

In Vitro Antimicrobial Activity of Chlorine Effervescent Tablets against Some Pathogenic Bacteria and Fungi

Al- Nowihi M^{1,2*}, Al-Asbahi G⁴, Faisal A³ and Al-Absi M³

¹Biology Department, Faculty of Science, Sana'a University, Yemen
²Faculty of Al-Salam for medical and technical sciences, Yemen
³Faculty of Pharmacy, Sana'a University, Yemen
⁴Laboratory Department, Al-Nasir University, Yemen

Research Article

Volume 4 Issue 3 Received Date: July 04, 2020 Published Date: July 30, 2020 DOI: 10.23880/oajpr-16000208

***Corresponding author:** Mofeed Al- Nowihi, Biology Department, Faculty of Science, Sana'a University, Yemen, Tel: +967-774595498; Email: mofeed.hashem@gmail.com

Abstract

Objectives: The objective of the present study was to evaluate the antimicrobial activity of available choline (sodium dichloroisocyanurate (NaDCC)) effervescent tablet solutions.

Methods: Four bacteria and one fungi were used such as Bacillus subtilis, Staphylococcus aureus, Escherichia coli, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and Candia albican. Strains were selected to evaluate the antimicrobial activity of the sodium dichloroisocyanurate solutions by the agar wells diffusion assay.

Results: The results were significantly different among the tested solutions: 10000 ppm NaDCC solution produced the highest a high antimicrobial activity with Escherichia coli compared with liquid bleach (Clorox®);.,while another organisms such as Pseudomonas and candida were in a close effective compared with commercial product and 2000 ppm NaDCC solution showed the lowest zones of inhibition, so The antimicrobial activity of NaDCC that was prepared in the lab was the same effective with commercial product was used as standard to compare with and its concentration was 5% (50000 ppm).

Conclusion: Even if all tested irrigating solution possessed antibacterial activity versus all tested bacterial strains, 3000 ppm NaDCC solution with liquid bleach 5% (Clorox®), and 1000ppm NaDCC sol. showed lower in vitro efficacy than 10000 ppm NaDCC solution.

Keywords: Antimicrobial Activity; Sodium Dichloroisocyanurate; Agar Diffusion Wells Test; Clorox®

Introduction

Sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) is still the preferred irrigating solution, the mechanical flushing of debris from the canal, the ability of the solution to dissolve vital and necrotic tissues, its antimicrobial action, the low surface tension and the lubricating action; in addition, it is inexpensive, with a long shelf life, and it is easily available [1]. NaOCl shows antiseptic properties due to the formation of hypochlorous acid and the subsequent release of chlorine, which is a very active bactericide [1]. Chlorine dioxide be internationally recognized the 4th generation disinfectant, the A1 level wide spectrum that World Health Organization (WHO),WHO and the World Food Programme (FAO) confirm, safety, efficient disinfectant, now be widely used in the sterilization of the numerous areas such as drinking water, food processing, environmental health, health care, and in clinical treatment for skin care liquid, cleaning agent and disinfectant, be directly used in human body both at home and abroad and start from dermatosis.

Human challenge studies have shown that contaminated

surfaces may serve as the source for transmission of infectious agents. Contact with experimentally contaminated coffee cups has led to acquisition of rhinovirus infection [2], and contact with experimentally contaminated surfaces has led to acquisition of rotavirus infection [3].

Many human pathogenic viruses and bacteria may survive in a sufficient dose and for an appropriate duration to serve as a source of human exposure [4-6]. In experimental trials, disinfection of environmental surfaces has been shown to decrease or eliminate potential pathogens and thereby decrease or eliminate acquisition of disease [3].

Effervescent Chlorine Tablets are recommended around the world as the safer, more effective, economic and convenient disinfectant. Effervescent Chlorine Tablets are based on a dry chlorine donor, Sodium Dichloroisocyanurate (NaDCC) which is blended with effervescent components before being compressed into tablet form. The result is a fast-dissolving, highly convenient, safer and more accurate alternative to liquid bleach. Chlorine effervescent tablet solution provides a powerful disinfectant that will kill bacteria, fungi, viruses and spores of infectious body spills. Disinfecting solutions prepared from effervescent Chlorine Tablets are fast acting and have a complete spectrum of biocidal activity. Bacteria, bacterial spores, algae, fungi, protozoa and viruses are sensitive to their effects.

The purpose of this study was to evaluate both choline efferent tablet available product and common liquid bleach used for home (Clorox®) use for their efficacy against potential human pathogens. In addition, we evaluated the activity of these products against fungi.

Materials and Methods

Preparation of Sample

Effervescent sodium dichloisocyanurate anhydrous

Tablets (NaDCC) were dissolve in 100ml of purified water. There different concentration of this effervescent tablet was prepared (1000 ppm, 3000 ppm.10000 ppm). While Clorox 5% solution used as its.

Bacterial Isolates

Bacterial isolates were obtained from Yemen S aureus, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and Escherichia coli and fungi candida albican. Cultures were grown on trypti-case soy agar and stored at 4^oC on agar slants.

Ager Diffusion Wells Test

This study used Agar wells diffusion assay to determine the efficiency of sodium dichloisocyanurate by measuring the inhibition zone in each wells.

Methods

Four wells were made in plates each concentration prepared that mentioned above were inoculation in each wells and one well was standard to compare with our solution. The plates of wells diffusion assay were duplicate to avoid the false positive may be happened during this project.

Results

The results of this study showed a high antimicrobial activity with Escherichia coli compared with liquid bleach (Clorox®), while another organism such as Pseudomonas and candida were in a close effective compared with commercial product. The high effective of sodium dichloisocyanurate was in 10000 ppm whereas other concentration (3000ppm, 2000ppm) were of effective in all organism, so the antimicrobial activity OD NaDCC that was prepared in the lab was the same effective with commercial product was used as standard to compare with and its concentration was 5% (50000 ppm). The result showed in tablet 1 and the inhibition zones showed in figure 1.

Bacteria	10000 ppm (n=3)	3000 ppm (n=3)	2000 ppm (n=3)	Clorox [®] (5%) (n=3)
Bacillus subtilis	22.33 ± 0.58	10.67±1.15	6.67±0.58	24.67±0.58
Staphylococcus aureus	20.33±0.58	15±0	9.67±1.53	23.00±1
Escherichia coli	23.33±0.58	15.67±1.15	13.33±1.53	21.67±2.08
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	14.67±0.58	12.33±2.52	8.67±2.08	16.33±0.58
Candia albican	16.33±0.58	13.67±0.58	10.33±0.58	19.33 ±2.52

Table 1: Means of the diameters (in mm) of the inhibition zones by the agar diffusion wells assay a.

Open Access Journal of Pharmaceutical Research



Figure 1: Inhibition zones observed with Effervescent NaDDC Tablets and liquid bleach (Clorox®), (A) Inhibition zones against Staphylococcus aureus, (B) Inhibition zones against Pseudomonas aeruginosa, (C) Inhibition zones against Escherichia coli, (D) Inhibition zones against Candia albicana.

Discussion

Infectious diseases stood out and made a highly concern in recent years include foodborne infection, and nosocomial infection. The acquisition of foodborne pathogenic infection occurs when contaminated raw fruits, vegetables, inadequate cooking of contaminated meats ingested, and contact with contaminated surfaces. While nosocomial infection occurs in hospitals and as environmental contamination. Therefore, surface disinfectant has been widely recommended to reduce the incidence of infection [7].

Locally in markets, there are various types of disinfectants such as Clorox® and Dettol®. In this research two commercial products of sodium hypochlorite and sodium dichloisocyanurate have been evaluated for their antimicrobial activity. One product was a liquid form (Clorox®) that used as its 5% (50000ppm) and the another product was a solid form (Effervescent Chlorine Tablets) that was prepared into three different concentrations (2000, 3000, & 10000ppm) of sodium dichloisocyanurate. The antimicrobial activity has evaluated by measuring diameter of inhibition zone and the tested microorganisms were E. coli, P. aeruginosa, B. subtilis, S. aureus, and C. albicans. The results of this study revealed that prepared solution 10000ppm from effervescent tablets showed approximate antimicrobial activity to Clorox® 5% solution, where the

diameter of inhibition zone was 23.33mm and 21.67mm on E. Coli, 22.33mm and 24.67mm on B. Subtilis, and 20.33ppm and 23mm on E. Coli for 10000ppm solution and Clorox® respectively.

The results of this current research agreed with pervious study that conducted in Brazil by Carlos, et al. [8] who reported that 2% sodium hypochlorite solution displayed against S. aureus, P. aeruginosa, and C. albicans, the inhibition zones were enclose to 3000ppm prepared solution, however the study of Carlos, et al. showed the 2% sodium hypochlorite solution has no antibacterial activity against of B. Subtilis that disagreed with our findings. Based on the results of this study, the capacity of NaDCC effervescent tablets was high against different organisms; moreover the handling and preparation of disinfectant solution of tablets were easier than liquid disinfectant, and to the best to our knowledge, the NaDCC effervescent tables safer than liquid disinfectant [9].

Conclusion

In the end, the effervescent tablets of NaDCC have strong antimicrobial activity and can be treated easily; furthermore, it has less hazard in compare with liquid irrigating solution. NaDCC is well established in the cleaning industry for both janitorial and hospital applications. NaDCC tablet formulations predominate as the favoured method of chlorine disinfection. With the advent of the Health and Safety at Work Act, COSHH (the Control of Substances Hazardous to Health Regulations) and CHIP (the Chemicals Hazard Information and Packaging for Supply Regulations), there has been a move towards safer handling and use of chemicals.

Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to Mr. Gawed Al-Asbahi who helps us to do this work.

Conflicted of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

- 1. Torabinejad M, Walton RE (2009) Endodontics. Principles and practice, St Louis, Saunders Elsevier, Missouri.
- Gwaltney JMJR, Hendley JO (1982) Transmission of experimental rhinovirus infection by contaminated surfaces. Am J Epidemiol 116(5): 828-833.
- 3. Bonten MJ, Hayden MK, Nathan C, van Voorhis J,

Matushek M, et al. (1996) Epidemiology of colonisation of patients and environment with vancomycin-resistant enterococci. Lancet 348: 1615-1619.

- 4. Weber DJ, Rutala WA (1997) Role of environmental contamination in the transmission of vancomycin-resistant enterococci. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 18(5): 306-309.
- 5. Sattar SA, Springthorpe VS (1996) Transmission of viral infections through animate and inanimate surfaces and infection control through chemical disinfection. In: Hurst DJ, (Ed.), Modeling Disease Transmission and Its Prevention by Disinfection, Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press, pp: 224-257.
- 6. Scott E, Bloomfield SF (1990) The survival and transfer of microbial contamination via cloths, hands and utensils. J

Appl Bacteriol 68(3): 271-278.

- Rutala WA, Barbee SL, Sobsey MD, Aguiar NC, Weber DJ, et al. (2000) Antimicrobial Activity Of Home Disinfectants And Natural Products Against Potential Human Pathogens. Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology 21(1): 33-38.
- Carlos RGR, Cyntia RA, Jesus D, Manoel D (2003) Antimicrobial Effect of 2% Sodium Hypochlorite and 2% Chlorhexidine Tested by Different Methods. Braz Dent J 14(1): 58-62.
- 9. Clasena T, Edmondson P (2006) Sodium dichloroisocyanurate (NaDCC) tablets as an alternative to sodium hypochlorite for the routine treatment of drinking water at the household level. Int J Hyg Environ Health 209(2): 173-181.

