MEDWIN PUBLISHERS
Committed to Create Value for Researchers

Open Access Journal of Pharmaceutical Research
ISSN: 2574-7797

Nanotechnology Integration in Education: Challenges, Strategies and Prospects

Rodrigo Ce*

Department of Biomedical Sciences, Centro Universitário Avantis, Brazil

***Corresponding author:** Rodrigo Ce, Department of Biomedical Sciences, Centro Universitário Avantis, UNIAVAN, Av. Marginal Leste-3600, Estados, Balneario Camboriu-SC, CEP-88339125, Brazil; E-mail: rodrigoce@uniavan.edu.br

Letter to Editor

Volume 8 Issue 2

Received Date: April 08, 2024

Published Date: April 15, 2024

DOI: 10.23880/oajpr-16000311

Abstract

Nanotechnology is a rapidly evolving interdisciplinary field with vast potential applications across various sectors, including medicine, electronics, and energy. Its integration into education presents both opportunities and challenges. To effectively teach nanotechnology, educators must foster knowledge-centered learning environments, promote interdisciplinary approaches, and provide early exposure to scientific research. Despite the increasing recognition of nanotechnology's importance, its successful integration into curricula requires addressing challenges such as the development of suitable teaching strategies, the dissemination of knowledge, and the training of educators. Key to overcoming these challenges is the need for continuous research, development of innovative instructional tools, and global collaboration to ensure the effective integration of nanotechnology education. Through these efforts, students can develop essential skills and scientific literacy, empowering them to contribute to the advancement of nanotechnology and its societal impact.

Keywords: Nanotechnology; education; Interdisciplinarity; Teaching Strategies; Challenges

Nanotechnology Integration

Nanoscience and nanotechnology are revolutionizing technology in the new millennium. Nanoscience is based on the study of the elementary principles of molecules and structures on the nanometric scale (scale of atoms and molecules - 1 x 10-9 meters) [1]. Its applications can open up new possibilities for future development in various areas of knowledge, such as medicine, information systems, biotechnology, electronics, and computer science, energy, among others, thus requiring an academic and multidisciplinary scientific education. Nanotechnology involves the application of nanoscience, promoting the use of new nanomaterials and nanometric components that can lead to the development of innovative products [1-3].

With the enhancement of current learning models aimed at aiding students in reaching satisfactory learning outcomes, the utilization of nanotechnology holds promise due to its myriad applications. Furthermore, in the world of technology or sports, students have role models that drive them to continue improving their techniques, and in many cases, their boundaries help them discover how enormous their potential is [4-6] much like scientists in nanoscience and nanotechnology can discover their potential. As part of the educational experience, students should conduct scientific research in different cultural contexts and be exposed to various approaches. These early exposures of students to scientific research, such as in nanotechnology, will tend to guide them more about the various career opportunities in the field, giving them an idea of how teamwork, disciplines,

and cultures function [7].

Many schools have been seeking new tools to revise their curricula and thus offer courses that can be relevant to their students' knowledge. Several attempts have been proposed to introduce nanotechnology into the classroom; however, the absence of a coherent model and what its insertion will actually mean makes nanotechnology partially successful [8]. Since nanotechnology encompasses curricular interdisciplinarity, it raises the question: how will it integrate these concepts into existing and new courses? [9,10]. In addition to the development of training, courses, and pedagogical practices, the development of learning platforms can contribute to the future generation of nanoscientists. However, such strategies require long-term development of student learning [11]. However, constant training of professionals is necessary for the growth of nanotechnology in education, as well as preparing students to develop ways that help nanotechnology attract new students [12,13].

The inclusion of nanosciences and nanotechnologies in classrooms can contribute to the development of nano-scientific and thus enable an educational approach for high school students. However, it is important to conduct research in all areas of nanoeducation, including teaching, teacher development, evaluation, and curriculum strategies, in order to shape effective educational practices for students [14,15]. In education, the fields of nanoscience and nanotechnology can further expand their knowledge boundaries, playing a fundamental role in the global economy [16]. Various educational practices have been developed to promote awareness that the use of nanoscience and nanotechnology can enhance advanced student learning [17]. The use of creative and innovative learning models in classrooms with nanosciences and nanotechnologies can be an alternative to developing more engaging curricula for students and thus taking a step forward for the new generation of nanoscientists.

In the educational realm, there are numerous approaches to directing, delivering, and emphasizing nanotechnology courses. The introduction of basic courses, advanced courses, laboratory practices, and interdisciplinary courses can be viewed as exemplary methods for crafting nanotechnology teaching curricula. However, the advancements in nanotechnology still pose challenges for academia, such as how to lead the new generation of nanoscientists and equip them with the qualifications to become competent scientists in the future [2,14]. Within engineering and science curricula, there exists a connection to general education courses like engineering, technology, and science. Nonetheless, students often exhibit deficiencies in this linkage, such as struggles with mathematics, biology, chemistry, engineering, and physics. Interdisciplinary education mandates a broader exploration of basic sciences, yet it is not insurmountable to substitute basic courses with multidisciplinary ones without encroaching upon fundamental coursework [18,19].

The Potential and Teaching Strategies of Nanotechnology

Interdisciplinarity and its rapid expansion are hallmark characteristics of the field of nanotechnology, integrating chemistry, physics, and biology to explore knowledge on the nanoscale [20]. To fully explore nanotechnology and its benefits for society, it is vital to consider its global potential and how it can impact education and students' learning. Globalization plays a crucial role in the development of educational programs discussing the use of nanotechnology in learning and its integration into curricula, thus defining learning objectives [21].

As a teaching strategy, nanotechnology should be taught both inside and outside classrooms, fostering the development of learning-centered environments and knowledge generation. Since nanotechnology is an interdisciplinary science, initial nanotechnology courses should focus on teaching, allowing students to interactively learn about the use of nanotechnology through relevant information [22,23]. The use of technology inside and outside classrooms can play a significant role in interactive learning, enabling students to develop their research projects and laboratory experiments using nanotechnology. Furthermore, technology allows nanotechnology-related work to be disseminated worldwide through the internet [23,24].

Considering that numerous schools are seeking to include nanosciences and nanotechnologies in their classrooms, early exposure of students to interdisciplinary curricula containing the study of nanotechnology could bridge the gap between nanotechnology and the school environment, making subjects more engaging. However, various strategies in nano-education need promotion to make educational practices more effective with their use without interfering with existing courses [7,8,14,15,18,19].

Nanotechnology Learning

Education for academia aims to promote the formation of students capable of contributing to society. In order to stimulate learning, the Learning Unit (LU) seeks to promote actions aimed at developing, executing activities, planning, and organizing in the classroom environment [25,26]. Therefore, this phenomenon can contribute to the development of interdisciplinary ideas that enhance students' knowledge. The didactic relationship between the educational and scientific nexus promotes the ability to translate knowledge generated in the classroom into

scientific production [27]. However, these experiences have not yielded adequate results since it is necessary first to expose motivational subjects to scientific innovations to students [28].

Nanoscience and nanotechnology are topics more geared towards contemporary science that are constantly advancing and are present in everyday society. Although these topics are present in society's daily life, there are still few plans for their educational integration in school environments [29]. Nanotechnology/nanoscience can be used in a variety of applications for society, such as: for the production of cosmetics, films, drug delivery systems, sensitive devices, and other applications that use atomic manipulation [30] to bring some kind of benefit to the population. Teachers who promote any type of activity in a learning unit encourage students to discuss, argue, and seek answers that can enrich interdisciplinary development and views on specific topics such as nanotechnology and nanoscience. Interdisciplinarity can lead to new knowledge formation and reflection.

Challenges and the Future of Nano-Education

Nanotechnology is undeniably an interdisciplinary field that includes principles from chemistry, physics, biology, medicine, materials science, engineering, and others [31] that can present great potential and interdisciplinary approaches in education. Therefore, nanotechnology can be considered an effective platform for a career in education [32] and can provide its integration into new curricula. In education, the formation of a new generation of qualified and interdisciplinary professionals is considered one of the main challenges for the development of nanotechnology and its rapid progress in education. However, it is essential that interdisciplinary practices be introduced from childhood through the continuous education of students [33].

Creating knowledge-centered learning environments within and outside the classroom would be the best way to teach nanotechnology since nanotechnology is a rapidly developing technology that requires many creative ideas and an understanding of its concepts. Activities that stimulate the use of creative and critical thinking should receive greater priority throughout the learning process. Additionally, it is essential to develop an interdisciplinary curriculum to understand the sciences, including those relevant to nanotechnology [2,34].

The challenge of introducing nanotechnology into the curricula of various colleges and universities has already been reported, although there is still a need to better disseminate the various applications of nanotechnology in school environments [35]. Furthermore, promoting the integration of nanotechnology courses in colleges/universities has begun to face its challenges through its pursuit [2]. Researchers and educators understand that awareness of nanotechnology is crucial for its continued and innovative growth, but nanotechnology requires its constant integration into curricular disciplines in order to enhance its applications and the future ideas of students [36].

As the irreversible growth of technology is accelerating globalization, in the future, no sector will be able to evolve and be productive without the use of technologies. With this in mind, it would be interesting for educators that students could face these challenges and also contribute to the generation of knowledge in areas of their interest [37]. Not only in nanotechnology but also in other areas of knowledge, the development of enhanced instructional tools and models in science and technology classrooms has the potential to build an understanding of the content [38]. Jones, et al. [39] demonstrated that students can increase their understanding of the nanoscale through the development of high-quality three-dimensional graphics and the use of virtual reality software. However, this approach has much more to offer to science/technology and education as a whole, but for this, it is of paramount importance that their students receive appropriate tools and support [39,40].

Educating students and providing them with essential skills for their future participation in the field of nanotechnology are considered some of the main goals of educators seeking to integrate nanotechnology into classrooms [41]. However, research has shown that the general public has little knowledge about nano-world applications [42,43]. Due to this lack of knowledge, the creation and implementation of these systems should continue diligently to avoid future problems in nano-education and enable its use [44,45].

As students should have an interdisciplinary education in science as well as an understanding of the relationships of these interdisciplinary fields with nanotechnology, this requires that higher education institutions acquire standards to adapt their curricula and ensure the future teaching of nanosciences in their classrooms. Therefore, taking into consideration everything that has been reported above in this review, we can suggest that educational programs in the areas of nanosciences and nanotechnologies can be included in school curricula or in the form of courses/seminars/lectures in order to complement students' education. However, further studies are recommended to elucidate the future curricular integration of nanosciences.

References

1. National Nanotechnology Institute. NSF.
2. Uddin M, Chowdhury AR (2001) Integration of Nanotechnology into the Undergraduate Engineering Curriculum. International Conference on Engineering Education.
3. Ratner M, Ratner D (2002) Nanotechnology: A Gentle Introduction to the Next Big Idea: Prentice Hall.
4. Weiss, PS (2009) A Conversation with Robert Langer: Pioneering Biomedical Scientist and Engineer. ACS Nano 3(4): 756-761.
5. Weiss PS (2009) A Conversation with Prof. Chad Mirkin: Nanomaterials Architect. ACS Nano 3(6): 1310-1317.
6. Weiss PS (2015) A Conversation with Prof. Zhong Lin Wang Energy Harvester. ACS Nano 9(3): 2221-2226.
7. Jackman JA (2016) Nanotechnology Education for the Global World: Training the Leaders of Tomorrow. ACS Nano 10(6): 5595-5599.
8. Srinivas K (2014) Need of Nanotechnology in Education. Science Journal of Education 2(2): 58-64.
9. Jones MG, Ron B, Grant EG, Virginie A, Michael F, et al. (2013) Nanotechnology and Nanoscale Science: Educational Challenges. Int J Sci Educ 35(9): 1490-1512.
10. Drane D, Su S, Greg L, Mark H, Tom M (2009) An Evaluation of the Efficacy and Transferability of a Nanoscience Module. J Nano Educ 1(1): 8-14.
11. Wansom S, Thomas OM, Mark CH, Denise D, Gregory L, et al. (2009) A Rubric for Post-Secondary Degree Programs in Nanoscience and Nanotechnology. Int J Eng Edu 25(3): 615-627.
12. Cingolani R (2013) The Road Ahead. Nat Nanotechnol 8: 792-793.
13. Yawson RM (2010) Skill Needs and Human Resources Development in the Emerging Field of Nanotechnology. J Voc Edu Train 62(3): 285-296.
14. Sadler TS (2004) Informal reasoning regarding socio-scientific issues: A critical review of research. Journal of Research in Science Teaching 41(5): 513-536.
15. Bénédicte H, Virginie (2010) A Nanosciences and nanotechnologies learning and teaching in secondary education: a review of literature. Studies in Science Education 46(2): 121-152.
16. Roco MC, Bainbridge WS (2013) The New World of Discovery, Invention, and Innovation: Convergence of Knowledge, Technology, and Society. J Nanopart Res 15: 1946.
17. Hersam MC, Luna M, Light G (2004) Implementation of Interdisciplinary Group Learning and Peer Assessment in a Nanotechnology Engineering Course. J Eng Educ 93(1): 49-57.
18. Evans DL, Goodnick SM, Roedel RJ (2003) Ece curriculum in 2013 and beyond: vision for a metropolitan public research university. IEEE Transactions on Education 46(4): 420-428.
19. Smalley RE (2001) of chemistry, love and nanobots - How soon will we see the nanometer-scale robots envisaged by K. Eric Drexler and other molecular nanotechologists? The simple answer is never. Scientific American 285: 76-77.
20. Whitesides GM (2005) Nanoscience, Nanotechnology, and Chemistry. Small 1(2): 172-179.
21. Chang RA (2006) Call for Nanoscience Education. Nano Today 1(2): 6-7.
22. Fong ML (2005) Ideology and Tactics for Engineering Education to Lead the Nanotechnology Revolution. Global J of Engg Educ 9(2): 165-174.
23. Poteralska B, Mazurkiewicz A, Joanna Z (2007) The Development of Education and Training Systems in The Field Of Nanotechnology. Journal of College Teaching & Learning 4(6): 7-16.
24. NSF (2009) Workshop Report, Partnership for Nanotechnology Education. University of Southern California pp: 1-60.
25. Galiazzi MC, Garcia FÁ, Lindemann RH (2004) Construindo Caleidoscópios: organizando Unidades de Aprendizagem. Educação em ciências: produção de currículos e formação de professors pp: 65-84.
26. Moraes R, Galiazzi, MC, Ramos MG (2004) Pesquisa em sala de aula: fundamentos e pressupostos. 2nd (Edn.), Porto Alegre EDIPUCRS pp: 9-24.
27. Chevallard Y (1991) La Transposición Didáctica: del saber sabio al saber enseñado. Aique Argentina.
28. Pereira F, Honório K, Sannomiya M (2010) Nanotecnologia: Desenvolvimento de materiais didáticos para uma abordagem no ensino Fundamental. Química Nova na Escola 32(2): 73-77.

29. Ribeiro AV, Souza FMP (2015) Proyecto de ambientes innovadora de enseñanza y la propuesta del laboratorio de formación interdisciplinar de educadores en Nanociencia y Nanotecnologia- LIFENano/IFSP Momento. Revista de Física 49E: 38-48.

30. Goodsell DS (2004) Biotechnology: lessons from nature. Wiley-Liss.

31. Clark AC, Ernst JV (2006) Supporting technological literacy through the integration of engineering, mathematic, scientific, and technological concepts. Annual Conference and Exposition Session.

32. Ernst JV (2009) Nanotechnology Education: Contemporary Content and Approaches. The Journal of Technology Studies 35: 3-8.

33. Roco MC (2002) Nanoscale science and engineering education activities in the United States. Journal of Nanoparticle Research 4: 271-274.

34. Mohammad AW, Lau CH, Azami Z, Omar MZ (2012) Elements of Nanotechnology Education in Engineering Curriculum Worldwide. Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences 60: 405-412.

35. Smestad GP, Grätzel M (1998) Demonstrating Electron Transfer and Nanotechnology: A Natural Dye-Sensitized Nanocrystalline Energy Converter. J Chem Educ 75(6): 752-756.

36. Winkelmann K, Bernas, L, Saleh M (2013) A Review of Nanotechnology Learning Resources for K-12, College and Informal Educators. J Nano Educ 6(1): 1-11.

37. Bentley AK, Wendy CC, Arthur BE, Amy CP, Kenneth WL (2003) Incorporating Concepts of Nanotechnology into the Materials Science and Engineering Classroom and Laboratory. Departmental Papers MEAM: 103.

38. Schank P, Krajcik J, Yunker M (2007) Can nanoscience be a catalyst for educational reform? Applied Ethics pp: 277-290.

39. Jones MG, Thomas A, Richard S, Russell T (2003) Learning at the nanoscale: The impact of students' use of remote microscopy on concepts of viruses, scale, and microscopy. Journal of Research in Science Teaching 40(3): 303-322.

40. Chizmeshya AVG, Drucker J, Sharma R, Ray WC (2006) Real time nanostructure imaging for teaching nanoscience and nanotechnology. Material Research Society.

41. Murday J, Robert C, Steve F, Larry B (2011) Developing the human and physical infrastructure for nanoscale science and engineering. J Nanopart Res pp: 391-440.

42. Schütz H, Wiedemann PM (2008) Framing effects on risk perception of nanotechnology. Public Understanding of Science 17(3): 369-379.

43. Shu FL, Huann SL, Ying W (2013) Validation and Exploration of Instruments for Assessing Public Knowledge of and Attitudes toward Nanotechnology. Sci Educ Technol 22(4): 548-559.

44. Siegrist M, Carmen K, Hans K, Silvia F, Arnim W (2007) Laypeople's and experts' perception of nanotechnology hazards. Risk Analysis 27(1): 59-69.

45. Marschalek I, Hofer, M, Handler K (2014) Nanotechnologies. A Subject for Public Debate pp: 1-16.