images can predict with 77% accuracy whether a human embryo capabie of achieving a pregnancy miscarry. Fertil Steril 112(S3): E38-E39.

51. Liu L, Jiao Y, Ouyang Y, Shi D (2020) Machine learning Algorithm to Predict early pregnancy loss after in vitro fertilization and embryo transfer with fetal hear as a strong Predictor. Comput Methods Programs BioMed 196: 105624.

52. Subira J, Albero-RubioJ, Nunez MJ, Escriva AM, Pellicer A, et al. (2017) Inter cycle and inter observer variability of the antral follicle count in routine clinical practice. Gynaecol Endocrinol 33(7): 515-518.

53. Sonigo C, Jankowski S, Yoo O, Trassard O, Bousquet N, et al. (2018) High throughput ovarian follicle counting by an innovative Deep learning approach. Sci Rep 8(1): 13499.

54. Burai P, Hajdu A, Manuel FE, Harangi B (2018) Segmentation of the uterine wall by an ensemble of fully convolutional neural network. Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc 1018: 49-52.

55. Fernandez IE, Ferreira AS, Miquelao Cicelo AH, Cheles DS, Milanezi De Suza RC, et al. (2020) Artificial Intelligence in the IVF Laboratory; overview through the different types of algorithms data for the classification of Reproductive data. J Assist Reprod Genet 37(10): 2359-2376.

56. Fernandez Curchoe CL, Malmsten J, Shafiee H, Bormann CL, Mendizabal G, et al. (2020) Predictive modeling in reproductive medicine: where will the future of Artificial Intelligence take us? Fertil Steril 114(5): 934-940.