

# Vaccine Wastage and its Contributing Factors in Public Health Facilities of Oromia Special Zone, Ethiopia: Explanatory Sequential Mixed Method Design

## Mohammed SA\*, Kahissay MH and Workneh BD

Department of Pharmacy, College of Medicine and Health Science, Wollo University, Ethiopia

**\*Corresponding author:** Solomon Ahmed Mohammed, Department of Pharmacy, College of Medicine and Health Science, Wollo University, Ethiopia, Tel: +251910504378; Email: ahmedsolomon21@gmail.com

## Research Article Volume 7 Issue 2 Received Date: September 02, 2022 Published Date: October 19, 2022 DOI: 10.23880/vvoa-16000153

## Abstract

**Background:** Although the availability of vaccines is crucial to achieve comprehensive and equitable immunization coverage. This study assessed vaccine wastage and its contributing factors in public health facilities in Ethiopia.

**Methods:** A facility-based explanatory sequential mixed method was used. An institutional-based cross-sectional study design used to assess the vaccine wastage and vaccine cold chain management in all (27) health facilities offering consistence vaccination service and assessed the knowledge and attitude of 127 vaccinators and handlers. Finally, a phenomenological study design was employed among key informants and content analysis was performed using NVivo 11 plus.

**Results:** The overall vaccine wastage rate was 22.9% while the wastage factor was 1.4. The vaccine wastage rate was found highest for Bacillus-Calmette-Guerin (55.2%), 20 dose vials (55.2%), lyophilized (44.7%) and injectable vaccine (26.0%). Only 13 (48.1%) facilities were having satisfactory cold chain infrastructure while 17 (63%) had good cold chain practices. Distance from Woreda health office (p=0.03) and Ethiopian pharmaceutical supply agency (p=0.02), work experience (p=0.04), level of education (p=<0.001), profession (p=0.001), and attitude (p=0.04) of vaccinators and vaccine handlers were determinants of vaccine wastage. Key informants stated that factors related to logistics, immunization practices, health professional and vaccines were contributing to wastage.

**Conclusion:** Vaccine wastage in health care facilities was above the standard. Large dose vials and opened vial policy were resulted higher wastage and hence, recommended to reduce vial size. Improving the availability of cold chain logistics, supportive supervisions and training were recommended to reduce wastage.

Keywords: Vaccine Wastage; Cold Chain Management; Ethiopia

**Abbreviations:** BCG: Bacillus Calmette Guerin; IPV: Injectable Polio Vaccine; OPV: Oral Polio Vaccine; PV: Pentavalent Vaccine; PCV: Pneumococcal Conjugate Vaccine; TT: Tetanus Toxoid; WHO: World Health Organization; EPSA: Ethiopian Pharmaceutical Supply Agency.

## Introduction

Vaccines are biological preparations produced from living organisms that enhance immunity against disease either by preventing or, in some cases, treating disease [1]. Vaccines prevent more than 2.5 million child deaths each year globally [2,3]. Thus, childhood immunization remains the most successful and cost-effective health intervention to improve health and reduce child mortality due to vaccine-preventable diseases [4-7].

Vaccine wastage can occur in "unopened vials" due to loss by expiry, vaccine vial monitor change, heat exposure, freezing, breakage, missing inventory and theft. In "opened vials" wastage may occur due to discarding remaining doses in an opened vial at the end of a session, the number of doses drawn from a vial is not the same as indicated on the label, poor reconstitution practices, opened vials are submerged in water, and contamination [8].

Only 19 out of 72 the Vaccine Alliance eligible countries had analyzable wastage data at World Health Organization (WHO) headquarters and the median wastage rates for single, two and ten dose vials were 5%, 7%, and 10% respectively. Ethiopia is among the 74% of the Vaccine Alliance eligible countries that do not report vaccine wastage [9]. The absence of national or local valid vaccine wastage data created a problem to monitor vaccine supply and logistics management [10]. Globally, greater than half of the vaccines was wasted [11]. In India, the highest vaccine wastage was recorded at service delivery and supply chain level for Bacillus-Calmette-Guerin (BCG) (61%) and Measles vaccine (3.46%)respectively [12].

Since vaccines are expensive [13], the percentage of losses were significant [14,15]. In 2014, 31% and 26% of low and lower-middle-income countries experienced stock-outs at the national level and district level respectively [16]. This high wastage and unavailability of vaccine translates into less coverage [17] and incomplete-immunization [15,18], and thus contributed to high levels of under 5 mortality [19]. Besides, the total cost to vaccinate a child also increases [20,21].

Despite efforts made so far, cold chain systems are struggling to efficiently support national immunization programs [22] and a combination of factors had resulted in a dysfunctional immunization cold chain and supply chain logistics [19]. The various studies indicated that there were gaps in maintaining cold chain system which ultimately compromise the potency of the vaccines and quality of the immunization services [20,23-27], besides the knowledge and attitude of vaccine handlers was suboptimal [28,29].

Vaccine wastage can be expected in all programs and some level of vaccine wastage is unavoidable and some are inevitable to control [30]. Thus there should be an acceptable limit of wastage. The wastage rate for each vaccine is BCG (50%), Pentavalent Vaccine (PV) (5%), Oral Polio Vaccine

## Vaccines & Vaccination Open Access

(OPV) (10%), Tetanus Toxoid (TT) (10%), Inactivated Polio Vaccine (IPV) (10%), Pneumococcal Conjugate Vaccine (PCV) (10%), Rotavirus (5%) and Measles (25%) [31]. Therefore, it is prudent to minimize vaccine wastage and maintaining vaccine potency to make vaccines available for vaccination. Thus, this study assessed vaccine wastage and contributing factors in public health facilities of Oromia special zone.

#### **Methods**

#### **Study Area and Period**

The study was conducted in public health facilities of Oromia special zone from September 1- September 30, 2019. Oromia special zone is one of the ten zones found in the Amhara regional state having a tropical climate which could compromise the potency of vaccines. The administrative zone offered health service through 2 hospitals, 28 health centers, and 115 health posts for total population of 459,847.

Since January 2018, Ethiopian pharmaceutical supply agency (EPSA) was responsible for the whole vaccine supply chain management and has started to transport vaccine to the Woreda health offices store each month. Health facilities received vaccines from their respective Woreda health offices. The types of vaccines supplied were BCG (20 dose), PV (1 dose), OPV (10 dose), TT (10 dose), IPV (10 dose), PCV (2 dose), Rotavirus (1 dose) and Measles (10 dose).

#### **Study Design**

A facility-based cross-sectional study design was used. It was to collect vaccine usage and wastage data retrospectively, to verify the available cold chain logistics and equipment's and to assess the knowledge and attitude of vaccinators and vaccine handlers. Finally, a phenomenological study design was employed to fully explore in-depth about why and how vaccine wastes among the key informants.

### **Sources and Study Population**

All public health facilities (28 health centers and 2 hospitals) which had been offering immunization services, all health workers (131) who had been involved in administering vaccination services in the surveyed facilities during the data collection period and all vaccines (8) received and wasted in between June 2018 – June 2019 were the study population.

#### Inclusion and exclusion criteria

All vaccine wasted from June 2018 – June 2019, all public health facilities engaged in vaccination services, health professionals who were available and volunteers during the study period and health workers who handle vaccines at public health facilities were included in the study.

Those health facilities that were not rendering vaccination services form more than one month was excluded. Vaccine not included in the EPI program and health professionals who was refuse to participate in the study were excluded from the study.

# Sample Size Determination and Sampling Procedures

All public health facilities (27) which had been involved in offering immunization services were included. But one health center and both hospitals were excluded due to incomplete vaccine records and interruptions in rendering vaccination services respectively. All health professionals, except four (127) who engaged in vaccine cold chain management activities of the selected public health facilities were included. Four participants refused to participate. Purposive sampling was used to select key informants by Woreda health office vaccine logistics officers. Health professionals where recruited in their working health institutions by Woreda health office vaccine logistics officers by identifying eligible participants and explaining the study goals.

Woreda health office is responsible for coordinating, monitoring and evaluating the vaccination program. The sample size for the phenomenological study was depending on the saturation of information concerning emerging themes. Thus, 13 key informants (4 midwives, 8 nurses, and one health officer) were included. The sample for quantitative study can be considered representative of a larger population.

#### Variables

The outcome variable of the study is vaccine wastage. Socio-demographic characteristics, knowledge, attitude and practice of health professionals, characteristics of health institutions, vial size, cold chain failures, and session size were predictor variables.

#### Data collection tools and procedures

Records of vaccine usage and wastage was abstracted by reviewing vaccine registration logbooks, health commodity management information system and stock cards using a data collection sheet adapted from WHO [8]. A structured observation checklist adopted from WHO was also used to assess the practice and system of vaccine cold chain of health facilities [32]. The vaccine cold chain practice was assessed using a total of 25 questions under 9 domains. Knowledge and attitude questions were adapted from WHO [33-35]. Knowledge was assessed using a total of 21 questions and attitude toward vaccine cold chain management was scored using a total of 6 questions on a 5 point Likert scale. For the qualitative part of the study, the semi-structured interview guide was prepared in English and translated to Amharic and finally back-translated into the English language to maintain consistency and standardization of the instruments. The principal investigator conducted the in-depth interview which lasted an average of 20 minutes. The interview was done in Amharic and field note were also used. All interviews were audio-recorded and transcribed verbatim.

#### **Issues of Reflexivity**

**The Principal Investigator Status as an Insider:** The principal investigators status as a "professional" offers certain strengths and limitations for this study. The investigators approached as senior pharmacy professionals and operated with awareness of insider bias. They practiced non-judgment and maintained awareness of professional relativity in their work. The limitation was they faced with the challenge of being perceived as a powerful individual based on their position.

#### **Data Management and Analysis**

All collected data was critically examined for completeness, accuracy, clarity, and consistency during data collection. The data was entered using EpiData version 4.6 and exported to Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20 for analysis. Knowledge and practices was assigned a score of 1 and 0 for correct and false responses respectively. The mean knowledge, attitude and practice score for each respondent and vaccine cold chain practice and infrastructure of health facilities was calculated. Mean score was used to categorize variables.

The associations between dependent and independent variables were tested by independent t-test and multiple linear regression with 95 % confidence intervals, and variable with p-value <0.05 were taken as statistically significant. Kruskal-Wallis test was also used for variables violating assumptions. Analysis of variance and independent t-test were also computed to examine subgroup interactions.

All written transcripts were read several times to obtain the overall feeling for them. All sections of original transcripts were translated into English to facilitate coding and each transcript was coded line by line using NVivo 11 plus and analyzed using the principles of content analysis.

#### **Operational Definition**

High volume health center: Health facilities that serve more than 100 patients per day.

Medium volume health center: Health facilities that serve 50 to 100 patients per day.

Low volume health center: Health facilities that serve less than 50 patients per day.

**Vaccine wastage:** the sum of vaccines discarded, lost, damaged or destroyed.

**Wastage factor:** the number used to multiply the vaccine needs to determine for some doses being wasted.

#### **Ethical Considerations**

The Ethics Review Committee of the College of Medicine and Health Sciences, Wollo University (CMHS/406/13/11) and Oromia special zone health department (OZHD/544/2011) approved this study. The Ethics Review Committee approved use of oral consent. Consent was also requested from the surveyed health facilities and their respective Woreda health offices. Moreover, study participants involved in the study were fully informed about the nature and objectives of the study and the confidentiality of the data. Since there is no anticipated risk on study participants and no sensitive data was collected, their consent to participate in the study was obtained verbally. Moreover, the most of the data, which was extracted from health facilities, were related with vaccines, not directly related with human study participants. Verbal consent was documented by writing the time and date on the researcher version of consent form that the participant has read and understood the consent form.

#### **Results**

Among the 27 health centers, 22 (81.5%) were low volume, 2 (7.4%) were medium volume and 3 (11.1%) were

high volume. Eight (29.6%) health facilities were located in urban and 19 (70.4%) in rural settings. Of the total health centers, the minimum distance was 0.1 kilometer and the maximum was 36 kilometers away from the Woreda health office with mean and SD of  $14.5\pm10.4$ . On the other hand, health facilities were located 18 and 150 kilometers away from the supplier at a minimum and maximum respectively with mean and SD of  $96.9\pm30.4$ .

The vaccine wastage rate (55.2%) and vaccine wastage factor (2.3) was found highest for BCG and the lowest wastage was seen in the use of Rotavirus (7.7%). The highest open (6.5%) and unopened vaccine wastage rate (48.7%) was for BCG (Table 1). The overall vaccine wastage rate was 22.9% while wastage factor was 1.41. A 9 years experienced nurse portrayed, *"we have a hard time using a multi vial policy every day. That's why we administered the vaccine only 2 times a week. Even so, there was more than an acceptable level of wastage. ….it was the measles and BCG most wasted".* Kruskal-Wallis test showed that there was a statistically significant wastage difference among each vaccine (p<0.001).

The wastage rate (55.2%) for 20 dose vials was higher than 10 (24.2%), 2(15.9%) and 1 doses vial (7.8%). Eight years experienced midwife said, "....in opening 20 dose vials, we got a maximum of 11 or 12 children per session. The vial size is too large". Another 8 years experienced nurse stated, "...inadequate number of children per session made the vaccines to be discarded".

6 70	Vaccines	Dose per	Vaccine usage	Vaccine wastage	<b>e</b>		Wastage	Dualua
S. no	vaccines	vial	rate (%)	rate (%)	Unopened	Opened	factor	P value
1	BCG	20	44.8	55.2	6.5	48.7	2.3	
2	OPV	10	80.1	19.9	6.3	13.7	1.3	
3	IPV	10	77.1	22.9	5.5	17.5	1.3	
4	ТТ	10	80.4	19.7	4.8	14.8	1.3	
5	PV	1	92	7.98	0.7	7.3	1.1	0
6	PCV	2	84.1	15.9	1.8	14.1	1.2	
7	Rotavirus	1	92.3	7.7	0.9	6.8	1.1	
8	Measles	10	65.7	34.3	11	23.1	1.8	
	Total		77.7	22.9	4.7	18.2	1.4	

Table 1: Wastage rate and factor for different vaccines in public health facilities of Oromia special zone, 2019.

With regards to types of vaccines, the wastage rate was higher for lyophilized (44.7%) than the liquid vaccine (15.7%). A 9 year's experienced nurse said, "Measles was unable to form solution. I got the vaccine precipitated". It was

further substantiated by 6 years experienced nurse, "after diluting, I saw it. It was small. The reconstituted vaccines were not enough to immunize all beneficiaries". The vaccine wastage rate was found higher in the injectable vaccine (26.0%) than oral route vaccines (13.8%) (Figure 1). Eight years experienced nurse stated, "I have more doses with a syringe while sucking *the* vaccine from the vial".

Lyophilized, 20 doses, and injectable vaccines had the higher open vaccine wastage rate 35.9%, 48.7%, and 20.9% respectively while lyophilized, 10 dose and injectable vaccines had the higher unopened vaccine wastage rate 8.7%, 6.9%, and 5.0% respectively. Wastage factor was also found higher for lyophilized (2.0), 20 doses (2.3) and injectable (1.5) vaccines. Difference in wastage rate for vial size (= 2.4, p = 0.01), type of vaccine ( $\beta$ = -0.02, p = 0.006) and mode of administration ( $\beta$ = -13.55, p = 0.015) of vaccines was found significant (Table 2). Increasing the vial size will result in increment of vaccine wastage by 2.4. Moreover, changing the formulation from lyophilized to liquid formulation, and injection to oral dosage form will change the vaccine wastage by -0.02 and -13.5 respectively.

			Vaccine wastage rate			
Type and form of vaccines		Unopened	Opened	Wastage factor	P value	β (95%CI)
	1	0.8	7.1	1.1		
Vial size	2	1.8	14.1	1.2	0.01	2.4 (1.23-3.14)
viai size	10	6.7	17.3	1.4	0.01	
	20	6.5	48.7	2.3		
Turne of up aging	Lyophilized	8.7	35.9	2	0.000	0.02(0.04 0.01)
Type of vaccine	Liquid	3.3	12.4	1.2	0.006	-0.02 (-0.040.01)
Mada of Administration	Injectable	5	20.9	1.5	0.01	
Mode of Administr-ation	Oral	3.6	10.2	1.2	0.01	-13.5 (-24.1- 3.68)

Table 2: Wastage across types/forms of vaccine in public health facilities of Oromia special zone, 2019.

Health facilities found in urban had highest wastage (23.4%) and wastage factor (1.5). Medium volume health facilities had a highest wastage rate (26.2%) and factor (1.5) while high volume health facilities had lowest wastage rate

(19.8%) and factor (1.3). There is no statistically significant difference among volume and location health facilities (Table 3).

Facility description			Vaccine was	tage rate	Marchan and Gradient	Develope
		Vaccine wastage rate	Unopened	Opened	Wastage factor	P value
Leastion	Urban	23.4	4.2	19.1	1.5	0.01
Location	Rural	22.8	4.9	17.9	1.4	0.81
	High	19.8	2.3	17.4	1.3	
Volume	Low	23.1	4.7	18.3	1.4	0.05
	Medium	26.2	7.7	18.5	1.5	

**Table 3:** Wastage across health facility location and volume in public health facilities of Oromia special zone, 2019.

Public health facilities of Oromia special zone had an annual vaccines supply amounting to USD 411,282.9 and the annual wastage rate was 13.3% amounting to USD 54,868.2. Unopened and open vaccine wastage constituted USD 8,522.2 (2.1%) and 46,345.9 (11.3%) respectively. From 8 vaccines that were assessed, BCG and Measles vaccines had the leading with the overall wastage rate of 48.2% and 28.5% accounting for USD 3,895.6 and 713.2 respectively

(Table 4) while the least observed in the vaccines were PV with wastage rate of 6.9% amounting to USD 3,673.7.

Midwife, having 2 years' experience emphasized the situation, "...lot of costly vaccine supplied and some of them have recently been wasted". Kruskal-Wallis test showed that there was a statistically significant difference among each vaccine wastage by monetary value (p<0.001).

	Moneta		Percen	tage			
Vaccine	We active a set	Unopened	Open	Unopened	Open	Total wasted	P-value
	Vaccine supply	Wasted	Wasted	wasted	Wasted		
BCG	4566.8	256.8	1945.6	5.6	42.6	48.2	
OPV	11362.7	452.5	1455.4	4	12.8	16.8	
IPV	20028.8	1274.2	2754.4	6.4	13.8	20.1	
TT	32339.2	1225.1	4154.9	3.8	12.9	16.6	
PV	53663.8	318.4	3355.3	0.6	6.3	6.9	0
PCV	199122.9	3538.8	25490.1	1.8	12.8	14.6	
Rotavirus	78832.3	603.1	4803.3	0.8	6.1	6.9	
Measles	11365.8	853.3	2386.9	7.5	21	28.5	
Total	411282.2	8522.2	46345.9	2.1	11.3	13.3	

**Table 4:** Wastage rate by monetary value in public health facilities of Oromia special zone, 2019.

Opened vial wastage by dose and monetary value were 31.1% and 11.3% while unopened vial wastage by dose and monetary value were 8.6% and 2.1% respectively. Independent t test was performed to determine the wastage difference across opened/unopened type of wastage by

dose and there was a statistically significant difference ( $\beta$ = -13.55, p = 0.015) (Table 5). The major reasons for wastage of vaccines were expiry (24%), VVM change (26%), and discarded dose (50%) amounting to USD 81,541.6, 91444.0 and 238,287.3 respectively.

Type of vaccines wastage	Vaccine wastage rate	P value	β (95% CI)	
Type of yractage by dogo	Opened	31.1	0.015*	
Type of wastage by dose	Unopened	8.6	0.015	13.55 (-24.023.88)
True of works to be monotomy value	Opened	11.3	0.00**	
Type of wastage by monetary value	Unopened	2.1	0.00***	

**Table 5:** Opened and unopened vaccine wastage rate in public health facilities of Oromia special zone, 2019.\*Independent t test\*\*Kruskal-Wallis test

Only 13 (48.1%) of health facilities were rated as having satisfactory cold chain infrastructure while 17 (63%) had good cold chain practices. Eight years experienced nurse portrayed "we do not have enough storage for all vaccines supply".

Another 9 years experienced nurse stated, "the power interruption cause vaccine vials monitor to change. We have a health post nearby and we transport vaccines to there".

This was further explained by 4 year experienced

midwife, "When the service time ends, the generator will be switched off. Stand always to save fuel. No one bothers about vaccines".

In multiple linear regression, heath facilities characteristics, cold chain infrastructure, and practice were computed. The effect for health facilities cold chain infrastructure, practice, level, and volume were not found statistically significant (p<0.05) but the distance from Woreda health office ( $\beta$ = -0.24, p = 0.03) and EPSA ( $\beta$ = 0.07, p = 0.02) in wastage rate was found significant (Table 6).

Variables		Number (Percentage)	P value	β (95% CI)	
Cold shain infrastructure	Satisfactory	13(48.1)	0.54	1.28(-3.11-5.69)	
Cold chain infrastructure	Unsatisfactory	14(51.9)	0.54		
Cold shain practice	Good	17(63)	0.78		
Cold chain practice	Poor	10(37)	0.78	0.53(-3.44-4.51)	

	Low	21(81.5)			
Level of health facility	Medium	2(7.4)	0.23	-2.46(-6.61-1.69)	
	High	3(11.1)			
Location	Rural	19(70.4)	0.95		
Location	Urban	8(29.6)	0.95	0.18(-6.31-6.68)	
Distance	0.03	-0.24(-0.460.01)			
	0.02	0.07(0.01-0.13)			

**Table 6:** Health facility factors contributing to vaccine wastage in health facility of Oromia special zone, 2019.

There were 85(66.9%) male and 42(33.1%) female respondents with a mean age of 25.95. Among the vaccinator and vaccine handlers included in the study, 50 (39.4%) were nurses, 9(7.1%) were health officers and 68 (53.5%) were midwives. With regards to the level of education, 48 (37.8%) were diploma while the rest 79 (62.2%) had a degree having work experience ranged from 4 months to 14 years with mean work experience and SD of 3.29±2.44. Only 31 (24.4%) and 35 (27.6%) of vaccinator and vaccine handlers received training and supervision on cold chain management respectively (Table 7).

S. no	Socio-demog	raphic profile	Number	Percentage
1	Sex	Male	85	66.9
1	Sex	Female	42	33
		20-24	49	38.6
2	Age	25-29	57	44.9
		≥30	21	16.5
3	Moult our origin of	<5	93	73.2
3	Work experience	≥5	34	26.8
4	Level of education	Diploma	79	62.2
4	Level of education	Degree	48	37.8
		Midwifery	68	53.5
5	Education	Health officer	9	7.1
		Nurse	50	39.4
		1651-3200	70	55.1
6	Salary	3201	43	33.9
		>5251	14	11
7	Received training on cold chain	Yes	31	24.4
/	management	No	96	75.6
		Less than 6 month	14	45.2
8	Time of last training	6-12 months ago	11	35.5
		Greater than a year ago	6	19.3
9		Yes	35	27.6
9	Receive supervision	No	92	72.4
		Less than 6 month	15	42.9
10	Time of last supervisory visits	6-12 months ago	12	34.3
		Greater than a year ago	8	22.8

**Table 7:** Socio-demographic characteristics of vaccinators and vaccine handlers in public health facilities of Oromia special zone, (n=127).

Sixty-eight (53.5%), 58 (45.7%) and 62 (48.8%) vaccinator and vaccine handlers were rated as having satisfactory knowledge, positive attitude and good practice respectively. A 2 years experienced midwife explained, "*my knowledge is so limited and do not update my selves because I don't see it as issue of my department*". He also stated the practice, ".... forgetting vaccines on the ice pack is usually I experienced through negligence" and attitude "we store water in the refrigerator saying that we will drink when we are thirsty".

Socio-demographic characteristics, knowledge, attitude and practice of participants were run in to multiple linear regression. Work experience ( $\beta$ = 1.91, p= 0.04), level of education ( $\beta$ =33.16, p = <0.001), profession ( $\beta$ = 3.76, p = 0.001), and attitude ( $\beta$ = 2.3, p = 0.04) have statistically significant effect on vaccine wastage (p<0.05) (Table 8). Increase health facilities distance by one-kilo meters from Woreda health office and EPSA will reduce and increased vaccine wastage by 0.24 and 0.07 respectively. Learning more and increasing work experience increases vaccine wastage by 33.16 and 1.91 respectively.



Figure 1: Wastage across type/form of vaccine in public health facilities, Oromia special zone, 2019.

Descriptions		Percentage	P value	β (95 % CI)	
Morely over original	<5	73.2	0.04	101(01271)	
Work experience	≥5	26.8	0.04	1.91(0.1-3.71)	
	Diploma	62.2	0	22 1 ( (20 1 20 22)	
Level of education	Degree	37.8	0	33.16(28.1-38.22)	
	Midwifery	53.5			
Education	Health officer	7.1	0.001	3.76(2.04-5.49)	
	Nurse	39.4			
	< than 6 month	45.2			
Time of last training	6-12 months ago	35.5	0.16	-1.24(-3.13-0.65)	
	> than a year ago	19.3			
	< than 6 month	42.9			
Time of last supervisory visits	6-12 months ago	34.3	0.69	-0.25(-1.73-123)	
	> than a year ago	22.8			

Knowledge	Satisfactory	53.5	0.70	0.22( 1.(0.2.14)	
Knowledge	Unsatisfactory	46.5	0.78	0.22(-1.68-2.14)	
Attitude	Positive	45.7	0.04		
Attitude	Negative	54.3	0.04	2.3(0.12-4.47)	
Dreatice	Poor	11	0.00	1 0 2 ( 2 2 2 0 1 7)	
Practice	Good	89	0.08	-1.02(-2.23-0.17)	

**Table 8:** Socio-demographic factors contributing to vaccine wastage in public health facilities of Oromia special zone, 2019.

## **Discussion**

Vaccine wastage could be expected in all programs and there should be an acceptable limit [36]. The estimated vaccine wastage rate and factor in the present study in terms of the dose were found to be 22.9% and 1.41. It is slightly higher than the national [37] and WHO wastage rate (20%) [8]. The finding was lower than the government of India's recommended wastage rate of 25% and the wastage factor of 1.3 [38]. This was supported by qualitative findings where higher level of wastage above the standard was still reported. The difference might be attributed to their national policy, countries geographic condition, cold chain system and competency of professionals.

The wastage rate and factor of the BCG vaccine was 55.2% and 2.3 respectively. The findings were higher than the recommended values (50%) of Ethiopia [37]. The wastage rate and wastage factor were highest for BCG found in various studies done in India [30,31,39], but it is much lower than the results found by UNICEF [12] (61%) and Islam F, et al. [40] (79.4%) in India. The finding (54.9%) was comparable with study done in Gambia [41]. This may be because of the maximum doses per vial size of BCG and as per national guidelines, the vaccine should be discarded after six hours of reconstitution if adequate children were not approached to the site. The number of beneficiaries per session decrease, the wastage per session increase and it's statistically significant [42].

The wastage rate for OPV was 19.9% and found much lower than the study conducted by UNICEF [12] (47%), Sharma G, et al. [31] (29.5%) and Islam F, et al. [40] (21.7%) but results found by Tiwari R, et al. (14.7%), Usuf E, et al. [41] (4.4%) and Bagdey P, et al. [30] (5.2%) was much lower than this study. The wastage rate of the OPV vaccine was higher than the national [37] as well as WHO [8] recommended wastage rate (10%).

The higher wastage rate for OPV might be wastage at the time of administering a vaccine, for example, administering more drops than required due to faulty vaccinating technique of vaccinators, moving the head of a child at the time of ingestion of vaccine and the reaction of a baby while

### administering vaccines.

The calculated wastage rate for measles vaccine was 34.3% which in line with wastage rate result done by UNICEF [12] (35%) but higher than Sharma G, et al. [31] (27.7%), Islam F, et al. [40] (30.7%), Tiwari R, et al. [39] (21.7%), Usuf E, et al. [41] (15.6%) and Bagdey P, et al. [30] (11.4%). The finding was also higher than the national [37] and WHO [8] recommended wastage rate (25%). This may be because of discarded dose within 6 hours of reconstitution and less number of beneficiaries per session.

Wastage rate for TT vaccine (19.7%) nearly 2.5 higher than the study conducted by Islam F, et al. [40] and Tiwari R, et al. [39] (21.7%), 10 times higher than Usuf E, et al. [41] and 3.6 times higher than Bagdey P, et al. [30] while 0.6 times lower than UNICEF findings [12]. The finding also higher than the recommended wastage rate of Ethiopia [37] and WHO [8] (10%). This might be due that the vaccine placed in the delivery ward, unlike other EPI vaccines and vaccination errors.

The wastage rate for the injectable vaccine (26.0%) was found more than the oral vaccine (13.8%). The result was different from studies conducted by Sharma G, et al. [31] (injectable 15.6%, oral 29.5%), Islam F, et al. [40] (injectable 12.6%, oral 21.7%) and UNICEF [12] (injectable 35%, oral 47%). A study conducted by Tiwari R, et al. [39] found a negligible difference (injectable 13.3%, oral 14.7%). The discrepancy might be due to errors during the reconstitution and measuring of injectable vaccines. The qualitative study also substantiated this finding. Appropriate reconstitution practice, mode of administration and community mobilization can reduce wastage of vaccines.

In the present study, the vaccine wastage rate for the lyophilized vaccine (44.7%) was found higher than the liquid vaccine (15.7%). Similar studies revealed that more wastage for lyophilized vaccine were reported in the study conducted by Islam F, et al. [40] (lyophilized 54.8%, liquid 16.3%) and UNICEF [12] (lyophilized 50%, liquid 38%) but Sharma G, et al. [31] (lyophilized 23.3%, liquid 20.7%), Bagdey P, et al. [30] (lyophilized 18%, liquid 5.93%), Tiwari R, et al. [39] (lyophilized 21.0%, liquid 11.1%)was found lower. The

higher wastage for lyophilized vaccines attributed to their need to be reconstituting with diluents before vaccination and to be discarded within 6 hours of the constitution and an inadequate number of beneficiaries per session. Key informants also mentioned this reasons. World Health Organization also noted that higher wastage is expected with lyophilized vaccines since liquid vaccines can be used in subsequent sessions for up to 4 weeks [8].

The wastage rate in this study for 20 dose vials (55.2%) was higher than 10 doses (24.2%), 2 doses (15.9%) and one dose of the vial (7.8%). The physical reaction and movement of the baby during vaccination made the opened dose to be discarded. Hence, opened vaccine wastage for one dose vial was recorded. These values were higher than studies done by Sharma G, et al. [31] (10 dose 13.9%, 20 dose 29.5%), Bagdey P, et al. [30] (10 dose 15.7%, 20 dose 4.3%) and Tiwari, et al. [39] (10 dose 12.6%, 20 dose 14.7%). The difference was because of the number of children attending immunization sessions is less, reconstitution and measurement errors.

The present study indicated that the higher the number of doses in vials, the higher will be the wastage and the difference was statistically significant. The result was in line with Mehta S, et al. [42] study in which wastage of vaccines has a direct relationship with vial size. Switching from 10 to 5 dose vials, the wastage rate for IPV was reduced from 0.3 to 0.1 in Bangladesh, 0.2 to 0.1 in India, 0.13 to 0.06 in Mozambique, and 0.09 to 0.04 in Uganda, respectively [43]. Although the reduction of vial size will have a substantial incremental impact on storage volume requirements as the multi-dose vial is more cost-effective and wastage should be justified [12]. A high wastage rate attributable to opening a multi-dose vial for a small session size to avoid missed opportunities is more justified than wastage attributable to freezing or expiry [8].

Wastage of vaccines has a direct relationship with session size [42]. For about 17.5% of OPV sessions, up to 5 doses per session were given and the wastage was more than 75%. The lyophilized vaccine showed that less than 5 doses per session were administered. This indicated that the ideal size of these vaccines to be 5 doses per vial [12]. Key informants also revealed that wastage was attributed to large vial size due to inadequate number of children per session. Adhering proper reconstitution of vaccines, accuracy in measurement and improving community awareness and mobilization can reduce wastage of vaccines.

In this study, there was a negligible wastage difference between urban (23.4%) and rural health facilities (22.8%). The result was in line with study done in India [41], where the wastage difference between rural and urban facilities was statistically insignificant. However, vaccine wastage rates are higher than expected in the urban primary health care setting of India [44]. Although acceptable levels wastage varies between facilities experience and local situations, WHO stated that remote services have to open more vials per child than urban services and as a result, higher wastage rates are expected [36]. Medium volume health facilities had the highest wastage rate (26.2%) and factor (1.5) while high volume health facilities had the lowest wastage rate (19.8%) and factor (1.3). This might be attributed to health facilities immunization practice and their professional competency.

Public health facilities of Oromia's special zone had an annual wastage rate of 13.3% amounting to USD 54,868.2 and it was higher than the targeted (2%) wastage rate [45]. The WHO reports high wastage rates are still occurring in countries [46] and newer and much more expensive vaccines have a dramatic impact on the cost of wastage [36]. For example, India accounted 39% procured vaccine cost to cover the vaccine wasted [12] and increase vaccine demand and inflate overall program cost [42]. Key informants also portrayed that expensive vaccines were wasted. Unnecessary vaccine wastage in developing countries translated fewer children being vaccinated with the same resources [17]. Reducing vaccine wastage has great importance to spare money and increased effectiveness of delivery services [15].

On the other hand, high wastage result shortage of vaccines and a decline in the quality of healthcare services [47]. In Ethiopia, 67% of health centers and 40% of health posts experienced stock out in the previous six months and the median stock-out time for BCG, Rotavirus and TT vaccines was more than a week [48]. About 15% and 35% of the total sessions observed in India, measles and BCG vaccine was not available at the session site [12]. Though child vaccination coverage significantly varied among zones, coverage was low [49] and was found to be lower in households with the poorest wealth, low parity and no maternal education [48]. In Nigeria, 30% of caregivers reported being turned away for vaccination at least once and 53% of these children had not yet received the missed dose [20].

Unopened and open vaccine wastage constituted USD 8,522.2 (2.1%) and 46,345.9 (11.3%) respectively. In Nigeria, there was zero wastage for all unopened vials [20]. In Ethiopia, unopened wastage for TT was 2.4 fold higher and nearly 13 times lower for BCG than the present study [50]. Freezing, near expiry and VVM stage 2 supplies were stated for unopened vaccines wastage by key informants. Unopened vial wastage is usually attributable to cold chain and stock management problems [12] and can be minimized while opened vials wastage can be reduced by the introduction of the multi-dose vial policy, the effective use of VVM, and improved immunization strategies and practices [46].

The major reasons for wastage of vaccines were expiry, VVM change, and discarded dose amounting 24%, 26%, and 50% wastage respectively. Rotavirus vaccines expired in stock in all facilities and unopened vials wasted through missing inventory, VVM failure, breakage and use of wrong diluent [41]. Using incorrect figures made the country to face over stock leading to increased wastage through expiry [46]. Wastage of vials because of VVM change was also observed in India [51].

The result of the present study showed that 63% of health centers had good cold chain practices. As compared to national assessment, the finding was better [48]. Still, it was better than various literature in which the practice of cold chain found suboptimal [27,48,52,] and fair [4,25]. The finding also supported by key informants' who portrayed that cold chain practices was poor. Cold chain failures do continue to occur at the primary session site [6]. Poor cold chain management at all levels may adversely affect the quality of the vaccine [52] and this results in the revaccination of many patients and significant financial loss [53]. Since vaccine storage is the most critical element of immunization, the reliability of the electricity supply and routine cold chain maintenances are the keys to safe vaccine storage and delivery. Provision, use, and care of equipment and adequate funding [54] can make significant improvements in cold chain management resulting in considerable savings in vaccine wastage [6].

This study showed that 53.5% of vaccinators and vaccine handlers were rated as having satisfactory knowledge. The finding was consistent with a study done in central Ethiopia and Bale zone where 54.3% [55] and 54.6% [23] had satisfactory knowledge but comparable with a study conducted in the Guragie zone (51.3%) [56]. Various studies also reported that knowledge of health personnel on cold chain management in Nigeria [25,29,57,58] and India [4,52,59] was poor, India [28] was average, and Malaysia [60] and India [10] was good. The discrepancy might be due to a difference in staff motivation, study participants' qualifications, characteristics of the country and nature of the study. Health professionals in charge should know how to carry out vaccines stock management and how to fill stock records. Thus, appropriate vaccines stock management training should be given.

The present study also found 45.7% vaccinators and vaccine handlers had a positive attitude on cold chain management. The result was better than a study done in Nigeria where 79.8% had a poor attitude towards cold chain [60]. However, it is much lesser than the study conducted in Nigeria reported 93.2% [29]. The qualitative study also revealed the knowledge gap and attitude problem among vaccinators and vaccine handlers made vaccine cold chain management compromised. This wide discrepancy

among vaccinators and vaccine handlers might be due to geographical and sociocultural differences among studies.

Good practice of cold chain management among vaccinators and vaccine handlers was 48.8% in this study. The finding was 1.5 fold lower (73%) than the practice of cold chain management in Nigeria [25,57]. Respondents who had good knowledge and received formal training on vaccine cold chain management were more likely to have good vaccine practices [28,57]. An increasing level of education of respondents improves 5.2 times to have a good practice of cold chain management [25].

This study showed that determinates of vaccine wastage were work experience (p= 0.04), level of education (p <0.001), profession (p = 0.001), attitude (p = 0.04), and distance from Woreda health office (p = 0.03) and EPSA (p= 0.02) and had statistically significant effect on vaccine wastage. When health facilities distance increase by one-kilo meters from Woreda health office and EPSA, vaccine wastage reduced and increased by 0.24 and 0.07 respectively. It may be because of staying longer time during transporting vaccines by EPSA which increases exposure to environmental conditions. Learning more increases vaccine wastage by 33.16 and increasing work experience of vaccinators and vaccine handlers by one year will increase vaccine wastage by 1.91. This might be due to the negligence of professionals up on working and learning more. Creating and building awareness of vaccinators and vaccine handlers will also reduce vaccine wastage by 1.02 as professionals are free from misperceptions.

Although the nature of phenomenological study alters the generalizability of the findings, both study designs identified complimentary results and made the finding to be relevant to vaccination service. The study utilizes recorded vaccines utilization and wastage data from health facilities. Although the study was conducted by cross checking various sources of information, the poor quality of information management systems in health institutions may introduce bias to the study. The shorter period of data collection was also the limitation of the study. The nature of qualitative study alters confidence in the generalizability of the findings.

### Conclusion

Vaccine wastage in health care facilities was above the standard. BCG and measles vaccines had the highest levels of wastage. Besides, 20 doses of vial preparations, vaccines using a lyophilized production method, and injectable vaccines had a higher wastage.Health center's distance from the EPSA and the Woreda health office, vaccinators and vaccine handlers' year of service, level of education, profession, and attitude were significant causes of vaccine wastage. High wastage

was found in large dose vials and hence, minimizing the vial size is recommended. It is also recommended to do further research aim to examine the economic value of using large dose vials with high wastage rate and small dose vials with low wastage rate but having higher production cost. Improving the availability of cold chain logistics, supportive supervisions, training and community mobilization were recommended to reduce wastage.

- **Declarations:** Not applicable
- **Availability of Data and Materials:** The datasets are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.
- **Competing Interest:** The authors declare that they have no potential competing interest.
- Funding: None
- Authors Contribution: SAM made the analysis. All authors (SAM, BDW and MHK) interpreted the findings and wrote the manuscript. Finally, all the authors proofread and approved the final version of this manuscript.
- Acknowledgement: Authors would like to acknowledge data collectors for their time and absolute dedication.

## References

- 1. World Health Organization (2012) Vaccine fact book.
- 2. UNICEF (2016) Immunization: Introduction.
- Andre FE, Booy R, Bock HL, Clemens J, Datta SK, et al. (2008) Vaccination greatly reduces disease, disability, death and inequity worldwide. Bull World Health Organ 86(2): 140-146.
- 4. Krishnappa L, Anniappan AB, Voderhobli NH, Krishna SK, Yathiraj S, et al. (2014) Evaluation of cold chain practices in urban health centers of a metro city in India. National J Community Medicine 5(3): 288-292.
- 5. Esposito DH, Tate JE, Kang G, Parashar UD (2011) Projected impact and cost-effectiveness of a rotavirusvirus vaccination program in India, 2008. Clinical Infectious Diseases 52(2): 171-177.
- 6. Turner N, Laws A, Roberts L (2011) Assessing the effectiveness of cold chain management for childhood vaccines. Journal of primary health care 3(4): 278-282.
- 7. World Health Organization (2013) Vaccine safety basics learning manual.
- 8. World Health Organization (2005) Monitoring vaccine wastage at country level: guidelines for programme managers.

- 9. Parmar D, Baruwa E, Zuber P, Kone S (2010) Impact of wastage on single and multi-dose vaccine vials: implications for introducing pneumococcal vaccines in developing countries. Human Vaccines 6(3): 10397.
- 10. Harsh S, Bonny S, Ketan P, Surendra J (2016) Closing gaps in routine immunization-impact and cost assessment on components of new vaccine policy in routine immunization in Gujarat, India. Journal of Research In Medical a/nd Dental Science 4(1): 70-74.
- 11. GAVI (2010) First Inception Report for Countries Receiving Support from inception. The Global Alliance For Vaccines and immunizations.
- 12. UNICEF (2010) Vaccine Wastage Assessment. Field assessment and observations from National stores and five selected states of India.
- 13. UNICEF (2017) In support of the global polio Eradication Initiative: Guidance note on cold chain logistics and vaccine management during polio supplementary immunization activities.
- 14. Dias B, Almeida R (2017) Rotavirus and Triple Viral Vaccine Losses in Vaccination Rooms: a Cross-Sectional Study. MedicalExpress 4(4).
- 15. Ebong C, Levy P (2011) Impact of the introduction of new vaccines and vaccine wastage rate on the cost-effectiveness of routine EPI: lessons from a descriptive study in a Cameroonian health district. Cost Effectiveness and Resource Allocation 9(1): 9.
- 16. World Health Organization (2016) Achieving immunization targets with the comprehensive effective vaccine management (EVM) framework: WHO/UNICEF joint statement.
- 17. Patel P, Rana J, Jangid S, Bavarva N, Patel M, et al. (2015) Vaccine wastage assessment after introduction of open vial policy in Surat municipal corporation area of India. Int J Health Policy Manag 5(4): 233-236.
- 18. Mugada V, Chandrabhotla S, Kaja D, Machara S (2017) Knowledge towards childhood immunization among mothers & reasons for incomplete immunization. Journal of Applied Pharmaceutical Science 7(10): 157-161.
- 19. Sarley D, Mahmud M, Idris J, Osunkiyesi M, Dibosa-Osadolor O, et al. (2017) Transforming vaccines supply chains in Nigeria. Vaccine 35(17): 2167-2174.
- 20. Wallace AS, Willis F, Nwaze E, Dieng B, Sipilanyambe N, et al. (2017) Vaccine wastage in Nigeria: an assessment of wastage rates and related vaccinator knowledge, attitudes and practices. Vaccine 35(48): 6751-6758.

- 21. Jacobson S, Karnani T, Sewell E (2004) Assessing the impact of wastage on pediatric vaccine immunization formulary costs using a vaccine selection algorithm. Vaccine 22(17-18): 2307-2315.
- 22. Ashok A, Brison M, LeTallec Y (2017) Improving cold chain systems: challenges and solutions. Vaccine 35(17): 2217-2223.
- 23. Woldemichael B, Bekele D, Esmael A (2018) Cold Chain Status and Knowledge of Vaccine Providers at Primary Health Care of Units Bale Zone, Southeast Ethiopia: Cross-sectional Study. Immunome Res 14(152): 2.
- 24. Kitamura T, Bouakhasith V, Phounphenghack K, Pathammavong C, Xeuatvongsa A, et al. (2018) Assessment of temperatures in the vaccine cold chain in two provinces in Lao People's Democratic Republic: a cross-sectional pilot study. BMC research notes 11(1): 261.
- 25. Ogboghodo EO, Omuemu VO, Odijie O, Odaman OJ (2017) Cold chain management practices of health care workers in primary health care facilities in Southern Nigeria. Pan Afr Med J 27(1): 34.
- 26. Trama A, Walker D, Fox-Rushby J (2005) Introducing hepatitis B virus vaccine into the Expanded Programme on Immunization in Bangladesh: a proposed method to evaluate whether the existing infrastructure has the capacity. J Health Popul Nutr 23(1): 25-33.
- 27. Agueh V, Jerome C, Nyametso D, Paraiso M, Azandjemè C, et al. (2016) Evaluation of the Performance of Expanded Immunization Programme Supply Chain and Logistics Management in Southern Benin Rural Health District. Universal Journal of Public Health 4(4): 160-170.
- 28. Shah J, Agarwal M, Patel J, Trivedi A (2015) Quality assessment of immunization services: A cross sectional study at UHCs of Ahmedabad Municipal Corporation. Int J Health Sci Res 5(7): 21-25.
- 29. Ogboghodo E, Omuemu V, Odijie O, Odaman O (2018) Cold chain management: An assessment of knowledge and attitude of health workers in primary health-care facilities in Edo State Nigeria. Sahel Med J 21(2): 75-82.
- 30. Bagdey P, Narlawar U, KishorSurwase D, Dhage D, Adikane H, et al. (2017) A cross sectional study of assessment of vaccine wastage in tertiary care centre of central India. Int J Health Sci Res 7(4): 12-17.
- 31. Sharma G, Sethia R, Acharya R, Meena R (2014) Assessment of vaccine wastage in the immunization clinic attached to SP Medical College, Bikaner, Rajasthan.

International Journal of Community Medicine And Public Health 3(3): 675-678.

- 32. World Health Organization (1998) Safe vaccine handling, cold chain and immunizations: A manual for the newly independent states.
- 33. World Health Organization (2005) Vaccine management assessment.
- 34. World Health Organization (2008) Training for mid-level managers (MLM).
- 35. World Health Organization (2002) Vaccines and biological: Ensuring the quality of vaccines at country level, guideline for health staff.
- 36. World Health Organization (2005) WHO-UNICEF effective vaccine store management initiative: modules 1-4.
- 37. Federal Ministry of Health (2013) Vaccine management training manual for EPI coordinators and focal persons.
- Rakesh Kumar (2016) Handbook for vaccine & cold chain handlers (2<sup>nd</sup> Edn.), Ministry of Health & Family Welfare, India, pp: 1-176.
- 39. Tiwari R, Shatkratu D, Piyush S, Mahore R, Tiwari S, et al. (2017) A study to assess vaccine wastage in an immunization clinic of tertiary care centre, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh, India. Int J Res Med Sci 5(6): 2472-2476.
- Islam F, Pathak R, Roy S, Agarwalla R, Panda M, et al. (2018) Assessment of vaccine wastage in primary health care settings of South Delhl. International Journal of Scientific Research 7(5): 43-46.
- 41. Usuf E, Mackenzie G, Ceesay L, Sowe D, Kampmann B, et al. (2018) Vaccine wastage in The Gambia: a prospective observational study. BMC public health 18(1): 864.
- 42. Mehta S, Umrigar P, Patel P, Bansal R (2013) Evaluation of vaccine wastage in Surat. Natl J Community Med 4(1): 15-19.
- 43. Yang W, Parisi M, Lahue BJ, Uddin MJ, Bishai D, et al. (2014) The budget impact of controlling wastage with smaller vials: a data driven model of session sizes in Bangladesh, India (Uttar Pradesh), Mozambique, and Uganda. Vaccine 32(49): 6643-6648.
- Chinnakali P, Kulkarni V, Kalaiselvi S, Nongkynrih B (2012) Vaccine wastage assessment in a primary care setting in urban India. Journal of Pediatric Sciences 4(1): 1-6.

- 45. Federal Ministry of Health (2010) Health Sector Development IV (HSDP IV /2010-2015) plan.
- 46. WHO (2014) Principles and considerations for adding a vaccine to a national immunization programme: from decision to implementation and monitoring.
- 47. Ameen H, Salaudeen A, Bolarinwa O, Uthman M, Musa O, et al. (2014) Vaccine Storage and Handling Practices among routine immunization service providers in a metropolitan city of North-Central Nigeria. Journal of Community Medicine and Primary Health Care 26(2): 18-28.
- 48. Federal Ministry of Health (2015) Extended Program on Immunization (EPI) coverage in selected Ethiopian zones: A baseline survey for L10K's Routine Immunization Improvement Initiative.
- 49. Meleko A, Geremew M, Birhanu F (2017) Assessment of child immunization coverage and associated factors with full vaccination among children aged 12–23 months at Mizan Aman town, bench Maji zone, Southwest Ethiopia. International journal of pediatrics 2017: 7976587.
- 50. Guichar S, Hymbaugh K, Burkholder B, Diorditsa S, Navarro C, et al. (2010) Vaccine wastage in Bangladesh. Vaccine 28(3): 858-863.
- 51. Mukherjee A, Ahluwalia T, Gaur L, Mittal R, Kambo I, et al. (2004) Assessment of Vaccine Wastage during a Pulse Polio Immunization Programme in India. J Health Popul Nu 22(1): 13-18.
- 52. Rao S, Naftar S, Unnikrishnana B (2012) Evaluation, awareness, practice and management of cold chain at the primary health care centers in coastal South India. Journal of Nepal Paediatric Society 32(1): 19-22.
- 53. Center for Disease Control and Prevention (2019)

Vaccine Storage and Handling Toolkit.

- 54. Brown VB, Oluwatosin OA, Ogundeji MO (2017) Impact of training intervention on immunization providers' knowledge and practice of routine immunization in Ibadan, south-western Nigeria: a primary health care experience. Pan African Medical Journal 26: 216.
- 55. Rogie B, Berhane Y, Bisrat F (2013) Assessment of cold chain status for immunization in central Ethiopia. Ethiop Med J 51(1): 21-29.
- 56. Yassin ZJ, Yimer Nega H, Derseh BT, Sisay Yehuala Y, Dad AF,et al. (2019) Knowledge of Health Professionals on Cold Chain Management and Associated Factors in Ezha District, Gurage Zone, Ethiopia. Scientifica 2019: 6937291.
- 57. Dairo D, Osizimete O (2016) Factors affecting vaccine handling and storage practices among immunization service providers in Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria. African health sciences 16(2): 576-583.
- 58. Akoh W, Ateudjieu J, Nouetchognou J, Yakum M, Nembot F, et al. (2016) The expanded program on immunization service delivery in the Dschang health district, west region of Cameroon: a cross sectional survey. BMC public health 16(1): 801.
- 59. Mallik S, Mandal P, Chatterjee C, Ghosh P, Manna N, et al. (2011) Assessing cold chain status in a metro city of India: an intervention study. African health sciences 11(1): 128-133.
- 60. Azira B, Norhayati M, Norwati D (2013) Knowledge, attitude and adherence to cold chain among general practitioners in Kelantan, Malaysia. International Journal of Collaborative Research on Internal Medicine & Public Health 5(3): 157.

